

The Second World War as Jewish-Scripted Mass Murder and Destruction: How the Master Race of 'Jew' Supremacists Started and Won the Second World War. — including —

Was Hitler an Agent of Jews?
by Rae West ('Rerevisionist'), April 14th 2018

Not complete! I'll update online. If it looks odd, it'll be changed

v. 2018-05-21

[Depressing Introduction](#) | [The Jewish Missing Link](#) | [Prelude Around the First World War \(long\)](#) | [My Working Theories of the Two World Wars](#) | [The Age of Manufactured Dictators Begins](#) | [How Most People Still See the Second World War](#) | ['Jews', Christianity: Roman, Orthodox, Protestant, And Islam](#) | [WW2 Revisionism \(so far\)](#) | [Laziness](#) | [Jew Violence](#) | [New 'Holistic History' Including WW2](#) | [Was Hitler a Jewish Agent? Q/A](#) | [Jewish Genetics](#) | [Overview of Jew Activities](#) | [Before WW2: Russia & USSR, Britain, France, Germany, Italy, Small Countries, Hungary, Ukraine, Poland, USA, Japan, China](#) | ['Nazi-Soviet' Pact](#) | [Churchill's Character](#) | [WW2 Anomalies, 1939ish-1945ish](#) | [Pre-War and Wartime Intelligence](#) | [After WW2](#) | [Post-War Alternative Intelligence e.g. Miles Mathis](#) | [Trump as Hitler? Putin as Stalin?](#) | [Revisionism: How to Reconsider WW2 Sources](#) | [Bullet-Pointed Short Summary](#)

Depressing Introduction

[[Top of page](#)] Trump is clearly a puppet of Jews. Putin is, too. The evidence is clear: no discussion of the Holocaust, no discussion of war crimes, no discussion of 9/11, for 'starters'. They have decided it's time for a new cult of whites, as Jews and their collaborators did in WW2. The point of this piece is to move the traditional Jewish view of WW2 to an accurate view, based on estimates of Jew world power at the time.

I watched part of an 8-hour DVD, made from a VHS tape in 1991, showing a few days of TV 'News' in Britain about war on Iraq. It may still be on Youtube, [here](#). The scenes of bombers, locations presumably hidden, the rather (but not very) highly-paid actors reading out the anonymous communal words assembled from Jew controllers, the mixed-race general (or something), the zombie talking-doll politicians, the scenes of simple unquestioning soldiery who think dropping bombs is 'fighting', the announcement that the armed forces will have a pay rise, the careful avoidance of information on likely damage and deaths, on atrocities, and on anything invisible—aspects which may be grabbed, mortgages on building, land, entire countries, ownerships, central banks which may be set up, laws which may be imposed, debts which can add to impoverishment—chemical effects on people, future joke inquiries, hatreds for Americans ...

All this is depressing, at least to me. My perception is that at the root of the modern world is Jew infiltration, and my piece extends the idea to the Second World War. We're in a world in which Jews routinely lie, but they also censor, and destroy evidence. We therefore have to rely on inference and guesswork, reinforced by observations of actual 'Jewish' behaviours. Let me summarise my view of the last two thousand years, before starting on the world's biggest war. Some of this is likely to be wrong; feel free to read other versions. But at least make some attempt to understand the world!

For whatever reasons—Cheap paypurs, and intensive reading and writing? Habitual travel, with the feeling of outsidership? A common secret language, made of terms from assorted parts of the world? Exploitation of absence of forensic techniques at the time? Exploitation of cities, evolutionarily new in human societies? Inherited psychopathologies? Lack of opposition?—Jews—speaking vaguely, since accuracy is impossible—developed, for want of a better word, as [parasites](#). The Roman Empire fell, and with it many skills and techniques. However, it seems Jews exploited the remains, by intruding a new religion, bending it to their own purposes, leading it to their own purposes, largely be simple repetition—the endless repetition of the Holocaust fraud, now, [illustrates their propaganda method](#). To this day many whites don't understand that Christianity is an oriental fake.

Jews pulled the same trick by inventing Islam, and tailoring that written message to groups who they thought, correctly, could be used as armed thugs.

Christianity and Islam both spread. Note the structural similarities to Judaism: they spread across many nations, with the implicit threat that, as any one time, all might act against a single member state. They each had a *lingua franca*, Hebrew, Latin, Greek, Arabic—the component groups or nations or tribes had representatives who could talk with each other. Another important aspect is that they provided careers: in return for literacy, some simple activities and ceremonies, and some common activities enlarging their groups, castes of the privileged, generally men, appeared, and were often very durable: Alfred North Whitehead, for example, was supposedly descended from clerical (in the religious sense) men since England was converted to Christianity, itself achieved by a mixture of methods, including violence.

It's important that increasing travel should allow regions to discuss their common interests, presumably with a common language and a hierarchy; the tragedy is that the methods were pioneered, or taken over, by secretive psychopaths.

The new nominal belief systems were at a level below ordinary European thought; one wonders if 'Jews' were intermixed with negroes, and simply were primitive in their most fundamental thinking. I wonder whether the outbursts at the present day—by feckish bearded mutilated men wearing suits fashionable in 18th century Poland—wanting rape and deaths for whites, endless wars and debt, child sex, ruining of entire countries by immigration—may be intended to provoke non-Jews into getting rid of them once and for all, to the benefit of Europeanised Jews.

Christianity and the money aspects of Judaism are popularly supposed to be in opposition, but this seems likely to be a myth; rather, the Jewish monopoly of banking must have been supported by churches, who prevented local people from taking part in financial activities, which, after all, are simple enough. Probably churches obtained tithes and priestly income (and priorities, sales of indulgences, fees etc) in co-operation with Jews. Certainly, the activities of churches in the last few centuries show little concern with moral values.

Many people still think the main issue with Jews was 'usury'. This propagandist view is still promoted by Roman Catholics. In fact, this is a near-irrelevance, hiding the real issues—large-scale taxes, rents, tithes, interference with money, parasitic shareholdings, wars. The churches concealed these facts in a symbiotic relationship with Jews. As we'll see, Hitler made use of this deception.

From about 1500, when the Americas were discovered (or rediscovered) by Europeans, Jews diffused west, typically from Venice, and often by intermarriage. The East India Company grew from 1600. The Netherlands and British Isles provided ideal bases; Jews like islands (and the suggestion in the 1930s that Jews move to Madagascar would have made sense, if Africa could have been developed). The Bank of England is described as being founded in 1694, after the English 'Civil War', and the earlier Tudor Constitution of 'Merrie England' was finally replaced. The 18th century was marked by impoverishment and inflation. USA was the first country known to me established from the start as Jewish—or, more accurately, as Freemasonic. The 19th century was marked by science and technology; if we regard any society as made up partly of day-to-day construction, repair, and necessities, and partly of surplus, the white world had more surplus than ever before, directed in many ways—but secrecy about the activities of Jews was almost universal, as witness the Opium Wars against China. The twentieth century may be shown up in centuries to come as the apex of Jewish power, from which decline was inevitable. The two world wars in my view were Jewish-propelled, which is the focus of this article.

There seem to be three possibilities:

1. **Jewish hegemony will increase**, following the Roman Empire pattern, with loss of many technical skills and rise in superstition, and general decline: including militarism, which will be too expensive and perhaps resulting in fierce actions against anyone regarded as an oppressor. At present, Jewish propaganda is frantically attempting to remove all references to Jewish media and money ownership, and to their own race policies (forced invasions, but their own exclusivity), and Jewish mass crimes, for example in the USSR, but it is possible these will be losing battles.
2. **Jewish hegemony will decrease**, as the effects of their profits from wars and atrocities, failing propaganda, and evident lies become clear. **Probably attacks against Jews, both overt and secret, will grow:-**
 - (i) by countries, and co-operation between countries, including the USA, China, Russia, and India; possibly international groups will evolve, perhaps including US states, acting for populations, not for Jews and their puppets. Perhaps communications between Jews will be stopped, and/or spying increased;
 - (ii) by Jewish institutions, such as synagogues, Jew based schools, yearbooks, business organisations, and media such as the BBC;
 - (iii) by organisations set up to study aspects of Judaism: Talmudic study to identify typical patterns of Jewish crime and corruption, and investigation of absurdities and weaknesses; serious and uncompromising study of such things as Freemasons and Common Purpose; historical study to establish likely timelines; study of laws and lawmakers, to identify Jewish policies and strategies; educational research, to identify Jewish patterns of weakening education; medical and biological research, to look for traditional Jewish attitudes, such as poisoning and disease spreading; science research, into big frauds, NASA and 9/11 being obvious examples; and of course comparative notes between countries, of which the USA, UK, France, Germany, Russia, Hungary stand out.
3. **Something else**. It's clear enough that historians generally have overstated the difficulties of historical work. **Much of history is far more foreseeable than it seemed to the Jew-naïve**. However, their dishonesty (or incompetence) doesn't prove that nothing new will emerge. For example, maybe laws will emerge relating population size, organisation sizes, technical details of communication, and the biology of human understanding.

'Revisionist' Work is likely to expand:

1. **Contrary to Jewish repetitive propaganda, 'Jews' are not a race**. Their policy, at least of the aggressive ones, has been to interbreed with other groups, in the hope of spreading their influence. There are more 'Jewish' surnames than in any other group. It's only the intensive teaching that keeps them together. Many people seem to share the delusion of 'Jews' as a race; in fact, as with all animals, the lifetime experiences of parents are not passed to offspring. No doubt typical Jews are more fanatical, more obsessive, more liable to form lifelong attachments to groups they find themselves in, and to show extreme responses to pre-scientific stimuli. But they are not a 'race'. They are creatures of the Talmud, and if this is removed, they will fade away. I can't wait.
2. **Probably understanding of Jewish techniques will grow and cross-fertilise**. It will be seen as childish and amateurish to have no awareness of Jews. Such expressions as "American policy" will be seen as pitifully incomplete if Jewish views on America are not identified as different from those of Americans. The belief in isolated heretics, Great Men, Messiahs, Monarchs will be seen as Jewish mythology, distraction from the truths that effects are obtained by large numbers of people co-operating. Weak historical generalisations such as "England has always wanted a weak and divided continental Europe" will be replaced by sounder statements, such as "Supposed English policy has been to keep Jews united in secret." Americans will take it for granted that their supposed individualism, as used two centuries ago, was a Jewish construct, and will be opposed by Jews now as they attempt to disarm them.
3. **Freer understanding of white psychology**—that genetic possibilities and nurture may improve all aspects of education. Just as children teach themselves to walk, and learn language, and discover their surroundings, until they are in a preparatory stage to learn, they will not be deluged with Jewish lies, propaganda, and damaging third-rate trash. There will be better understanding of the treachery of collaborators, and the historical ways such people have been paid, used and discarded.
4. **An example of an occupied country**: I hope the next breakthrough in human thought—if it ever happens—will be theories of human lives, abilities, and possibilities. This may mean as big a change as recognition of Darwinian facts, which make much of old European thought so feeble and unsound. Just one tiny sample from the 20th century:
The sources the Stasi (Ministerium für Staatssicherheit, or Ministry for State Security) themselves considered most important were the "unofficial collaborators", the IMs [Inoffizielle Mitarbeiter]. The numbers are extraordinary. According to internal records, in 1988—the last "normal" year of the GDR (German Democratic Republic)—the Ministry for State Security had more than 170,000 "unofficial collaborators." Of these, some 110,000 were regular informers, while the others were involved in "conspiratorial" services, such as lending their flats for secret meetings, or were simply listed as reliable contacts. The Ministry itself had over 90,000 full-time employees, of whom less than 5,000 were in the HVA (Hauptverwaltung Aufklärung, Main Administration Reconnaissance) foreign-intelligence wing. Setting the total figure against the adult population in the same year, this means that one out of every fifty adult East Germans had a direct connection with the secret police. The Nazis had nothing like as many. In 1941, the full-time staff of the Gestapo, the Nazis' Secret State Police, for the vastly larger territory of Greater Germany, including Austria and what is today the Czech Republic, was less than 15,000. Even adding the Reich Security Service and other possibly comparable units, one can still not reach anything like the Stasi proportions. We have no national figures for the number of regular informers, but it seems clear that this was also very much smaller. Over its relatively short life, starting with real popular enthusiasm and ending with five-and-a-half years of war, the Third Reich more on voluntary denunciations—as I found in those dusty People's Court files. In East Germany, the regime was never popular to start with, and the longer it went on the more it came to rely on this huge network of informers. (From *The File: A Personal History*, Timothy Garton Ash, HarperCollins, London, 1997, chapter V, pp 74-5)

The Second World War's Missing Link: 'Jews'

[[Top of page](#)]
• **Contrary to the usual Jewish propaganda, the fact is that Jews were and are casual and relaxed about twentieth-century events**. Just a few examples: [A H Lane's 1934 book](#) *The Alien Menace* gives details of the effortless way Jews invaded Britain, and were invited in, for example by the BBC radio of the time after the Jewish takeover in Russia in 1917. Chapters 10 ('Aliens and Revolution') and 11 ('The Hidden Hand') give a good overview. A H M Ramsay's *The Nameless War* (a survey from the time of Cromwell, first published in 1952) includes this:

'... control shares of the *Daily Mail* for sale. ... a severe advertisement boycott had been put in operation against the paper following upon its having printed ... a pro-Franco view of the Spanish Civil War (in reality, it was just the truth), the news was no great surprise to me. ... I decided to approach a certain very wealthy and patriotic peer, the head of a great business. ... I gave [him] a survey of the activities and power of Organized Jewry in general, and of their secret publicity control in Britain in particular ... "I daren't," he replied. "... I must refuse." On our expressing astonishment that Jewry could inflict such crushing retaliation on a man of his financial strength and industrial power, and so conspicuous a national figure, he gave us details of just such retaliation directed against him by Organized Jewry ... He had refused to comply with some demands they had made of him affecting his works. After a final warning, which he ignored, a world boycott had been started against him, which had become effective in 24 hours, wherever he had agents or offices. Fires and strikes also mysteriously occurred. The resulting losses had finally compelled him to give in. Within 24 hours the boycott was lifted all over the world.' ... Note that the **BBC** sided with the Jewish oppression of Russia, suppressing any evidence of mass murder of tens of millions.

The 'Jack the Ripper' issue, Boer War faked agitation, 'votes for women' scam to promote war, are examples before the Great War; Jewish riots in London, for example Cable Street, carry the same message. After the Second World War, US servicemen returning to New York found huge numbers of Jews there. In the UK, the Jew-controlled ship, renamed *Empire Windrush*, importing blacks into Britain into 1948 (see Andrew Joyce), just after Jewish lawyers had stated that hundreds of millions from the ex-Empire were entitled to passports. Jews were given special treatment: I was amused to see that J Gold, of vibrators for women, said her Jewish father didn't fight—he said he had hay fever. The USA had some remaining leftovers from its supposed foundation, but Jewish control of money led to frauds and waste on a scale unequalled in the whole or world history, based around the world, fake 'astronauts', fake nuclear weapons and power, fake revolutions in for example Cuba, complete control of printed media, mass invasion of previously white countries. A truly amazing story, ...

All this is familiar to some people. If it's new to you, look at my [long historical list of Jewish activities](#) around the world which I compiled over a number of years, and which goes back to the start of identifiably 'Jewish' material. (Within that page is [Teaching Real Jewish Studies: What Everyone Should Know About Jews—Suggestions for Awareness Courses.](#))

• **One of the strengths of Jews has been the myopic inattentiveness and gullibility of various groups of non-Jews. Here's a random selection of notes:**

A website of elderly ex-employees of 'Her Majesty's Stationary Office' in Britain (now gone) has many anecdotes on offices, working-practices and what have you; they helped produce endless state propaganda and lies, but have not the slightest awareness of the world. Various teachers I've known had not the remotest idea of the effects of (e.g.) Gove, when he was politically involved in education; just one example. I remember meeting Mike Todd, responsible for the technology of the BBC; he had zero idea of the



Jew supermarket 'news' 27 March 2018. Note monopoly, holocaust lies repetition, war preparation by lies, use of simple 'hero' soldiery supporting Jews.



Well-known photo, 2nd August 1934, allegedly of Hitler overjoyed by announcement of war between whites.

'Master race' members overjoyed by war between whites and Iraqis.



Israeli spies working for the intelligence agency Mossad were in fact arrested after they were caught celebrating the September 11th attacks, smoloko.com



The 'ADL' is a disgusting supremacist group of liars. NB Youtube actually takes these ugly turds seriously.

influence of Jews in the world, and thence on the BBC. I recall a story of a woman near death, looking at the miscellany of badly-qualified immigrant nurses, saying "Who would have thought it would end like this?"

Jewish secrecy has been an astonishing success. One of the first modern books on the subject, *The Jews* by Hilaire Belloc, was first published in 1920. Obviously, censorship by Jews has been hugely influential; the US film industry, which has never had any serious treatment of Jewish power, illustrates the point: so does e.g. my look at [Jewish aggression back in Britain around the Second World War](#).

A view of 19th century history is given in Oscar Wilde's mistitled *The Rise of Historical Criticism*. Which is almost entirely concerned with historians. Wilde was a classicist, but also familiar with French and German; Victor Cousin, *L'Art de Vérifier les Dates*, Mommsen, and Hegel make appearances. Wilde has Herodotus and Thucydides and many others, including philosophers (Plato, Aristotle ... Spencer). And attitudes including miracles and rationalism, cycles typically with deluges marking restarts, bookish people vs men of action, ends, laws, order, oratorical and poetical presentations, critical comparison, eclectism, and attractive analogies from science. There is not the slightest trace of awareness that human groups may be parasitical. Wilde finishes with praise at the *opening of the sixteenth century, from the little Venetian printing press came forth all the great authors of antiquity, each bearing on the title page the words Aldo Menuzio, a Roman and a lover of Greece ...* The reference to Venice must chill any serious modern revisionary. Note that Wilde omits Maitland, an historian of the laws of Britain, who may have had some insight into the invisible aspects of history—ownership, rents, payments, expiry dates, impositions on populations.

I've just read from *Studies in Contemporary Biography* by James Bryce (1903) (of the *Bryce Report*, atrocity propaganda against Germany during the Great War) the chapter on Sir George Jessel (1824-1883), a Jew who was made 'Master of the Rolls', part of the intrusion into the British legal system. It's agonising to revisit the 19th century, and observe the indolent incuriosity, and the cowardly backing away, from all Jewish issues, including financing of subversions and wars, and financing of religions. Especially as Bryce was aware, to some extent, of the facts.

Two generations later, A J Toynbee continued the tradition—though to an astonishing extent; he must have been selected for the 'Royal Institute of International Affairs' precisely because of his lack of perspicience. [Toynbee on Bertrand Russell](#). Both were useful idiots.

• **Jewish Talmudic theory makes a very logically consistent set of beliefs and commands.** These include: instructions to kill any non-Jew investigating the Talmud; instructions to kill 'the best' of non-Jews; instructions to harm and defraud non-Jews when practicable; instructions to lie; and an annual ceremony to disavow any legal oath made to non-Jews. There are few serious non-Jewish students of the Talmud, no doubt as a consequence. One of the few is Michael Hoffman, in for example [Judaism's Strange Gods](#). (That link is to my review).

I've claimed the Talmud is 'very logically consistent', speaking as someone not very familiar with it, and who regards it as a nuisance and a menace. But very probably there are serious weaknesses. Examples:

[1] Jews seem to argue that, when something is over, it's no longer important: I've seen this argument used to deflect comments on Jew mass murder in the USSR. And of course there's some truth, especially in the long term. But it is a double-edged blade: if there is a move to genuinely exterminate Jews, the proponents can argue that, once it's over, it won't matter.

[2] Jews, or at least rabbis, say that goyim must be warned of some trick or fraud; then it becomes their fault if they don't see it. 9/11 for example was advertised in several ways. Is this a well-thought out policy? I'm not sure.

[3] Jews have a revenge, or pseudo-revenge, attitude. Dresden for example hosted an early conference on the Jewish menace; the Germans obtained the Ukraine's harvest during WW1; Hungary fought against the Jew Bela Kun after WW1; Sweden was neutral in WW2, supplying iron ore to Germany; and these places were all targeted for later Jewish hate. For that matter, Jews show no gratitude for British and US actions, despite nominally helping them. Are these things well-thought out?

[4] Jewish Talmudic theory explicitly looks forward to exterminating non-Jews, preferably en masse. It's true this is kept secret when possible, though sometimes it leaks out—freakish bearded 'rabbis', absurd low-grade US 'academics', women with the brainpower of Sunday School teachers—but is it a workable policy?

• **Since Jewish power can be inferred to be considerable, and since their school of ethics is extremely hostile to non-Jews, clearly Jews must be viewed as a power bloc, analogous to nations, but spread out across those nations,** much as a parasite might spread threads and spores and umbilical cords where it can find nutrition. These will be hidden where possible, but the effect on genuine scientific historical interpretations ought to be enormous. Here are three of my files on Jews and their activities, which I put here for completeness:

- [Guide for the Perplexed about Jews](#) **A Guide for the Perplexed: Cutting Through the Jungle of Jewish Influences on White Ideas.** Includes a long list of words and phrases corrupted by Jews (just a few: Appeasement | Reform | Democracy | Socialism | Anarchism | Communism | Primitive Communism | Capitalism | Collectivism | Feudalism | Foreign Aid | Do Targets Always Fail? | Foreign Aid | Do Targets Always Fail? | Individualism | Free Thought | Slavery | 1960s | 'Concentration Camps' | 'Minorities'...) & Evolution of Jews as parasites | Identifying aggressive Jewish Plans and patterns & Jews and Learning IDENTIFYING AGGRESSIVE JEW PLANS AND PATTERNS & Economics | Politics | Sociology | History | Religion | Health & some videos.
- [Articles on Jews](#) going back to 2012. (Originally in British Resistance, a defunct website). About 60, including: 'Is Lipstadt a Covert Counter-Mossad Truther?—and Other Puzzles: Trump | US 'Education' | Race Wars | Thugs | Man-Made Races | Holocaustianity | Was Early Christianity Hijacked by Jews?' | Jo Cox, Jews, Invasion, Housing | 'Migrant Crisis' | Flat Earth Promotion: 'Why?' & 'Jew Shock' | New View of Suffragettes & Blaming Women | Understanding Money, Banks, Jews, and Varieties of Capitalism | Nuclear Scepticism and Revisionism | Jewish Murders & Mass Murders | Eugenics | Image Faking, Event Faking, Crisis Actors | How Jews Use Blacks | Jewish Liars, Trolls, Nudgers, Reputation Managers | Jews and Unions | Jews and Fake Information | Jews and Wars Considered as Jewish-Run Cock Fights | Jews 5: Jewish Hate for Whites
- [Teaching about Jews](#) Jewish influence as damaging to academic subjects

Note on 'Jews': throughout I use the 'popular' sense of Jews as a self-identified group, including such aspects as supposed racial unity despite interbreeding, supposed maternal descent—which appears to be relatively new—and supposed 'chosen people' status. The further secret aspects—name-changing, deceit, Talmudic viciousness, destruction of beauty, health, achievements—and the selective application of laws in favour of Jews—appear still not to be widely known. In my view, these behaviours are largely genetic, resembling psychopaths, and mediated by written texts. So the attitudes are transmissible by the written and spoken word.

Prelude Around the Great War (later renamed First World War) [\[Top of page \]](#)

Below is an extract, on the aftermath of WW1, from H G Wells's *The Outline of History*, Popular Edition (Sept 1930)

Published by Cassell & Company (Jewish. London, Toronto, Melbourne and Sydney). I believe it was never translated into any other language.

I've selected Wells because of his popularity in the Anglophone world; his views must have been widespread. **Notes to bear in mind before looking through Wells (most important few notes bold):**

- Wells had little idea of the importance to Jews of Russia. Such events as the Jewish-financed Japanese war on eastern Russia, the constant attacks and assassinations by Jews from the 'Pale', the propaganda by Jews in Britain and the USA, and the huge effort of the Jewish coup in Russia, is over-ridden by constant references to Germany and Europe. The centrality of Russia in Jewish intentions—a huge part of the next war—is never noted by Wells, as of course Jews wanted.
- **H G Wells never identified 'British' Jewish interests as likely to be alien to British interests. He never identifies the catastrophic costs to Britain in funding Jewish loans, or the deaths and destruction fomented by Jews. This is not entirely his fault: national accounts were primitive, and details of loans were as far as I know completely secret, no doubt deliberately. In 1914, Britain allegedly held about 40% of the world's total overseas investment, something the Jews would be well aware of; by 1940 Britain was bankrupt, presumably in the cash holdings sense, not ownership of lands and assets.**
- **His endless attention to European small states distracts him from Armenian genocide, Ukrainian genocide, mass USSR killings, Balfour (and Sykes & George Picot), and the changes to the Ottoman empire. All details of interest to Jews.**

- Wells was almost completely Jew-naïve, in common with most well-publicised 20th-century authors. But not completely; there are occasional glimmers, such as his comment on 'currency cranks'. (NB he was educated with the 'Jew' who became the newspaper political cartoonist nicknamed 'Low').
- Wells had no idea of the penetration of 'Jews' in the world's capitals, or the basic beliefs of 'Jews'. He had no idea that of the throngs attracted to Versailles, many of the 'experts' and translators were 'Jews'. Many of the supposed leaders were funded by Jews or crypto-Jews, whose concern was to increase their power in their own regions—areas outside Europe (think Ukraine, Armenia, Turkey) and within Europe (Poland, Hungary, Roumania, for example) not just the USA, France, Britain, and Russia. The borders drawn at Versailles were arranged under Jewish pressure, probably taking account of local Jewish 'communities' and planting seeds of future disruptions and wars.
- Wells, following 'Jew' propaganda, believed such things as *The world of the Western European civilizations in the years that followed the end of the Great War was like a man who has had some very vital surgical operation very roughly performed*. There was widespread propaganda favouring war—not, of course, that 'Jews' would fight themselves. 'Healthy cleansing', the 'ancient Greeks were warlike', 'muscular Christianity' were common; so were such ideas as 'bored populations', 'restlessness' and so on—the evidence being 'Jewish' violence, Jew-supported groups (Irish and women and Boer War advocates, for example, and bomb-throwing 'anarchists' in and near Russia). It's obviously important for 'Jews' to spread the idea that wars can only be stopped by people controlled by 'Jews'.
- A century later, we can see some of the pieces of ignorance in Wells:
 - (1) He had little idea about paper money, and zero idea of the 'Fed'; all his life, currencies had been gold, silver, and copper, plus notes and cheques.
 - (2) He had no idea that the 'changes in mood' were products of 'Jewish' propaganda. For example, after the Balfour Declaration, which Wells doesn't mention, 'Jews' in the USA propagandised in the press, popular music, cinema, and other media, switching from neutrality/indifference to anti-Germanism in about 1916.
 - (3) He shares the naïve view that wars are simple contests between geographical groups; he says nothing about equipment, supplies, and costs—and, especially, secret deals on repayments of loans.
 - (4) He accepts a very scrappy 'Jew' errors and lies, such as revolutions propelled by revolutionary masses; his account of the Russian Revolution is taken from 'Jew' sources. His use of 'imperialism' is another Judaism, copied and modified from Hobson: Wells never seems to have considered that the spread of useful new things isn't 'imperialism' in the hostile sense, or that finance is a separate issue from colonies and trade.
 - (5) Such phrases as 'The new Russian republic had to fight unsupported' show Wells had no idea of the capacities of Jews to transfer money, to use nepotistic networks, use telegraphic information, and control news.

- Many new things have come to light, for example President Wilson being blackmailed by Jews after his adultery. Wells seems never to have known that the November 11th 1918 armistice was a truce, but not an official end to the war. Kerensky later 'worked' in a US university. Jews were forced into the US Supreme Court. Stalin was a Jewish dictator, not a 'socialist'.
- Wells quotes from Keynes's *Economic Consequences of the Peace*—though Keynes never mentioned Jewish funding, either through ignorance, or corruption. Wells also quotes from Emile Joseph Dillon's *Inside Story of the Peace Conference*. Neither dealt with the start of the war. Wells unfortunately was not competent to summarise the financial repayment system, or summarise the damage that had been done. And Wells was fiercely against 'profiteering', just as Jews hated 'kulaks', but was unaware he was backing profiteering on a new and unprecedented scale. It's a sad commentary on Wells's humanitarian outlook that the never faced issues such as small businesses, though (for example) one of his novels ends with the family man hero running a bike shop, painting bikes in the customers' choice of colour. But he seemed happy to extinguish small businesses when the supposed public interest insisted.
- The start of the war, and events such as starvation and weaponisation of food, were then, and still are, secret. Wells himself took part in shameless war propaganda: 'Joad later wrote something like *It is only my respect for a great man which prevents me from quoting some of the things Wells wrote during the war*. This of course is a 'Jew' attitude: once they start a war they want it to continue. Germany being at fault was the leading idea, I'd suggest. Jews in Russia wanted war, and got it. Germany being the last 'great power' to mobilise.
- Note that **Wells makes no mention of Hitler**—even as late as 1930. Hitler's supposed primacy of monstrousness came later, as 'Jews' shifted their propaganda focus. Mussolini was liked by many at the time, for example [Coudenhove-Kalergi](#), and [two Jewish monopolists, Counts Volpi and Pirelli](#), who between world wars are well-known figures in the City of London. Mussolini's Abyssinian adventure was, in part, financed by the Paris Rothschilds, and his Abyssinian expert was a Jew... The American Ambassador to Italy lauded Mussolini.

- [Mein Kampf](#) (my review) comments on Mussolini, and the intelligent review of his life by Miles Mathis, who also wrote on Lenin. Both were figureheads of Jews, one as an actor, the other as a money co-ordinator. The point of this piece is to point out that Hitler was very likely another actor and co-ordinator.

- Wells had no idea of three-way wars backed by Jews. (Listen to Tony Benn—another Jew puppet politician—in 1994 talking on 'fascism': *It's time we did a bit of reexamination ... of the 1930s and got away from the idea that the British government believed in appeasement. They didn't ... appease Hitler. They supported Hitler. They backed Hitler ... captured German foreign office you'll find that when Halifax went to talk to Hitler on behalf of the British government the first thing he did was to congratulate the German chancellor on having destroyed communism in Germany, and acted as a bulwark against it in Europe. And the whole of that 1930s period was a period when western governments were happy to use fascism in order to destroy socialism in all its forms, not just in Russia [sic] but in the west as well. ... maximising deaths of Germans and Russians, by giving weapons to whichever side was losing. ...*)
- Wells accepted the idea of Roman Catholic responsibility for the Second World War, in his Penguin-published book [Crux Ansata](#). This is a fairly popular half-truth, which flickers about. The Jesuits are a later post-1945 favourite. Jews, Catholics, and Islam are part of a [trinity of 'Jew' nonsense](#) which it is essential to understand. But Wells never disentangled his ideas to consider Jews as a collectivity, or the relationships between Jews and Christians.

THE OUTLINE OF HISTORY - (1084) the representative body, attempted arrests of liberal leaders, the formation of a provisional government under Prince Lvoff, and an abdication (March 15th) by the Tsar.

For a time it seemed that a moderate and controlled revolution might be possible—perhaps under a new Tsar. Then it became evident that the destruction of confidence in Russia had gone too far for any such adjustments. The Russian people were sick to death of the old order of things in Europe, of Tsars and of wars and great powers; it wanted relief, and that speedily, from unendurable miseries. The Allies had no understanding of Russian realities; their diplomats were ignorant of Russian; genteel persons, with their attention directed to the Russian Court rather than Russia, they blundered steadily with the new situation. There was little goodwill among the diplomats for republicanism, and a manifest disposition to embarrass the new government as much as possible. At the head of the Russian republican government was an eloquent and picturesque leader, Kerensky, who found himself assailed by the deep forces of a profounder revolutionary movement, the 'social revolution', at home and cold-showered by the Allied governments abroad. His allies would neither let him give the Russian people land nor peace beyond their frontiers. The French and the British Press pestered their exhausted ally for a fresh offensive, but when presently the Germans made a strong attack by sea and land upon Riga, the British Admiralty quailed before the prospect of a Baltic expedition in relief.

The new Russian republic had to fight unsupported. In spite of their great naval predominance and the bitter protests of the English admiral, Lord Fisher (1841-1920), it is to be noted that the Allies, except for some submarine attacks, left the Germans the complete mastery of the Baltic throughout the war. The Russian masses were ready to end the war. There had come into existence in Petrograd a body representing the workers and common soldiers, the Soviet, and this body clamoured for an international Conference of socialists at Stockholm. Food riots were occurring in Berlin at this time, war-weariness in Austria and Germany was profound, and there can be little doubt, in the light of subsequent events, that such a conference would have precipitated a reasonable peace on democratic lines in 1917 and a German revolution. [I emphasize this sentence to show how little grasp Wells had of top-down methods of control-RW]

Kerensky implored his Western allies to allow this conference to take place, but, fearful of a world-wide outbreak of socialism and republicanism, they refused, in spite of the [1085] favourable response of a small majority of the British Labour Party. Without either moral or physical help from the Allies, the "moderate" Russian republic still fought on and made a last desperate offensive effort in July. It failed after some preliminary successes and another great slaughtering of Russians.

The limit of Russian endurance was reached. Multitudes now broke out in the Russian armies, and particularly upon the northern front, and on November 7, 1917, Kerensky's Government was overthrown and power was seized by the Soviet Government, dominated by the Bolsheviki socialists under Lenin, and pledged to make peace regardless of the Western powers. Russia passed definitely "out of the war."

In the spring of 1917 there had been a costly and ineffective French attack upon the Champagne front, which had failed to break through and sustained enormous losses. Here, then, by the end of 1917, was a phase of events altogether favourable to Germany, had her government been fighting for security and well-being rather than for pride and victory. But to the very end, to the pitch of final exhaustion, the people of the Central Powers were held to the effort to achieve a complete victory.

To that end it was necessary that Britain should not merely resisted, but subjugated, and in order to do that Germany had already dragged America into the circle of her enemies. Throughout 1916 the submarine campaign had been growing in intensity, but hitherto it had respected neutral shipping. In January, 1917, a complete "blockade" of Great Britain and France was proclaimed, and all neutral powers were warned to withdraw their shipping from the British seas. An indiscriminate sinking of the world's shipping began, which compelled the United States to enter the war in April (6th), 1917. Throughout

1917, while Russia was breaking up and becoming impotent, the American people were changing swiftly and steadily into a great military nation. And the unrestricted submarine campaign, for which the German imperialists had accepted the risk of this fresh antagonist, was far less successful than had been hoped. The British navy proved much more inventive and resourceful than the British army; there was a rapid development of anti-submarine devices under water, upon the surface, and in the air; and after a month or so of serious destruction, the tale of submarine sinkings declined. The British found it necessary to put themselves upon food rations; but the regulations were well framed and ably administered, the public showed an excellent spirit of intelligence, and the [1086] danger of famine and social disorder was kept at arm's length.

Yet the German imperial government continued to fight. If the submarine was not doing all that had been expected, and if the armies of America gathered like a thunder-cloud, yet Russia was definitely down; and in October the same sort of autumn offensive that had overthrown Serbia in 1915 and Roumania in 1916 was now turned with crushing effect against Italy. The Italian front collapsed after the Battle of Caporetto (1087) and the Austro-German armies poured down into Venetia and came almost within gunfire of Venice. Germany felt justified, therefore, in taking a high line with the Russian peace proposals, and the peace of Brest-Litovsk (March 2nd, 1918) gave the Western Allies some intimation of what a German victory would mean to them. It was a crushing and exorbitant peace, dictated with the utmost arrogance of confident victors.

All through the winter German troops had been shifting (1088) from the Easern to the Western front, and now, in the spring of 1918, the jaded enthusiasm of hungry, weary, and bleeding Germany was lashed up for the one supreme effort that was really and truly to end the war. For some months American troops had been in France, but the bulk of the American army was still across the Atlantic. It was high time for the final conclusive blow upon the Western front, if such a blow was ever to be delivered.

The first attack was upon the British in the Somme region. The not very brilliant cavalry regiments who were still in command of a front upon which cavalry was a useless encumbrance, were caught napping; and on March 21st, in "Gough's Disaster," the fifth British army was driven back in disorder almost to Amiens. The jealousies of the British and French generals had prevented any unified command of the Allied armies in France, and there was no general reserve whatever behind Gough. Nearly a thousand guns were lost by the Allies, and scores of thousands of prisoners. Throughout April and May the Germans rained offensives on the Allied front. They came near to a break through in the north, and they made a great drive back to the Marne, which they reached again on May 30th, 1918.

This was the climax of the German effort. Behind it was nothing but an exhausted homeland. Marshal Foch was put in supreme command of all the Allied armies. Fresh troops were hurrying from Britain across the Channel, and America was now pouring men into France by the hundred thousand. In June the weary Austrians made a last effort in Italy, and collapsed before an Italian counter-attack. Early in June Foch began to develop a counter-attack. By July the tide was turning, and the Germans were reeling back. The Battle of Chateau-Thierry (July 18th) proved the quality of the new American armies. In August the British opened a great and successful thrust, and the bulge of the German lines towards Amiens wilted and collapsed. "August 8th," says Ludendorff, "was a black day in the history of the German army." The British attack on the Hindenburg line in September ensured the Allied victory.

Germany had finished. The fighting spirit passed out of her army, and October was a story of defeat and retreat along the entire Western front. Early in November British troops were in Valenciennes and Americans in Sedan. In Italy also the Austrian armies were in a state of disorderly retreat. But everywhere now the Hohenzollern and Habsburg forces were (1089) collapsing. The smash at the end was amazingly swift. Frenchmen and Englishmen could not believe their newspapers as day after day they announced the capture of more hundreds of guns and more thousands of prisoners.

In September a great Allied offensive against Bulgaria had produced a revolution in that country and peace proposals. Turkey had followed with a capitulation at the end of October, and Austro-Hungary on November 3rd. There was an attempt to bring out the German fleet for the last fight, but the sailors mutinied. (November 7th)

The Kaiser and the Crown Prince bolted hastily, and without a scrap of dignity, into Holland. On November 11th an armistice was signed and the war was at an end. . . . For four years and a quarter the war had lasted, and gradually it had drawn nearly everyone, in the Western world at least, into its vortex.

upwards of eight millions of people had been actually killed through the fighting; another twenty or twenty-five millions had died through the hardships and disorders entailed. Scores of millions were suffering and enfeebled by under-nourishment and misery. A vast proportion of the living were now engaged in war work, in drilling and armament, in making munitions, in hospitals, in working as substitutes for men who had gone into the armies and the like. Business men had been adapting themselves to the more hectic methods necessary for profit in a world in a state of crisis. The war had become, indeed, an atmosphere, a habit of life, a new social order. Then suddenly it ended.

In London the armistice was proclaimed about 11 A.M. on November 11th. It produced a strange cessation of every ordinary routine. Clerks poured out of their Offices and would not return, assistants deserted their shops, omnibus drivers and the drivers of military lorries set out upon journeys of their own devising with picked-up loads of astounded and cheering passengers going nowhere in particular and carelessly wherever they went. Vast vacant crowds presently choked the streets, and every house and shop that possessed such adornments hung out flags. When night came, many of the main streets, which had been kept in darkness for many months because of the air raids, were brightly lit. It was very strange to see thronging multitudes assembled in an artificial light again. Everyone felt aimless, with a kind of strained and aching relief. It was over at last. There would be no more killing in France, no more air raids—and things would get better.

People wanted to laugh, and weep—and could do neither. {1090} Youths of spirit and young soldiers on leave formed thin processions that shoved their way through the general drift, and did their best to make a jollification. A captured German gun was hauled from the Mall, where a vast army of such trophies had been set out, into Trafalgar Square, and its carriage burnt. Squibs and crackers were thrown about. But there was little concerted rejoicing. Nearly everyone had lost too much and suffered too much to rejoice with any fervour. {1091}

CHAPTER 39 THE WORLD AFTER THE GREAT WAR

The world of the Western European civilizations in the years that followed the end of the Great War was like a man who has had some very vital surgical operation very roughly performed, and who is not yet sure whether he can now go on living or whether he has not been so profoundly shocked and injured that he will presently fall down and die. It was a world dazed and stunned. Militarist imperialism had been defeated, but at an overwhelming cost. It had come very near to victory. Everything went on, now that the strain of the conflict had ceased, rather laxly, rather weakly, and with a gusty and uncertain temper. There was a universal hunger for peace, a universal desire for the lost liberty and prosperity of pre-war times, without any power of will to achieve and secure these things.

In many respects there had been great deterioration. Just as with the Roman Republic under the long strain of the Punic Wars, so now there had been a great release of violence and cruelty, and a profound deterioration in financial and economic morality. Generous spirits had sacrificed themselves freely to the urgent demands of the war, but the sly and the base of the [1092] worlds of business and money had watched the convulsive opportunities of the time and secured a firm grip upon the resources and political power of their countries. Everywhere, men who would have been regarded as shady adventurers before 1914 had acquired power and influence, while better men had toiled unprofitably. In the phase of post-war exhaustion it was difficult to restrain these newly rich and newly powerful men.

In the course of the war there had been extraordinary experiments in collective management in nearly all the belligerent countries. It had been realized that the common expedients of peace-time commerce, the higgling of the market, the holding out for a favourable bargain, was incompatible with the swift needs of warfare. Transport, fuel, food supply, and the distribution of the raw materials not only of clothing, housing and the like, but of everything needed for war munitions, had been brought under public control.

No longer had farmers been allowed to underfarm; cattle had been put upon deer-parks and grasslands ploughed up, with or without the owners' approval. Luxury building and speculative company promotion had been restrained. In effect, a sort of emergency socialist state had been established throughout most of belligerent Europe. It was rough-and-ready and wasteful, but it was more effective than the tangled incessant profit-seeking, the cornering and forestalling and incoherent productiveness of "private enterprise."

In the earliest years of the war there had also been in all the belligerent states a very widespread feeling of brotherhood and of the need for service in the common interest. The common men were everywhere sacrificing life and health for what they believed to be the common good of the State. In return, it was promised, there would be less social injustice after the war, a more universal devotion to the common welfare. In Great Britain, for instance, Mr. Lloyd George was particularly insistent upon his intention to make the after-war Britain "a land fit for heroes." He foreshadowed the continuation of this new war-socialism into the peace period in discourses of great fire and beauty.

In Great Britain there was created a Ministry of Reconstruction, which was understood to be planning a new and more generous social order, better labour conditions, better housing, extended education, a complete and scientific revision of the economic system. And the word "Reconstruction" coloured the lives and sustained the hopes of the distressed multitude (1903) everywhere. Similar promises of a better world sustained the common soldiers of France and Germany and Italy. It was premature disillusionment that caused the Russian collapse. So that two mutually dangerous streams of anticipation were running through the minds of men in Western Europe towards the end of the war. The rich and adventurous men, and particularly the new war profiteers, were making their plans to prevent such developments as, for example, that air transport should become a State property, and to snatch back manufactures, shipping, land transport, the trade in staples, and the public services generally, from the hands of the common weal into the grip of private profit—they were securing possession of newspapers and buying themselves with party caucuses and the like to that end; while, on the other hand, the masses of common men were looking forward naively to a new state of society planned almost entirely in their interest and according to generous general ideas. The history of 1919 is largely the clash of these two streams of anticipation. There was a hasty selling off, by the "business" government in control, of every remunerative public enterprise to private speculators. . . .

By the middle of 1919 the labour masses throughout the world were manifestly disappointed and in a thoroughly bad temper. The British "Ministry of Reconstruction" and its foreign equivalents were exposed as a soothing sham. The common man felt he had been cheated. There was to be no reconstruction, but only a restoration of the old order—in a bleaker form necessitated by the poverty of the new time.

For four years the drama of the war had obscured the social question which had been developing in the Western civilizations throughout the nineteenth Century. Now that the war was over, this question reappeared gaunt and bare, as it had never been seen before.

And the irritations and hardships and the general insecurity of the new time were exacerbated by a profound disturbance of currency and credit. Money, a complicated growth of conventions rather than a system of values, had been deprived within the belligerent countries of the support of a gold standard. Gold had been retained only for international trade, and every government had produced excessive quantities of paper money for domestic use. With the breaking down of the war-time barriers the international exchange became a wildly fluctuating confusion, a source of distress to everyone except a few gamblers and speculators. Prices rose and rose—with an infuriating effect upon the wage-earner. On the one hand was his employer (1904) resisting his demands for more pay; on the other hand, food, house-room, and clothing were being cornered against him. And—which was the essential danger of the situation—he began to lose whatever confidence he had ever possessed that patience or industrial willfulness he displayed would really alleviate the shortages and inconveniences by which he suffered.

In most of the European countries there was an urgent need of houses. Throughout the war there had been a cessation not only of building but of repairs. The shortage of houses in the last months of 1919 amounted to between 250,000 and a million homes in Britain alone. Conditions in France and Germany were even worse. Multitudes of people were living in a state of exasperating congestion, and the most shameless profiteering in apartments and houses was going on. It was a difficult but not an impossible situation. Given the same enthusiasm and energy and self-sacrifice that had tided over the monstrous crisis of 1916, the far easier task of providing a million houses could have been performed in a year or so. But there had been corners in building materials, transport was in a disordered state, and it did not pay private enterprise to build houses at any rents within the means of the people who needed them. Private enterprise, therefore, so far from bothering about the public need of housing, did nothing but corner and speculate in rents and sub-letting. It now demanded grants in aid from the State—in order to build at a profit.

And as another example of the inadequacy of a profiteering system to solve the problems of the time, there was a great crowding and dislocation of goods at the depots because there was insufficient road transport. There was an urgent want of cheap automobiles to move about goods and workers. But private enterprise in the automobile industry imagined it would be far more profitable to produce splendid and costly cars for those whom the war had made rich. The munition factories built with ready money could have been converted very readily into factories for the mass production of cheap automobiles; but private enterprise had insisted upon these factories being sold by the State, and would neither meet the public need itself nor let the State do so.

So, too, with the world in the direst discomfort for need of shipping, private enterprise insisted upon the shutting down of the newly-constructed State shipyards.

Currency was dislocated everywhere, but private enterprise was busy buying and selling francs or marks and intensifying the trouble. {1095

These are facts that the historian of mankind is obliged to note with as little comment as possible. Private enterprise in Europe in 1919 and 1920 displayed neither will nor capacity for meeting the urgent needs of the time. So soon as it was released from control, it ran naturally into speculation, cornering, and luxury production. It followed the line of maximum profit. It displayed no sense of its own dangers; and it resisted any attempt to restrain and moderate its profits and make itself serviceable, even in its own interest.

And this went on in the face of the most striking manifestations of the extreme recalcitrance on the part of the European masses to the prolonged continuance of the privations and inconveniences they suffered. In 1913 these masses were living as they had lived since birth; they were habituated to the life they led. The masses of 1919, on the other hand, had been uprooted everywhere, to go into the armies, to go into munition factories, and so on. They had lost their habits of acquiescence, and they were harder and more capable of desperate action. Great multitudes of men had gone through such brutalizing training as, for instance, bayonet drill; they had learnt to be ferocious, and to think less either of killing or being killed. Social unrest had become, therefore, much more dangerous.

It was not that the masses had or imagined that they had the plan of a new social, political, and economic system. They had not, and they did not believe they had. The defects we have pointed out in the socialist scheme were no secret from them. It was a much more dangerous state of affairs than that. It was that they were becoming so disgusted with the current system, with its luxury, its waste, and its general misery, that they did not care what happened afterwards so long as they could destroy it. It was a return to a state of mind comparable to that which had rendered possible the debacle of the Roman Empire.

Everywhere in Europe the forces of social revolution began to stir, and most notably in Italy and Germany. Communism displayed exceptional aggressiveness in Italy. In various parts of Italy there appeared communist mayors, and in Bologna there was a forcible attempt to put communist principles into Operation. In July, 1920, Giolitti, a neutralist who had opposed the war, replaced Signor Nitti as head of the government. He made various experiments in co-partnership between the industrial workers and their employers. In September many steel works and other factories were seized by the workers, who began

The slide towards communism continued during 1921 in the face of a gathering opposition, and there was rioting and violence in Florence, Trieste, Puglia, Pisa and many other places. The socializing measures of Giolitti had produced a violent reaction among the classes interested in private property, and an organization of young men had grown up, the Fascisti, who affected shock heads, black shirts, nationalism of the intensest sort, and anti-socialism. They met violence with violence, they carried it to new extremes, they established an anti-socialist terror. They found a leader of great energy and slight scruples,

Benito Mussolini, formerly a radical journalist. Under his skillful direction the Fascisti speedily outdistanced the sporadic and sentimental outrages of the communists. Liberal leaders and writers were waylaid and beaten with clubs. A favourite method of the Fascisti was the administration of over-doses of poison oil to persons who criticized their proceedings unfavourably. Murder, beatings, torture, the burning of the private property of liberal thinkers, became the methods of social control in Italy. The shadow of communism was replaced by the reality of brigand rule.

By October, 1922, the Fascisti had grown to such strength that they constituted a veritable army and could march upon Rome. The Cabinet proclaimed martial law and prepared to fight, but the king refused his assent to these measures and invited Mussolini to take control of the government. The employment of force, this dictatorial and arbitrary method of government, the Fascisti were put in control of the police and armed forces of the country. The freedom of the Press was destroyed, elections became a farce, political opponents continued to be assaulted, terrorized, murdered, and Mussolini, under the title of Il Duce, became virtual dictator, the king falling back into relative obscurity.

For a time a certain rough economic efficiency was restored to Italy, but to this day the social outlook of that great country remains dark and uncertain. The Italian situation remains profoundly interesting to all the world, because it manifests in the harshest and crudest forms the quality of the extreme left and the extreme right in contemporary human affairs, the impracticability and incapacity of the former and the readiness with which private ownership and enterprise, when put upon the defensive, can degenerate into violence and brigandage. Italy, like Russia, has become a jail for every free-minded person. The creeping disease of illegality, upon which we have already animadverted in our criticism of [Kipling's] *Stalky and Co.*,¹ is in full flower in both these countries. But Italy does not stand alone in this matter; it is merely the most fully developed instance of a universal tendency of the times. In Germany, France and Great Britain Fascists have found rivals and imitators, but so far their activities in these countries have amounted to a nuisance rather than a tyranny.

§2 President Wilson at Versailles.

We have noted the general social and economic disorder of the European communities in the years following the war, before giving any account of the work of world settlement that centred on the Peace Conference at Paris, because the worried and pre-occupied state of everyone concerned with private problems of income, prices, employment and the like goes far to explain the jaded atmosphere in which that Conference addressed itself to the vast task before it. One cannot expect a vigorous public life when individual lives are confused and distressed.

The story of the Conference turns very largely upon the adventure of one particular man, one of those men whom accident or personal quality picks out as a type to lighten the task of the historian. We have in the course of this history found it very helpful at times to focus our attention upon some individual—Buddha, Alexander the Great, Yuan Chwang, the Emperor Frederick II, Charles V, and Napoleon I, for example—and to let him by reflection illuminate the period in which he lived. The conclusion of the Great War can be seen most easily as the rise of the American president, President Wilson, to predominant importance in the world's hopes and attention, and his failure to justify that predominance.

President Wilson (1856-1924) had previously been a prominent student and teacher of constitutional law and the political sciences generally. He had held various professorial chairs, and had been President of Princeton University (New Jersey). There is a long list of books to his credit, and they show a mind rather exclusively directed to American history and American politics. He retired from academic life, and was elected Democratic Governor of New Jersey in 1910. In 1913 he became the Democratic presidential candidate, and as a consequence of a violent quarrel between ex-President Roosevelt and President Taft, which split the dominant Republican party, President of the United States.

The events of August, 1914, seem to have taken President 109 Wilson, like the rest of his fellow-countrymen, by surprise. We find him cabling an offer of his Services as a mediator on August 3rd. Then, for a time, he and America watched the conflict. At first neither the American people nor their President seem to have had a very clear or profound understanding of that long-gathered catastrophe. Their tradition for a century had been to disregard the problems of the Old World, and it was not to be lightly changed. The imperialistic arrogance of the German Court and the alleged inclination of the German military authorities towards melodramatic "rightfulness," their invasion of Belgium, their use of poison gas, and the nuisance of their submarine campaign, created a deepening hostility to Germany in the United States. The outbreak of the European conflict restrained the President from active intervention. He adopted a lofty tone. He professed to be unable to judge the causes and justice of the Great War. It was largely his high public attitude that secured his re-election as President for a second term.

But the world is not to be mended by merely regarding evil-doers with an expression of rather indiscriminating disapproval. By the end of 1916 the Germans had been encouraged to believe that under no circumstances whatever would the United States fight, and in 1917 they began their unrestricted submarine warfare and the sinking of American ships without notice. President Wilson and the American people were dragged into the war by this supreme folly. And, also, they were dragged into a reluctant attempt to define their relation to Old World politics in some other terms than those of mere aloofness. Their thoughts and temper changed very rapidly. They came into the war side by side with the Allies, but not in any pact with the Allies. They came into the war, in the name of their own modern civilization, to punish and end an intolerable political and military situation.

Slow and belated judgments are sometimes the best judgments. In a series of "notes," too long and various for detailed treatment in this Outline, thinking aloud, as it were, in the hearing of all mankind, President Wilson sought to state the essential differences of the American State from the Great Powers of the Old World. He unfolded a conception of international relationships that came like a gospel, like the hope of a better world, to the whole eastern hemisphere.

Secret agreements were to cease, "nations" were determine (1099) their own destinies, militarist aggression was to cease, the sea-ways were to be free to all mankind. These commonplaces of American thought, these secret desires of every sane man, came like a great light upon the darkness of anger and conflict in Europe. At last, men felt, the ranks of diplomacy were broken, the veils of Great Power "policy" were rent in twain. Here, with authority, with the strength of a powerful new nation behind it, was the desire of the common man throughout the world, plainly said.

Manifestly there was needed some overriding Instrument of government to establish world law and maintain these broad and liberal generalizations upon human intercourse. A number of schemes had floated in men's minds for the attainment of that end. In particular, there was a movement for some sort of world league, a "League of Nations." The American President adopted this phrase and sought to realize it. An essential condition of the peace he sought was he declared to be this federal organ. This League of Nations was to be the final court of appeal in international affairs. It was to be the substantial Nationalization of the peace. Here, again, he awakened a tremendous echo.

President Wilson was for a time the spokesman of a new age. Throughout war, and for some little time after it had ended, he held, so far as the Old World was concerned, that exalted position. But in America where they knew him better there were doubts. And, writing as we do now, with the wisdom of subsequent events, we can understand these doubts. America, throughout a century and more of detachment and security had developed new ideals and formulae; of political thought, without realizing with any intensity that, under conditions of stress and danger, these ideals and formulas might have to be passionately sustained. To her community many things were platitudes that had to the Old-World communities, entangled still in ancient political complications, the quality of a saving gospel. President Wilson was responding to the thought and; conditions of his own people and his own country, based on a liberal tradition that had first found its full expression [1100] in English speech; but to Europe and Asia he seemed to be thinking and saying, for the first time in history, things hitherto undeveloped and altogether secret. And that misconception he may have shared.

We are dealing here with an able and successful professor of political science, who did not fully realize what he owed to his contemporaries and the literary and political atmosphere he had breathed throughout his life; and who passed very rapidly, after his re-election as President, from the mental attitudes of a political leader to those of a Messiah. His "notes" are a series of explorations of the elements of the world situation. When at last, in his address to Congress of January 8th, 1918, he produced his Fourteen Points as a definite statement of the American peace intentions, they were, as a statement, far better in their spirit than in their arrangement and matter.



This document demanded open agreements between nations and an end to secret diplomacy, free navigation of the high seas, free commerce, disarmament, and a number of political readjustments upon the lines of national independence. Finally, in the Fourteenth Point, it required "a general association of nations" to guarantee the peace of the world. He sought "peace without victory."

These Fourteen Points had an immense reception throughout the world. Here at last seemed a peace for reasonable men everywhere, as good and acceptable to honest and decent Germans and Russians as to honest and decent Frenchmen and Englishmen and Belgians; and for some months the whole world was lit by faith in Wilson. Could they have been made the basis of a world settlement in 1919, they would forthwith have opened a new and more hopeful era in human affairs.

But, as we must tell, they did not do that. There was about President Wilson a certain narrow egotism; there was in the generation of people in the United States to whom this great occasion came—a generation born in security, reared in plenty and, so far as history goes, in ignorance—a generation remote from the tragic issues that had made Europe grave—a certain superficiality and lightness of mind. [Wells doesn't know the USA, far from being innocent and independent, was under the thumb of Jew money-RW] It was not that the American people were superficial by nature and necessity, but that they had never been deeply stirred by the idea of a human community larger than their own. It was an intellectual, but not a moral, conviction with them. One had on the one hand these new people of the New World, with their new ideas, their finer and better ideas, of peace and world righteousness, and on the other the old, bitter, deeply enlarged peoples of the Great [1101] Power system; and the former were crude and rather childish in their immense inexperience, and the latter were seasoned and bitter and intricate.

The theme of this clash of the raw idealist youthfulness of a new age with the experienced ripeness of the old was treated years ago by that great novelist, Henry James, in a very typical story called Daisy Miller. It is the pathetic story of a frank, trustful, high-minded, but rather simple-minded American girl, with a real disposition towards righteousness and a great desire for a "good time," and how she came to Europe and was swiftly entangled and put in the wrong, and at last driven to welcome death by the complex tortuousness and obstinate limitations of the older world. There have been a thousand variants of that theme in real life, a thousand such transatlantic tragedies, and the story of President Wilson is one of them. But it is not to be supposed, because the new thing succumbs to the old intentions, that is the final condemnation of the new thing. Probably no fallible human being manifestly trying to do his best amidst overwhelming circumstances has been subjected to such minute, searching, and pitiless criticism as President Wilson. He is blamed for conducting the war and the ensuing peace negotiations on strictly party lines. He remained, it is charged against him, the President representing the American Democratic Party, when circumstances conspired to make him the representative of the general interests of mankind. He made no attempt to incorporate with himself such great American leaders as ex-President Roosevelt, ex-President Taft, and the like. He did not draw fully upon the moral and intellectual resources of the States; he made the whole issue too personal, and he surrounded himself with merely personal adherents. And a grave error was his decision to come to the Peace Conference himself. Nearly every experienced critic seems to be of opinion that he should have remained in America, in the role of America, speaking occasionally as if a nation spoke. Throughout the concluding years of the war he had, by that method, achieved an unexampled position in the world.

Says Doctor Dillon: ["The Peace Conference."] "Europe, when the President touched its shores, was as day ready for the creative poet. Never before were the nations so eager to follow a Moses who would take them to the long-promised land where wars are prohibited and blockades unknown. And to their thinking he was that great leader. In France men bowed down before him with awe and affection. Labour leaders in Paris told me that they [1102] shed tears of joy in his presence, and that their comrades would go through fire and water to help him to realize his noble schemes. To the wrong classes in Italy his name was a heavenly clarion at the sound of which the earth would be renewed. The Germans regarded him and his humane doctrine as their sheet-anchor of safety. The fearless Herr Muehlon said: 'If President Wilson were to address the Germans, and pronounce a severe sentence upon them, they would accept it with resignation and without a murmur and set to work at once.' In German-Austria his fame was that of a saviour, and the mere mention of his name brought bail to the suffering and surcease of sorrow to the afflicted. ..."

Such was the overpowering expectation of the audience to which President Wilson prepared to show himself. He reached France on board the George Washington in December, 1918. He brought his wife with him. That seemed, no doubt, a perfectly natural and proper thing to an American mind. Quite a number of the American representatives brought their wives. Unhappily, a social quality, way, almost a tourist quality, was introduced into the world settlement by these ladies. Transport facilities were limited, and most of them arrived in Europe with a radiant air of privilege. They came as if they came to a treat. They were, it was intimated, seeing Europe under exceptionally interesting circumstances. They would visit Chester, or Warwick, or Windsor, en route—for they might not have a chance of seeing these celebrated places again. Important interviews would be broken off to get in a visit to some "old historical mansion." This may seem a trivial matter to note in a History of Mankind, but it was such small human things as this that threw a miasma of futility over the Peace Conference of 1919. In a little while one discovered that Wilson, the Hope of Mankind, had vanished, and that all the illustrated fashion papers contained pictures of a delighted tourist and his wife, grouped smilingly with crowned heads and such-like enviable company. ... It is so easy to be over the event, and to perceive that he should not have come over. [1103]

The men he had chiefly to deal with, for example M. Clemenceau (France), Mr. Lloyd George and Mr. Balfour (Britain), Baron Sonnino and Signor Orlando (Italy), were men of widely dissimilar historical traditions. But in one respect they resembled him and appealed to his sympathies. They, too, were party politicians, who had led their country through the war. Like himself they had failed to grasp the necessity of entrusting the work of settlement to more specially qualified men.

"They were the merest novices in international affairs. Geography, ethnology, psychology, and political history were sealed books to them. Like the Rector of Louvain University, who told Oliver Goldsmith that, as he had become the head of that institution without knowing Greek, he failed to see why it should be taught there, the chiefs of State, having obtained the highest position in their respective countries without more than an inkling of international affairs, were unable to realize the importance of mastering them or the impossibility of repairing the omission as they went along. ... [Dillon, The Peace Conference.]

"What they lacked, however, might in some degree be supplied by their helpers men more happily endowed than themselves. But they deliberately chose mediocrities. It is a mark of genial spirits that they are well served, but the plenipotentiaries of the Conference were not characterized by it. Away in the background some of them had families or casual prompters to whose counsels they were wont to listen, but many of the adjoints who moved in the limelight of the world-stage were gritless and pitiless.

"As the heads of the principal Governments implicitly claimed to be the authorized spokesmen of the human race, and endowed with unlimited powers, it is worth noting that this claim was boldly challenged by the people's organs in the Press. Nearly all the Journals read by the masses objected from the first to the dictatorship of the group of Premiers. Mr Wilson being excepted. ..."

The restriction upon our space in this Outline will not allow us [1104] us to tell here how the Peace Conference shrank from a Council of Ten to a Council of Four (Wilson, Clemenceau, Lloyd George and Orlando), and how it became a Conference less and less like a frank and open cosmopolitan caravanserai teeming with unwonted aspects of life and turmoil, filled with curious samples of the races, tribes, and tongues of four continents who came to watch and wait for the mysterious to-morrow.

"An Arabian Nights' touch was imparted to the dissolving panorama by strange visitors from Tartary and Kurdistan, Corea and Azerbeijan, Armenia, Persia, and the Hedjaz—men with patriarchal beards and scimitar-shaped noses, and others from desert and oasis, from Samarkand and Bokhara, Turbans and fezes, sugar-loaf hats and head-gear resembling episcopal mitres, old military uniforms devised for the embryonic armies of new states on the eve of perpetual peace, snowy-white burnouses, flowing mantles, and graceful garments like the Roman toga, contributed to create an atmosphere of dreamy unreality in the city where the world was faced with the future."

"Then came the men of wealth, of intellect, of industrial enterprise, [Note: Wells does not notice Jews in his international troughs-RW] and the seed-bearers of the ethical new ordering, members of economic committees from the United States, Britain, Italy, Poland, Russia, India, and Japan, representatives of naphtha industries and far-off coal mines, pilgrims, fanatics and charlatans from all climes, priests of all religions, preachers of every doctrine, who mingled with princes, field-marshal, statesmen, anarchists, builders-up and pullers-down. All of them burned with desire to be near to the crucible in which the political and social systems of the world were to be melted and recast.

"Every day, in my walks, in my apartment, or at restaurants, I met emissaries from lands and peoples whose very names had seldom been heard of before in the West. A delegation from the Pont-Euxine Greeks called on me, and discoursed of the ancient cities of Trebizond, Samsoun, Tripoli, Kerassund, in which I resided many years ago, and informed me that they too, desired to become welded into an independent state. The Albanians were represented by my old friend Turkish Pasha [1105] on the one hand, and by my friend Essad Pasha on the other—the former desirous of Italy's protection, the latter demanding complete independence. Chinamen, Japanese, Koreans, Hindus, Kirghizes, Lesghiens, Circassians, Mingrelians, Buryats, Malays, and Negroes and Negroids from Africa and America were among the tribes and tongues forgathered in Paris to watch the rebuilding of the political world system and to see where they 'came in.' ..."

To this thronging, amazing Paris, agape for a new world, came President Wilson, and found its gathering forces dominated by a personality narrower, in every way more limited and beyond comparison more forcible than himself: the French Premier, M. Clemenceau. At the instance of President Wilson, M. Clemenceau was elected President of the Conference. "It was," said President Wilson, "a special tribute to the sufferings and sacrifices of France."

And that, unhappily, sounded the keynote of the Conference, whose sole business should have been with the future of mankind. Georges Benjamin Clemenceau was an old journalist politician, a great denouncer of abuses, a great upsetter of governments, a doctor who had, while a municipal councillor, kept a free clinic, and a fierce, experienced duelist. None of his duels ended fatally, but he faced them with great intrepidity. He had passed from the medical school to republican journalism in the days of the Empire. In those days he was an extremist of the Left. He was for a time a teacher in America, and he married, and was afterwards divorced from, an American wife. He was thirty in the eventful year 1871. He returned to France after Sedan, and flung himself into the stormy politics of the defeated nation with great fire and vigour.

Thereafter, France was his world, the France of vigorous journalism, high-spirited personal quarrels, challenges, confrontations, scenes, dramatic effects, and witticisms at any cost. He was what people call "fierce stuff," he was nicknamed the "Tiger," and he seems to have been rather proud of his nickname. Professional patriot rather than statesman and thinker, this was the man whom the war had flung up to misrepresent the fine mind and the generous spirit of France.

His limitations had a profound effect upon the Conference, which was further coloured by the dramatic resort, for the purpose of signature, to the very Hall of Mirrors at Versailles in which Germany had triumphed and proclaimed her unity. There the Germans were to sign.

To M. Clemenceau and to France, in that atmosphere, the {1106} war ceased to seem a world war; it was merely the sequel of the previous conflict of the Terrible Year, the downfall and punishment of offending Germany. "The world had to be made safe for democracy," said President Wilson. That from M. Clemenceau's expressed point of view was "talking like Jesus Christ." The world had to be made safe for Paris. "Talking like Jesus Christ" seemed a very ridiculous thing to many of those brilliant rather than sound diplomats who politicized the war.

(Another flash of the "Tiger's" wit, it may be noted, was that President Wilson with his Fourteen Points was "worse" than God Almighty. "Le bon Dieu" only had ten. ...)

M. Clemenceau sat with Signor Orlando in the more central chairs of a semicircle of four in front of the fire, says Keynes. He wore a black frockcoat and grey suede gloves, which he never removed during these sessions. He was, it is to be noted, the only one of these four reconstructors of the world who could understand and speak both French and English.

The aims of M. Clemenceau were simple and in a manner attainable. He wanted all the settlement of 1871 undone. He wanted Germany punished as though she was a uniquely sinful nation and France a sinless martyr land. He wanted Germany so crippled and devastated [sic] as never more to be able to stand up to France. He wanted to hurt and humiliate Germany. He did not care if in bruising Germany France was broken; his hand did not go far enough beyond the goal he understood that possibility. He accepted President Wilson's League of Nations as an excellent proposal if it would guarantee the security of France whatever she did, but he preferred a binding alliance of the United States and England to maintain, uphold, and glorify France under practically any circumstances. He wanted wider opportunities for the exploitation of Syria, North Africa, and so forth by Parisian financial groups.


He wanted indemnities to recuperate France, loans, gifts, and tributes to France, glory and homage to France. France had suffered, and France had to be rewarded. Belgium, Russia, Serbia, Poland, Armenia, Britain, Germany, and Austria had all suffered, too; all mankind had suffered, but what would you? That was not his affair. These were the supers of a drama in which France was for him the star. ... In much the same spirit Signor Orlando seems to have sought the welfare of Italy.

Mr. Lloyd George brought to the Council of Four the subtlety of a Welshman, the intricacy of a European, and an urgent [1107] necessity for respecting the nationalist egotism of the British imperialists and capitalists who had returned him to power. Into the secrecy of that council went President Wilson with the very noblest aims for his newly discovered American world-policy, his rather hastily compiled Fourteen Points, and a project rather than a scheme for a League of Nations.

"There can seldom have been a statesman of the first rank more incompetent than the President in the agilities of the Council Chamber." [Keynes.] From the whispering darkneses and fireside disputes of that council, and after various comings and goings we cannot here describe, he emerged at last with his Fourteen Points pitifully torn and dishevelled, but with a little puling infant of a League of Nations, which could die or which might live and grow—no one could tell. But that much, at least, he had saved. ...



M. Clemenceau



M. Clemenceau



M. Clemenceau



Mr. Lloyd George

§3 Constitution of the League of Nations.

This homunculus in a bottle which it was hoped might become at last Man ruling the Earth, this League of Nations as it was embodied in the Covenant of April 28th, 1919, was not a League of Peoples at all; it was, the world discovered, a league of "states, dominions, or colonies." It was stipulated that these should be "fully self-governing," but there was no definition whatever of this phrase. There was no bar to a limited franchise and no provision for any direct control by the people of any state. India figured—presumably as a "fully self-governing state"! An autocracy would no doubt have been admissible as a "fully self-governing" democracy with a franchise limited to one person. The League of the Covenant of 1919 was, in fact, a league of "representatives" of foreign Offices, and it did not even supersede embassies at every capital.

The British Empire appeared once as a whole, and then India (I) and the four dominions of Canada, Australia, South Africa and New Zealand appeared as separate sovereign states. Later, Ireland attained a separate status. The Indian representative was, of course, sure to be merely a British nominee; the dominion representatives would be colonial politicians. But if the British Empire was to be thus dissected, a representative of Great Britain should have been substituted for the imperial representative, and Egypt should also have been given representation. Moreover, either New York State or [1108] Virginia was historically and legally as much a sovereign state as New Zealand or Canada. The inclusion of India raised logical claims for French Africa and French Asia. One French representative did propose a separate vote for the little principality of Monaco.

There was to be an assembly of the League in which every member state was to be represented and to have equal voice, but the working directorate of the League was to be vested in the Council, which was to consist of the representatives of the United States, Britain, France, Italy, and Japan, with four other members elected by the Assembly. The Council was to meet once a year; the gatherings of the Assembly were to be at "stated intervals," not stated.

Except in certain specified instances the League of Nations would make decisions by the lines of the old Polish liberum veto. This was a quite disastrous provision. To many minds it made the Covenant League rather less desirable than no league at all. It was a complete recognition of the unalienable sovereignty of states, and a repudiation of the idea of an overriding commonwealth of mankind. This provision practically barred the way to all amendments to the league constitution in future except by the clumsy expedient of a simultaneous withdrawal of the majority of member states desiring a change, to form the league again on new lines. The Covenant made inevitable such a final winding-up of the league it created, and that was perhaps the best thing about it.

The following powers, it was proposed, should be excluded from the original league: Germany, Austria, Russia, and whatever remains there were of the Turkish Empire. But any of these might subsequently be included with the assent of two-thirds of the Assembly. The original membership of the league as specified in the projected Covenant was: the United States of America, Belgium, Bolivia, Brazil, the British Empire (Canada, Australia, South Africa, New Zealand, and India), China, Cuba, Ecuador, France, Greece, Guatemala, Haiti, the Hedjaz, Honduras, Italy, Japan, Liberia, Nicaragua, Panama, Peru, Poland, Portugal, Rumania, the Serb-Croat-Slovene State, Siam, Czecho-Slovakia and Uruguay. To which were to be added by invitation the following powers which had been neutral in the war: the Argentine Republic, Chile, Colombia, Denmark, Holland, Norway, Paraguay, Persia, Salvador, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and Venezuela.

Such being the constitution of the league, it is scarcely to [1109] be wondered at that its powers were special and limited. It was given a seat at Geneva and a secretariat. It had no powers even to inspect the military preparations of its constituent states, or to instruct a military and naval staff to plan to let the armed co-operation needed to keep the peace of the world.

The French representative in the League of Nations Commission, M. Leon Bourgeois, insisted lucidly and repeatedly on the logical necessity of such powers. As a Speaker he was rather copious and lacking in "spice" of the Clemenceau quality. The final scene in the plenary Session of April 28th, before the adoption of the Covenant, is described compactly by Mr. Wilson and his "E" of talking to the officials in the war department and the mass of journalists at the lower end of the room. "At the head of the room the Big Three" diverted themselves in undertones at the expense of the worthy M. Bourgeois, now launched, with the help of what must have been an entirely superfluous sheaf of notes, on the fifth rendering of his speech in support of his famous amendments."

They were so often "diverting themselves in undertones," those three men whom God had mocked with the most tremendous opportunity in history. Keynes gives other instances of the levities, vulgarities, disregards, inattentions and inadequacies of these meetings.



This poor Covenant, arrived at in this fashion, returned with President Wilson to America, and there it met all the resentful Opposition of the republican party and all the antagonism of the men who had been left out of the European excursion. The Senate refused to ratify the Covenant, and the first meeting of the League Council was held, therefore, without American representatives.

The close of 1919 and the opening months of 1920 saw a very curious change come over American feeling after the pro-French and pro-British enthusiasms of the war period. The peace negotiations reminded the Americans, in a confused and very irritating way, of their profound differences in international outlook from any European power, that the war had for a time helped them to forget. They felt they had been "rushed" into many things without due consideration. They experienced a violent revulsion towards that policy of isolation that had broken down in 1917. The close of 1919 saw a phase, a very understandable phase, of passionate and even violent "Americanism," in which European imperialism and European socialism ... etc



Modern Communications.

Left to right: 1869 metal telegraph
1901 underwater cables
2014 rail links including human traffic
2015 Airlines including human traffic
2018 optical cables

Foot, horse, road, ship, rail, signals, semaphore etc not shown. This is the technology; messages, secrecy, codes, diplomacy etc not shown. Venice etc had efficient diplomatic services. So did the Rothschilds.



The World Land-Bridge Network—Key Links and Corridors
Continents, underways or corridors



Briefly: Jews by 1913 had gained control of US money. Jews had secret control of all the industrialised countries: USA, Germany, Britain, France. They also had significant control in Russia, eastern Europe, Turkey, China, India, South Africa, and Arabia. Telegraphic and wireless communications were fairly new and unprecedented (though far less efficient than today's). They had subordinates who were reliable and utterly subservient, such as Freemasons. In any country, they could organise disruptions and nuisance, under cover of their media control; the assassination of the Archduke was preceded by large numbers of atrocities in Russia, but also England and Ireland. Jewish instincts and training must have impelled them to want two principal things: (1) Large-scale deaths of non-Jews; (2) Increases in financial power.

I suggest the First World War was contrived to cause massive deaths in Europe, combined with establishing new countries in eastern Europe, in place of their very unclear vague areas; in this way, local Jews in each new country could organise political chiefs and control of banking and industry. The Treaty of Versailles did this, drawing borders under the pretext of rights of small nations. Jews at the Treaty had sufficient local knowledge to insert many problematic points in the new borders, as future flashpoints; Danzig being an obvious example. [Danzig corridor that had been part of Germany for over 500 years until the area was put under Polish government rule in 1919 due to the Versailles Treaty]

... 1918 Treaty of Brest-Litovsk, imposed on defeated, revolution-torn Russia by the German-led Central Powers. The draconian treaty tore away a quarter of Russia's population and industry, and vast swathes of Russian-ruled territory: Poland, the Baltic States, Belarus, Ukraine, Crimea, Bessarabia and Finland. Like Hitler, Stalin was determined to regain lost territories. This he largely did from 1920-1939. The 1939 Molotov-Ribbentrop Pact was the final act in the restoration of the old Russian Tsarist Empire.

Arabia split into mandates after ottoman empire collapsed. Probably it was Jews taking advantage of the chaos of the Ottoman Empire. At Versailles, Europe was intentionally set up with fault lines everywhere - Czechoslovakia, Yugoslavia, Danzig corridor, boundaries drawn where they shouldn't have been, bits of Germany removed, south Ireland set up to be taken over by hispanic Jews etc.

Jews Albright and Clark had NATO bomb Serbia so IMF Jews could make loans for rebuilding and get control of Serbian iridium assets... while Saudi non-profit n.g.o.s kept the KLA terrorists well fed and well armed... Just like ISIS in Syria... their Zionist bedfellows on Wall Street want Ukraine for GMO grain crops, that is why Monsanto (now Bayer), Genentec, ADM, etc., are wanting to buy land with the help of the Jews at the IMF, by giving these Ukrainian "Dill" idiots debt relief.

The fake 'Russian Revolution'—controlled by Jews; stories were made up pretending there had been a 'Revolution'—meant takeover of Russia and its territories. Other parts of the world (German Empire, Ottoman Empire) were taken over.

The Second World War aimed to destroy more non-Jews, principally in the vast territories of eastern Europe and Germany and Poland. China was subverted by Jews; India had the Bengal famine. The USA took the leading role in war on Japan, damaging Chinese nationalism, and (probably) funding Hitler and his Jewish henchmen. Control of information was essential: Russia was flooded with Jewish propaganda; any protests against Jews were met with death. The BBC was completely subservient to Jews. The US media were completely subservient too. Depressions in many parts of the world were generated by Jews calling in loans, so money could be directed to Jews in Russia under Stalin. Gold taken from Russians and Americans added more. War was fanned by Jewish actions, such as border atrocities from Poland against Germany. Poland was given absurd assurances, which we never intended to be taken seriously. Germans were enraged, as Hitler's peace offers were continually turned down; eventually Germans were put in a mood to fight, despite the chances of victory being zero—Germany was too small. Jewish skill was to propagandise the USA and Britain against Germany, until most Americans and most Britons were convinced Germany was war-mongering, fanatical, dangerous. And most Germans were told Britain and the US were unreasonable, ignorant, controlled by Jews such as Churchill and Roosevelt. Jews controlled, or had spies, in the intelligence services, and controlled the industries and finance in the weapon-producing countries. So they controlled factories and production, and knew pretty much every important plan. All they had to do was maximise deaths and build up debts, hide information about mass murders and genocides in Russia, take over assets such as the British Empire, the French Empire, and German patents. The war was necessary to hide Jewish mass murders. It was necessary to kill anyone who might have had information about Jewish mass murders. The 'Cold War' was invented to direct money to Jews, waste vast sums on weapons frauds, and prevent investigations of their destruction of Slavs and others across the northern parts of Russia.

The Age of Manufactured Dictators Begins [Top of page]

Lenin and [date] Stalin might be seen as isolated jews, pursuing aggressively parasitic jewish policies

Probably the reason for pushing 'supermen' as per Nietzsche, G B Shaw and others - distracting from jewish policies of infiltration

Mussolini - Hitler - early film animated voyage to the moon shows acting style https://youtu.be/PdPLX6oWxLU

Italy: other example Gabriel d'Annunzio

Belgium: 'Belgium' was a manufactured country. Léon Degrelle 1906-1994 'Catholic Rexist Party'. Some film online; his oratory typically was vague and omitted WW1 Flanders deaths. Included references to something great, death, and to the degradation of mere material goods. He doesn't seem to have mentioned Jews. And he lived in luxury in Spain.

Degrelle reminds me of another theme which may have been promoted for social manipulation: self-sacrifice and puritanism. Cromwell knew that the re-introduction of Jews would mean impoverishment in 18th-century England. So why not suggest Puritanism, which would fit in with theft of Roman Catholic church gold and other valuables? The philosopher Schopenhauer (1788-1860) is a good example of puritanism-signalling: *Nor is the doctrine [of coming as nearly as possible to non-existence] sincere, if we may judge by Schopenhauer's life. He habitually dined well, at a good restaurant; he had many trivial love-affairs, which were sensual but not passionate; he was exceedingly quarrelsome and unusually avaricious. ... It is hard to find in his life evidences of any virtue except kindness to animals ... In all other respects he was completely selfish. It is difficult to believe that a man who was profoundly convinced of the virtue of asceticism and resignation would never have made any attempt to embody his convictions in his practice.*

[Note on the Xhosa in Africa, 1856-1857: movement supposedly started by a fifteen year old Xhosa girl named Nongqawuse. Though her mouthpiece appears to have been her uncle Mhlakaza, who may have been influenced by Christianity, according to Peires, presumably a Jew, writing after 2000. Various sections of the Xhosa people of the Eastern Cape and the Transkei slaughtered almost all their enormous stocks of cattle and deliberately killed their crops. Not all Xhosa did this, but their food was taken. Christian superstitions of resurrection and purification supposedly involved. Perhaps a labour recruitment policy? Cf cargo cults in which pale mimics of ports (or, later, airstrips) were

staffed by shirted and capped men with clipboards, or wooden 'radios', waiting for ship or air loads of goodies to materialise. Possibly invented supermen were a white equivalent.]

How Most People Still See the Second World War [Top of page]

Changes are needed to mental habits about wars. Most people have been trained to assume war is all about Group A vs Group B, perhaps with a bit of umprising, fought if possible economically, and fast, so as to spare needless suffering. Jewish ambitions are different.

Hitler, rather obviously, reigns in Jewish-swayed countries, as the supreme enemy and hate figure. As far as I can tell, the main Internet-era revisionist was Dr Paul Reznowski, described as of Polish-Ukrainian descent; an online reference gives 1999 as the date of his claim that almost all the top 'Nazis' were part-Jews. Reznowski seems to have been boosted by Roman Catholics, who seem unwilling to face the Jewish origins of Roman Catholics.

Below are a few samples of traditional views of WW2, including Montgomery of Alamein, David Irving, various Russians, and The Greatest Story Never Told, which adhere unthinkingly to this model of the Second World War, personalised as nations:--

Hilaire Belloc: Who wrote 100 years ago on [The Jews](#). He was a nationalist, opposing the Jew attitude that Jews ought to be able to select any host. All his life he was pro-war, on the Roman Catholic side. He hated the Germans for the 'Great War on France. But he had no real feeling for the idea that Jews, behind the scenes, were a huge influence on starting wars, although he noted the wealth of these few and very wealthy Jews has been scandalously increased through the (First World) war.

Montgomery: ... in Dec 1941 ... Hitler declared war on the USA. ... His motive in thus pitting Germany against the two most powerful states in the world simultaneously is unfathomable.—Montgomery can't understand there's another party; it simply doesn't occur to him

David Irving: Some people argue that Hitler, against the advice of his chiefs of staff, let the British get away, out of magnanimity. David Irving doesn't consider that, at Dunkirk, there was another party. He advertised, for a time, a book by John Wear, on Germany's War vs USSR. Again, it presumably does not mention the real enemy.

David Irving 20 April 2018 (on 'Barbarossa' in 'Hitler's War'): Today is the 129th anniversary of the birth of the man who thwarted the gigantic military offensive against Western Europe planned by Josef Stalin and the "Jewish-Bolshevik" Moscow leadership, with seven new armies raised in secret, which was scheduled to begin on July 15, 1941. **Maised in secret? Obviously the Jews running the USSR, and Jews in the USA and UK and Germany, elsewhere, must have known the figures for equipment.** The likeliest guess is that ordinary Russians and Germans, plus assorted allies, were intended to kill each other and destroy Germany with rape, murder, pillage, and occupation.

Russian veterans: The Germans fought better, much better than our [i.e. Russian and others] soldiers. Moreover—we've managed to win that war only by human flesh! quoted (German writer, under Jewish censorship) as though war was Germans vs Russians, with no other input.

The Greatest Story Never Told has of course much detail on war between Germany and the USSR, so far as it's known—but the whole presentation omits the fact that the enemy of both was Jews, not Russians.

'Peter' online in 2015 In two world wars the United States twice invaded Germany, a country thousands of miles away from it that had never done anything to the United States. In two World Wars Britain and France declared war on Germany precipitating a world war. After the war they chopped Germany and Austria up, taking lands with millions of Germans living there and awarding it to new countries they created, all in an effort to weaken Germany, a country they were jealous of and hated. After the war and before WW II, Germany was continuously invaded, had territory taken from it by Poland, Lithuania and other countries as the allies looked on and did nothing. ... Peter doesn't mention—in this passage — that (((America))), (((Britain))), (((France))), and (((Poland))) were heavily influenced by Jews and their local collaborators.



Moscow May 2018, under Putin. What about the tens of millions killed by Jews in and outside the USSR? No great patriotic victory celebration for them!

Bertrand Russell (aged nearly 90): ... the lives of movements ... form part of personal experience ... to facilitate estimates of probable success or failure. Communism, in spite of a very difficult beginning, (hugely funded by Jewish money taken from goyim—RWJ) has hitherto continued to increase in power and influence. Nazism, on the contrary, by snatching too early and too ruthlessly at dominion, came to grief... Russell reminds me of people unable to work out the reasons for unvoted mass immigration and for neocon wars, now.

Jan Lamprecht's site [History Reviewed](#) has online channels, and has for years. There are recent accounts of blacks collecting in mobs and looting, throwing stones, threatening to burn houses. The author laboriously describes this and calls it a 'race war', meaning presumably whites vs blacks. In fact he's aware that African blacks are used by Jews as thugs and thieves and murderers as deliberate policy. It's similar to Chicago, where parts have no franchised shops. It's therefore whites vs blacks vs Jews, but [History Reviewed](#) after years of investigation, seems unable to notice.

There were two great winners of the Second World War—the USA, and Russia' said Jan Lamprecht in an online talk. In fact of course the Jews were the great winner. How could anyone could think Russians, ruined by the war and GULags, poverty-stricken after thirty years of Jew exploitation, were 'great victors'?

Online website If Hitler's accomplishments were all Deep State approved and staged, that means WW II was totally unnecessary! It was unnecessary, between USSR and Germany. BUT Jews thought it was necessary, to kill off European whites whites and get more control.

West Point video on mistakes Hitler made (Germany vs Russia) ... There are many youtube by third-rate academic types, treating wars as though only the apparent principals were involved. It's amusing to see them trying to be great generals, oblivious of the big picture. Or at least amusing in a way, until the full realisation of damage being done to whites—and others—by the ignorant censorship of these 'teachers'.

Online comment on supplies December 2016: America has, for over a century, been a treacherous anti European country controlled by the jews. In WW1 the USA supplied the Central Powers with vast quantities of essential supplies so that the USA could bleed GB, France and Russia to death with War Loans. Austria was supplied through Spain. ... [800K deaths ac Bowden]

An online Jewish source says World War 2 was fought by three hard men, Germans, Russians, and Anglo-Americans, as though it's an unquestionable fact. Note that the crucial roles of Jews are not mentioned. Joe Sheffy's End by R C Sherriff was a popular, or at least heavily-advertised, stage play and film about the Great War, of infinite vulgarity and silliness, dating from 1928, by a writer of farces. It showed Germans vs Britons, and nobody else. Nothing on equipment, war aims, loans, etc.

Films I found a website (US Jewish, of course) which actually assessed *Saving Private Ryan*, *The Great Escape* and *Patton* as the three best US films on WW2.

The modern view, given new information on Rothschilds and other Jews funding all sides of wars, with secret agreements on repayments, and their near-monopoly of international intelligence (about equipment, assets, populations, and raw materials of countries), and secret organisations with locals in every country, makes the old view, typically as shown in rather infantile Jewish movies, dangerously incomplete and outdated.

- This piece shifts the entire focus of the wars making up the Second World War to Jews vs the rest.

Typical online comment, still quite a common Jewish-influenced type. ...

"The English knew that Hitler's word meant nothing. And the Nazis [sic] were monsters. The Nazis used force and terror to take everything they wanted. Then they rounded up free innocent people not just in Germany but everywhere they invaded. The only reason Germany would've asked to peace was time, to build their armed forces stronger. And one day conquer England."

... Online comment, which recognizes that one side of WW2 was Jews in clumps around the world power, But assumes Hitler was not part of that side. ...

"WW2 ... We were on the Jewish side, instead of the correct side"—Jan Lamprecht, on psychological warfare, with Alfred Schaeffer. (NB Jan Lamprecht invented the phrase 'Jew shock')

... 'Massa' Tobias', 13 April 2018, Youtube comment:

... You know a good way of knowing who's a jewish agent? See who's behind their finances, who funds them.

Both Hjalmar Horace Greeley Schacht and Walther Funk, Hitler's financial ministers credited to Germany's "economic miracle" during Hitler's years were Jews. "Coincidentally", neither of them were executed or even jailed during the Nuremberg trials and got away free.

Just as with the soviet union, a disproportionately large number of high-ranking nazis were jewish or half jewish. Couple that with several strategic blunders, almost too convenient to be true, who decided the outcome of the war and it's a pretty clear case of an orchestrated war.

The ultimate goal, just like in ww1 was to kill whites and take over their homelands. And what better way than to prop up controlled opposition so you can draft all the jew-aware people and have them die in war?

And never mind the so called persecution of jews, these few were all low rank patshies that the Zionist elite was more than happy to sacrifice if it meant solidifying their narrative. All in all, nazism was the most perfect ZOG in all of history. When Jew aware people were clever enough to avoid deception, the jews just created another attractive net to catch them, and that was nazism-fascism.

'Judaism'. Roman, Orthodox, & Protestant Christianity: And Islam [Top of page]

'Judaism' seems to be unique in its pathology—most so-called 'Jews' seem to be serious in their self-deception, and effortless straight-faced lying to non-Jews. Religions were remoulded by 'Jews' for their own purposes, making up scripts foisted on non-Jews. Important examples are Christians, Moslems, Jesuits and Quakers.

During the 19th century, religions seemed to be on the defensive; most Victorians were unimpressed by Catholicism and Protestantism and viewed them as something for the simpler classes, or something ignored by the simpler classes, or as a career, or as social control. But informed criticism of Jews was almost non-existent. By 2000, Jewish money-printing had wiped out all pretence of moral standing to churches: Roman Catholics supported atrocities in Vietnam, Protestant churches supported mass murder in Europe, Russian Orthodoxy had been deleted by Jews.

The relevance here is the persistent idea that the Second World War was Christian vs Communist, or more accurately Christian vs Jew (since 'Communism' was and is Jewish). *The Greatest Story Never Told* presents this view. Michael A Hoffman II emphasises usury as a sin, and I think regards WW2 as partly caused by interest on money being nominally removed. H G Wells wrote a whole 'Penguin Special' during WW2 on [Roman Catholicism](#).

All I'm saying here is

1. The Catholic Church was far more scrappy, unlawful, uncreative, greedy, and disconnected, than modern propagandists say; and
2. must have had alliances with Jews; and
3. Jews changed allies or invented new allies (according to their own "moral" standards), for example supporting Islam, and in modern times backing both sides in wars—notably WW1 and WW2.

I'll quote here from *Hallam's Middle Ages* (71848 1st edition).

CHAPTER VII History of Ecclesiastical [=Church] Power During the Middle Ages

At the irruption of the northern invasions into the Roman empire they found the clergy already endowed with extensive possessions. Beside the spontaneous oblations upon which the ministers of the Christian Church had originally subsisted, they had obtained, even under the pagan emperors, by concealment or connivance—for the Roman law did not permit a tenure of lands in mortmain—certain immovable estates, the revenues of which were applicable to their own maintenance and that of the poor. These indeed were precarious and liable to confiscation in times of persecution. But it was among the first effects of the conversion of Constantine to give not only a security, but a legal sanction, to the territorial acquisitions of the church. The edict of Milan, in 313, recognises the actual estates of ecclesiastical corporations. Another, published in 321, grants all the subjects of the empire the power of bequeathing their property to the church.

... The ... conquering nations ... retained the elementary principles ... of all barbarous idolatry, a superstitious reverence for the priesthood, a credulity that seemed to invite imposture, and a confidence in the efficacy of gifts to expiate offences. Many of the peculiar and prominent characteristics in the faith and discipline of those ages appear to have either introduced or secretly promoted the purposes of avarice and fraud. To those purposes conspired the veneration for relics, the worship of images, the idolatry of saints and martyrs, the religious inviolability of sanctuaries, the consecration of cemeteries, but, above all, the doctrine of purgatory and masses for the relief of the dead. A creed thus contrived ... naturally caused a torrent of opulence to pour in upon the church. Donations of land were continually made to the bishops, and ... to the monastic foundations.

... The canonical penances imposed upon repentant offenders, extravagantly severe in themselves, were commuted for money or for immovable possessions—a fertile though scandalous source of monastic wealth, which the popes afterwards diverted into their own coffers by the usage of dispensations and indulgences. The church lands enjoyed an immunity from taxes, though not in general from military service, when of a feudal tenure. But their tenure was frequently in what was called frankalmoin, without any obligation of service. Hence it became a customary fraud of lay proprietors to grant estates to the church, which they received again by way of fief or lease, exempted from public burthens. And ... the monks prostituted their knowledge of writing to the purpose of forging charters in their own favour, which might easily impose on an ignorant age, since it had required a peculiar science to detect them in modern times. ...

... As an additional source of revenue, and in imitation of the Jewish law, the payment of tithes was recommended or enjoined. These, however, were not applicable at first to the maintenance of a resident clergy. Parochial divisions, as they now exist, did not take place, at least in some countries, till several centuries after the establishment of Christianity. ...

... The ecclesiastical history of the middle ages presents one long contention of fraud against robbery; of acquisitions made by the church ... and torn from her by lawless power. ... In the tenth and eleventh centuries nothing was more common than to see the revenues of benefices in the hands of lay improPRIETORS, who employed curates at the cheapest rate; an abuse that has never ceased in the church.

CHAPTER II PART II Of the Feudal System in France

... The history of the royal revenue in France is ... too important to be slightly passed over. As the necessities of government increased, partly through the love of magnificence and pageantry introduced by the crusades and the temper of chivalry, partly in consequence of employing hired troops instead of the feudal militia, it became impossible to defray its expenses by the ordinary means. Several devices, therefore, were tried, in order to replenish the exchequer. One of these was by extorting money from the Jews. It is almost incredible to what a length this was carried. Usury, forbidden by law and superstition to Christians, was confined to this industrious and covetous people. ... The children of Israel grew rich in despite of insult and oppression, and retaliated upon their Christian debtors. If an historian of Philip Augustus may be believed, they possessed almost one-half of Paris. Unquestionably they must have had support both at the court and in the halls of justice. ... till new schemes of finance supplying the turn, they were finally expelled under Charles VI. ... [Charles VI ruled 1380-1422. Note the extreme vagueness of what was permitted of Jews.] ...

All this is difficult to judge: How was 'usury' defined, and did it include seizure of all assets on failure to repay? What liberties were allowed to Jewish 'tax farmers'? Are Papal bulls (in Church Latin) written clearly? Were cathedrals funded by Jews (as in a remark to Hilaire Belloc)? Were the mass killings in northern England ('the harrying of the north') after William the Bastard a Jewish policy? How much torture did Christians, and Jews, carry out on their heretics?

Christian churches take up or took up vast territories, with widely different organisations. I don't want to take a view related to WW2, beyond pointing out that simple statements may well be wrong. And these statements include comments about Hitler.

Bryce (1903) says "... the prelates of Hungary still hold vast possessions" and mentions France, Spain, Catholic parts of Germany; but only as a visual assessment, without detail on income, cost, value. [A critical problem is the intellectual nullity of Catholicism, in for evans promoting wars along with peace. It is based on primitive myths and miracles] Eastern (or 'Greek') Orthodox Church is organized with the Four Patriarchs of Alexandria, Antioch, Constantinople, and Jerusalem.] Orthodox churches include Bulgaria, Serbia, Poland; the largest is or was Russian Orthodox, though it is not native to Russia.] The dallying with dictators is shared by Jews and Catholics. *'Mother Teresa'* is one example. Here's another: 2018 Fr Fidelis Mukonori SJ ... emphasise[d] that a reasonable handover of power had taken place [in Zimbabwe]. A companion of the president from the early days of ZANU PF. Mukonori testified to Mugabe's regular saying of the Rosary while on the campaign trail. Mukonori was also a member of the Catholic Justice and Peace Commission that investigated the Catholic genocide in Matobo-land in 1983, bloodshed which Mugabe had clearly instigated. But this did not deter him from remaining Mugabe's confessor through all the intervening years. ... [[Reviews of books on the 'Abrahamic religions'](#)] Faked scriptures: [Religions invented by 'Jews'](#)—remember Moslems holding open the gates for European invasions. By all means ally with Arabs, Egyptians, Palestinians, Libyans—but think carefully before involvement with Jew-controlled groups of states.

Second World War Revisionism (so far) [\[Top of page \]](#)

Note on Jews in world history—Here are some examples, for people still unaware of the heavily-censored truth. Jews were/are involved in: Middle East and Europe: the movements to subvert Greece, Rome, and the Arab world ended with the Jewish freak religions of Christianity and Islam. Jews were involved in the USA (founders were Jewish), the USSR (founders were Jewish), and Canada (immigration into Canada, India was affected e.g., by the East India Company. The opium wars against China were Jewish. Jews had, and have, influence in Britain; for example the 'Bank of England' since the 1660s. The Netherlands had a similar pattern to Britain, but earlier. In Spain, Jews 'held open the gates' to Muslim invasion; more recently, Jews were the main force behind the Spanish Civil War. In the USA, Jews were the main force behind the American Revolution. In Europe, since the 19th century, the European Russia was heavily Jewish, and of course Jews mainly from the USA ran the 1917 Jewish coup in 1917, pretending to be 'Communist', using the ubiquitous Jewish media control. Japan's war against Russia in the 1900s was Jew-funded; 40 years later, Jews took the USA to war against Japan. Key (Jewish cult of 'Islam' later weakened the Jewish moves to weaken Turkey's empire)/ Poland (huge populations of Jews there, pursuing their own habits)/ Italy (secret Jew preoccupations, including Venice and the Vatican, and the Vatican's long history of being a Jewish puppet, and the Vatican's long history of being a Jewish puppet, and the Vatican's long history of being a Jewish puppet) South Africa (Boer War; more recently anti-white aggression)/ Other parts of Africa; for example, Idi Amin in Uganda was Jew-aware.

Those examples are just of Jewish actors; in practice there were **secret local collaborators, both from inferior and jealous groups, and from superior and dominating hierarchies.** Freemasons are the best-known examples, but Jesuits, Quakers, and others are old examples from the lower orders; 'Common Purpose' and ALPAC are new variants aimed to combat dominant hierarchies.

New Hypotheses on Jews and the World Wars are mostly concerned with secret agreements. These involve financial deals with governments; and legal deals, such as treaties and organisations, the details generally kept obscure; and networks of Jews internationally, plus local collaborators, e.g. Freemasons. And of course it's necessary to have subordinates: lawyers, media people, administrators, politicians, police and security people.

My working model here is that, by the 1930s, the USA was subordinate to Jews, some of the evidence being that the people of the USA could do nothing about the Depression, and that Jews ran the economy and the government and they would have done whatever they chose. And Britain was subordinate to Jews, who controlled the Empire and all important propaganda. And France and its African (and other) empire were struggling with Jews. And the USSR was clearly under the most vicious rule of Jews. The small east European countries were also dominated by Jews. There were enormous problems with Jews. Germans had been Jew-aware for many years, and were seen as a threat to Jews, despite the tiny size of Germany on the world map. However, it was about the size of Great Britain when it included Ireland, and it had educated its population very thoroughly well, leading the world in engineering, chemistry, and biology.



Secret Jewish networking to push pet projects—and weak opposition—are part of our world.

The question is: were German resentments and fury over the Great War, its start and sudden termination, and Jewish treachery, a serious threat to Jews? What should the world-wide thin layer of Judaism do?

We have to bear in mind traditional and probably unbearable Jewish habits, as found in the *Talmud*, notably refusal to accept laws of any host countries, habitual lying and deceit—and particularly lying to different groups with the object of getting them to fight each other, the aim of killing ‘gentiles’, and the aim of taking control of assets. This sort of thing is not, of course, the way in which wars are traditionally presented. We have to consider for example: whether peace offers were never intended to be accepted, since Hitler and Churchill would be of one mind; whether Eisenhower dealing directly with Stalin to concede east Berlin was a Jewish move; whether Hitler’s delays in retaliatory bombing had some such purpose as permitting Rothschild allies to move goods; whether deaths of (e.g.) Patton and Forrestal were Jewish murders, as perhaps were the large numbers of suicides of German Generals; whether the Allied dealings with small countries containing Jews, Poland being the most obvious, were influenced mostly by Jewish considerations; whether huge governmental loans, notably from the USA to USSR, were in support of Jews; whether unnecessary deaths were deliberate Jewish policy; and whether otherwise baffling military decisions, such as leaving Moscow alone, were in accordance with Jewish wishes, and maybe carried out by Jewish military personnel appointed by Hitler. And, of course, plenty more questions. It’s of course not helpful that Jews and their collaborators in governments will destroy much documentation: for example German libraries after the Second World War were destroyed thoroughly, and records after the First World War were taken and locked away in the USA. [But now, after reading so many articles and seeing so many images of Syria, Iraq, Libya, Yemen etc., I believe the main objective is a genocide of millions of people who know the truth about Zionism. The genocide is occurring through military-murder and forced starvation. It is essential that the world investigate 9/11 and the truth come out.]

David Irving wrote, and is still writing, on the Second World War. Here's a [list of most his books](#), starting with **The Destruction of Dresden** (1963). He investigated many archives, and private sources—diaries, photographs, interviews. And became entangled in the issue of Jews in the USA deciding not to print his book (on Goebbels; for more, see the link in which his books are listed). Irving has enormously energetic and impressive.

But there is missing information. Where are Irving's searches of Jewish archives, perps in Yiddish, if indeed they were written down, of information on American money funnelled to the Jews of the USSR, information on weapons industries and imports by the Jews controlling the USSR? And secret Jewish intelligence on the countries they secretly dominate or dominated, their *sayanin*, the secret knowledge of bases and factories and airways and roads, and on movements and assassinations and so on, and numbers of dead goyim? What about food and other essential supplies? Jews will not spontaneously throw open their records. They are of course missing from Irving's work.

Robert Faurisson (see his Revisionist Writings, for example [Four giants and three dwarfs: who wanted war?](#) which includes what Faurisson calls *Churchill's four periods of exhortation in Britain*). Churchill started with presumably fake support for Poland, 'which in two weeks was abandoned' when Stalin invaded. Faurisson of course was one of the first 'Holocaust' revisionists, including Anne Frank diary excoösés.

The map goes some way to outline his four giants. BUT the serious omission is the Jewish overlordship of those regions and their subordinates.

Note also that part of the anti-German Jewish policy may have been to enlarge the apparent threat: Italy, under the fake of Mussolini—Miles Mathis follows genealogical clues to Mussolini as actor, Popes, Jews from e.g. Venice, Italian aristocrats, writers and artists who turn out to be Jews, Savoy, Britain, MIS, though industrialists are bit fewer, and faked deaths a bit greater—and Vichy France, perhaps helped make the tininess of Germany less noticeable. And of course Japan had been funded by Jews to attack Tsarist Russia on the Russo-Japanese War, though their use to Jews proved smaller than the Japanese aristocratic leaders presumably had been led to believe.

from [agatha.pdf](#). The first thing they notice is Hasson Boehmer, a German Lt. Colonel who allegedly participated in the July 20 plot against . . . Hitler. And you thought I was boasting, didn't you? Since we have already discovered Hitler was a fraud, we may assume this entire plot is another fraud. This Boehmer has a slender bio, but it is full of numerology. He was supposedly born August 9 and died March 5. August 9 was the date of the Manson murders as well as the date of Nixon's resignation. March 5 adds to 8, and 8 was a favorite number in these hoaxes. So, we can conclude that the name of the man who was Henryning von Tresckow, another strange name for a German, must have been a general and he was Chief of Staff of the 2nd Army. His wife was a von Falkenhayn, whose father was a general and Chief of the German General Staff in WWI. General Falkenhayn's mother was a Rosenberg, which is Jewish. We saw in a previous paper that the mainstream now admits that many German generals were Jewish. I wonder if Falkenhayn is on that list? If not, the list is too short. [Just to jog your memory there, a Jewish researcher named Rigg discovered at least 140 high-ranking Nazi officers who were Jewish, including 23 colonels, 15 generals, and two field marshals. However, I discovered Wikipedia has a page for this category, and yet lists only eight. Not 140, but eight. The list was WWII, and Falkenhayn was WWI, so I guess someone needs to make a second list.] But, we saw, as well in my paper on the Beer Hall Putsch—including primary spook Rosa Luxemburg. Finding a Boehmer involved in this is just what I expected coming in. There is a current Justin Henning von Boehmer, German lawyer, publisher, and banker. He was Secretary General of the International Chamber of Commerce, which has over 6 million members in 100 countries. He was COB of Stillhalter, a subsidiary of Sal. Oppenheim Bank (and the Oppenheims are closely connected to the Rothschilds). Note his middle name, which of course ties us to Henning von Tresckow. But, we saw, the name of the man who was Henryning von Tresckow was also a von Falkenhayn. But, we saw, the name of the man who was Henryning von Tresckow started with the Jerusalem Foundation with Jerusalem mayor Teddy Kollek. Now, why would a good German Protestant do that, I wonder? Well, Boehmer's mother was a Poensgen, and her father was on the board of Vereinigte Stahlwerke AG, the huge industrial conglomerate that included Thyssen AG. See my papers on Hitler for more on that. We have seen that the men behind this conglomerate, including Fritz Thyssen, were the ones who founded and funded the Nazis. So it is curious to see the Boehmers so closely tied to them by marriage. If Justin Henning von Boehmer's uncle was Hasson Boehmer, and if his grandfather was on the board at VSAG, that must mean that Lt. Col. Hasson von Boehmer's father-in-law was on the board of VSAG. They were all Jews, or at least very close to Jews. So, we can see how both sides of the theater, both upstage and downstage. All to keep your eyes off themselves. So, what did we learn there? We learned the Boehmers are definitely Jewish, and are closely linked to the Oppenheims, Rothschilds, Poensgens, and Thyssens.


Richard Verrall or Richard E Harwood wrote [Did Six Million Really Die?](#) in 1974. As the title suggests, this book is almost entirely on the fiction of the 'Holocaust'. From today's perspective, the 'Holocaust' is misdirection; it is largely a waste of time, no doubt intentionally, for those wanting to understand the world. Verrall, after noting the Jewish policy of supporting mass invasions of white countries—which almost all of them support, just as almost all support the Holohoax fraud—wrote:

So far as the Jewish people themselves are concerned, the deception has been an incalculable benefit. Every conceivable race and nationality had its share of suffering in the Second World War, but none has so successfully elaborated it and turned it to such great advantage. The alleged extent of their persecution quickly aroused sympathy for the Jewish national homeland they had sought for so long; after the War the British Government did little to prevent Jewish emigration to Palestine which they had declared illegal, and it was not long afterwards that the Zionists wrested from the Government the land of Palestine and created their haven from persecution, the State of Israel. The Jewish people, who had suffered the second World War as a triumphantly minor, became a triumphant majority. Dr. Max Neussbaum, the former chief rabbi of the Jewish community in Berlin, stated on April 11, 1953: "The position the Jewish people occupy today in the world—despite the enormous losses—is ten times stronger than what it was twenty years ago." It should be added, if one is to be honest, that this strength has been much compensated financially by the supposed massacre of the Six Million, undoubtedly the most profitable atrocity allegation of all time. To date, the staggering figure of six thousand million pounds has been paid out in compensation by the Federal Government of West Germany, mostly to the State of Israel (which did not even exist during the Second World War), as well as to individual Jewish claimants.

Verrell obviously regards that 'remarkable' situation—the triumphant minority—as a chance outcome of the War, something which emerged after the dust settled. He doesn't realise, or at least certainly doesn't state, that it may have been planned and scripted and costed all along. Furthermore, not planned as an isolated incident, but as just one megadeath-laden part of a long-term plan.



Many western churches had Jew links. London had two Jewish areas. York Minster is next to Jewbury. Lincoln Cathedral is near 'The Jew's House'. Durham Cathedral is near Clifford's Tower.



Four Giants & Three Dwarfs in Faurisson's phrase. **Giants** are [1] British Empire [in red]; [2] USA, which realistically should include chunks of South America; [3] French Empire [green]; [4] USSR, disparate areas ruled by Jews. **Dwarfs** are Germany, Italy, and Japan. *Maps of course don't usually show populations, resources, ownership.*

David Irving in 1981 published two books: [War Between the \[Allied\] Generals](#) and [Uprising! \[in Hungary, 1956\]](#). My reviews. In my view, these represent a difference in awareness of Jews: *Uprising!* on Hungary, inevitably, forced Irving to face facts about Jews.

CODOH ('Committee for Open Debate on the Holocaust') has operated for many years, since 1987, and more so since the widespread use of Internet, but remains rather fixated on the Jewish 'narratives', including of course the Holocaust. It's necessary for them to do that, faced with Jewish propaganda, but of course diverts attention from the vast numbers of genuine deaths. But it is largely diverted from the entire subject of the Second World War; and the First World War; and the general subject of Jewish power and influence through the ages.

The advent of Internet has allowed ideas to flow worldwide to an unprecedented extent. This is my 1997 piece [Holocaust Revisionism, Free Speech, and Internet](#). (36,000 downloads from my site to date). Not quite first with the news, admittedly. And I had no idea that WW2 and WW1 might have been scripted all along. And revisionisms of other subjects—9/11 and the Jewish neo-cons wars of 'full-spectrum dominance', nuclear issues, false flags, fake philanthopists and charities and NGOs, genealogies—and more—were in the future.

SOME PARTIAL REVISIONIST INDIVIDUALS

David Duke My Awakening says all races should of course have ?rights. Doesn't face the facts of groups acting against interests. As you might say all forms of life have their value; so we should allow hyenas and lions and tapeworms and poisonous spiders ...

Michael A Hoffman adheres to Roman Catholicism and won't face facts about US wars

David Irving "Since 1938 Britain has chivalrously put up with these "refugee" guests, who now deny even that they are bankers. let alone responsible for the 2008 International banking collapse, and who never stop whining and interfering | U.K. Jewish body [=Hope not Hate', a phoney Jewish charity] now agitates for major bookshops to remove certain titles.—Thus Britain learns the hidden cost of inviting humble Jewish "refugees" into England in 1938—David Irving (b. March 1938) books all figure on these "ex-Germans" (as Anthony Eden called them) "burn" list, but mysteriously they have missed one: Adolf Hitler's Mein Kampf!"

— A few puzzles here. David must know Jews had poured into Britain since at least the 1890s. He knows there are doubts about *Mein Kampf*."

Arthur Kemp

March of the Titans, the complete history of the white race has nothing on Jews and in fact states that accusations against Jews are definitely untrue. And the site www.NewObserverOnline.com ----- What is going on here?

Kevin MacDonald of several books, including *The Culture of Critique*, and the website [www.OccidentalObserver.com](#) MACDONALD C OF C narrow as in looking at small part of vast fraud nothing on 9/11 world wars US genocides is he serious??? recently piece by a 'jew' on 'why are professors liberal?' !!!

Miles Mathis could be an agent sick of gullible americans, with some access to secret records, deciding to expose them. Some evidence he wants to write off wars

'Millennial Woes' persistently jew naive

Donald Trump will he open monuments to US war crimes? Jew war crimes? US defince of intl law? Jews in 9/11?

Dennis Wise reconsider hitler?

THE ROAD TO FULL REVISIONISM

Jews and Religions and Money, usury issue but rents, assets. income tax. fed reserve. Central banks round the world & religion - churches mutual to give monopoly to jews eg

Short Note on Laziness [[Top of page](#)] cp jews - powell talk at univ/ jews and the fake 'russian revolution'/ jewish coop. contents of videos and audios. anyone running a site knows how difficult it is to get people to explain what a video or audio is about. publication info: when was this? so it can be situated for example with a present Please, try to find "Derrota Mundial" by Salvador Borrego. This book, if available in English, is one of the best about WWII. This author goes in detail, step by step, explaining as to why the German people rise against the system, and how, these great people were defeated by the enemies of Christendom and freedom. It is worth reading. hitchcock's synagoge - hard to find material, as y uniform people who won't talk, eg desjardins. People who won't expand, eg with scientists using old info Bowden note: at a bnp meeting. great verve in trying to coach people. Only a few videos and recordings exist. / Only one video of fred leuchter website and BNP newspaper note no help for scandal victims **Short Note on Jew Violence** [[Top of page](#)] Jew violence Here's an example to test your grasp of Jew-related events. After Rhodesia was renamed Zimbabwe, many farms, including huge and previously-productive farms, were ruined and sabotaged. If you assume this was a result of the inability of blacks to understand modern farming, you may be right. But the process may have been intended to wreck the food supply. I'd suggest it's possible that the Soviet 'agriculturalist' Lysenko, with full support, was calculated to starve Russians. include bombing

New 'Holistic History'. Views of WW2 to Include Jews [[Top of page](#)]

The essential question, in the absence of complete evidence, is whether Jews in the 1930s controlled enough of the world to plan the entire war to their satisfaction. This is my serious examination of the question. I'm taking an overview, to avoid endless detail. Secrecy makes it certain that many truths remains hidden. I've tended to put material new to me in here, but of course there's more—the Jewish issue may take centuries to unwind. Here's my 55-minute video [Was Hitler an Agent?](#) on this subject, including comments, with Andrew Carrington Hitchcock. And here's the smaller bandwidth uncommmented audio of [Was Hitler an Agent?](#) These are premature, but of course there's so much missing information I'm hoping it's better than nothing as an introduction.

Holistic History: Varied Examples

Death Rates in WW2 Arguably, the most important single net consequence of WW2. Many people, aware of the Holocaust fraud, think it's purely a money-making Jewish fraud. It is that, of course, but it's intended to hide the net death rates of WW2. These can be viewed as rough indexes of the importance assigned by Jews to different groups. Roughly, we have for some countries:-

Country	Populations at Start	Non-Jew Deaths	Percentage deaths
Russia			
Germany			
UK			
France			
Poland			
China			
Japan			
India (before partition)			
USA			
'Jews'			

Military Control example Clearly an army could in principle root out and remove enemies. Why don't they? Control of armies and police is a neglected topic—probably related to the takeover of the Russia by Jews, who were supplied with weapons by Jews and given the security, and bolt-holes, to take vicious action against whites in particular. Army law in slightly more civilised circumstances prevented criticism; appointment of passively obedient puppets is the usual pattern. Arrangements for repayments over centuries—at present there are no upper limits—have been made. However there seems no reason why careful thought might change this system. Why should a small collection of bankers be immune from defeat?

Modern USA example Arch Stanton [Feb 2018] Note the act of war committed by the Israelis by their attack on the USS Liberty. Occurred in 1967 under the Johnson administration. That act of war was successful pushed down the memory hole. Another issue under Johnson was the lie about the Gulf of Tonkin incident that resulted in Congress giving a president the power to pursue war without declaring one. This allowed Johnson to dramatically ramp up the war in Vietnam. Secretary of war McNamara, the Secretary of Defense that recalled sixth fleet jets rushing to the defense during the attack on the USS Liberty, admitted that the Gulf of Tonkin incident never happened. This resulted it the deaths of hundreds of thousands of American troops.

Early 19th Century German example Hegel (1770-1831) was at one time regarded as the world's leading philosopher. He wrote on what's translated as the 'world spirit', the 'state', 'idealism', and on law in the sense of something imposed onto people. Hegel (his maternal grandma's surname was Schnepf) was no doubt part of the intrusion into Germany of Jews. As far as I know, Hegel as predecessor to the *Protocols of Zion* is not a usual interpretation, but ought to be borne in mind. 'Idealism' suggests the primacy of beliefs; the 'State' was more or less a city state, or Jewish 'Sh'tetl': remember Italy after a few conquests and added bits was not unified until 1871; nor was Germany, with a ceremony in the 'Hall of Mirrors', Versailles. US states were exceptionally large, and Russia and Africa not part of ideal 'history'. Hegel's lectures on the philosophy of history were given at the University of Berlin in 1822, 1828, and 1830. This was before industrialism and the possibilities of progress led to unrest, when Marx was dispatched to pretend to support downtrodden workers, while causing damage to their causes. Hegel's idea of freedom is based on the rabbinical idea that their law is necessary to freedom; therefore free sheep need their law. (I'd suggest reading chapter 21 on Hegel in Russell's *History of Western Philosophy* to piece together the various Talmudic bits, including making it obscure (but nevertheless giving the message), the praise of war, the 'dialectic method', the belief that one nation after another will conduct the 'world spirit', the primacy in effect of 'the Word'; and the oddities such as the 'world spirit' moving west to the USA). Hegelians in about 1900 dominated academic philosophy. If this seems incredible, consider the many low-grade academics spouting 'politically correct' Frankfurt-school style Jewish stuff at the present day, in a world with the US regime more or less run by AIPAC, and a high proportion of 'British' MPs 'Friends of Israel', the EU run by Jews, and worldwide censorship of Jewish activities.

USA in 1913 example The table (right) shows estimated GDP (Gross Domestic Product) converted to a common currency. (Absurdly, some are to 6 significant figures!) These are totals; amounts per head, and/or the distribution between persons, are not shown. Nor are subsistence amounts; or surplus; or finances available to industrialists and other sectors; or for example gold stocks; or amounts attributable to Jews. The Federal Reserve of 1913 allows, while it lasts, almost endless paper money to be manufactured. Such figures must have been contemplated by such types as Albert Pike, Coudenhove-Kalergi, Bernard Baruch, various Rothschilds and Warburgs et al, and military and naval planners worldwide. Note that the distribution of ownership is not usually given in national accounts. (Marxists would give figures by class. If they were serious, and not just puppets of Jews). Note specifically that the ownership by Jews is not given. Two countries with otherwise similar figures, one Jew-free, the other largely owned by Jews, are socially very different. Long ago, high percentages of land were owned by churches; a similar principle. If everyone looks like getting on together, the Jewish divide-and-rule policy fails; Jews love fanning both sides of disputes, and not just fanning them but igniting and inventing them with violence and needling and looking for types of people who want destruction and glory eg generals wanting fame in war. Note that USA is highest; UK and Germany about equal, but with Europe > USA. Many small countries with Jews behind the scenes. So [1] Repeat WW1 and weaken both Britain and Germany. USSR - can be fed with money to import weapons, or build factories for foreign management. ... loans to governments ... and debts of defeated powers...

British Education example The 19th century British Empire (or (((British))), perhaps) relied on British public school types [i.e. paid education], reliant on Thucydides and Caesar in their original languages. Many educators must have thought this was suitable; Hugh Trevor-Roper actually said it was near-perfect training. The Great War started to expose this error: Belloe states that many of the soldiers and participants had no idea that materials essential for victory were controlled by Jews.

Reformation (or 'Protestant Revolt') in Europe example I recommend new readers look at [mileswymathis.com/occult.pdf](#) to try to piece together Martin Luther (mother née Lindemann), Jewish money, and Luther's later discovery and dislike of Jewish habits. This appears to have been a typical Jewish move, followed, after a few centuries, by taking over Roman Catholic lands in the French Revolution. It was carefully hidden; in the expansion of British university 'education' in the 1960s, [G R Elton Reformation Europe](#) was a standard work, by a Jew, hiding everything important.


Missing Parts of Conventional History

An important buried issue is the question of 'Intelligence'. Its importance is intentionally downplayed in juvenile media trash of the John le Carre type. So the question is: in the 1930s, with a lethal deathgrip on Russia and its outiers, control over the USA with the Fed, control over the UK for even longer, and control in most of Europe including of course Poland, Rumania, Hungary—was the practical grasp of Jews and their local allies on business, transport, food, production, propaganda, and reserve armies of thugs sufficient to provoke and control a world war? Based on Jewish post-war achievements, including complete blanket propaganda on both world wars, I'd say yes, but it's questionable, just as the long-term stability of such a system is questionable. The main problem is the almost complete absence of documents specifically on Jewish influence: Jewish intelligence, not of course just military, but concerned with assets such as mines, manufactures, weaponry, farming, and transfers of money and ownership. The greatest secrecy applies to Jews in the 'Soviet Union', where murders of Russians and thefts from them, legal changes to benefit Jews, and transfers of Jewish money to finance weapons and thugs, and genocides of groups in Russian territories, are of course secret to this day. There's of course secrecy in the rest of the world; in addition to wars, the extent to which the 'Great Depression' was induced by Jews, or the British Empire was costed up, or Japan and China coerced and corrupted, or science frauds planned. I'm fairly sure that plans must have been loose enough to allow some piloting: Churchill was obviously chosen rather late in the day; the story of Hiroshima and Nagasaki took about a year to be botched together; the Holocaust fraud was slowly pieced together with Jew media and liars and nudges, and at present has been decided as suited to full-on lying. And I hope some new theory, comparable in importance to Newton or Wallace/Darwin, might emerge, to deal with human groups and their hierarchies and genetics and senses, and which might have explanatory power. Preferably soon, and not in a few thousand years.

Country / Region	1913
Austria	23,451
Belgium	32,347
Denmark	11,670
Finland	6,389
France	144,489
Germany	237,332
Italy	95,487
Netherlands	24,955
Norway	5,988
Sweden	17,403
Switzerland	16,483
UK	224,618
12 country total	840,612
Portugal	7,467
Spain	41,653
Other	12,478
Total Western Europe	902,210
Eastern Europe	134,793
Former USSR	232,351
United States	517,383

Approx GNP's in 1913

Ranting Loud Jew Liar vs Complacent Unanswering Christian. Berkeley, 2013



—Hitler's family changed names. Many leading Nazis changed names, too. It's impossible to be sure of his real background, though Miles Mathis has done valiant work in deciphering families and their relationships, which incidentally shows them to have been wealthy.

3 **why was he the only 'agent' who was an authentically brave soldier fighting in the trenches?** This man had more courage than all of us put together. Hitler relished the opportunity to fight for his county, and for the spirit of the Germanic/Aryan race. He was injured twice and hospitalised. He loathed cowardliness and those who would not contribute towards the war effort. Just look at reports from someone like Leon Degrelle who spoke of Hitler's remarkable bravery. An agent? Come on. [please see video below of Leon Degrelle].
—It's impossible to be certain if the stories about Hitler are true. But note that they involve fighting wounds. There's not much in them about fighting Jews.

4 **did Hitler abolish usury?** The jew's number one control weapon. Why oh why would the jews allow a leader/agent to show the results of a county without this parasitism, knowing this would be broadcasted all across the world? 'Look, look what can happen when you rid your country of the parasite and the parasite's main tool'. An agent? Come on.
—Usury is not the 'number one control weapon'. The main Jewish weapon is the legal swindle allowing them to print money, buy up most media to control propaganda, buy up businesses and assets and collaborators, and control long-term credit and lending to governments; in modern Britain, this has applied since Cromwell, and in the USA since its Revolution, sealed by the war of 1812 and a century later with the 'Federal Reserve'. The reference to 'usury' was probably aimed at German Roman Catholics. And in any case, it's unlikely that *in practice* the Church ever opposed usury; they relied on tithes and rents, and such things as cathedrals and church buildings must have kept them in debt. Their giving the monopoly of loans to Jews suggests a quid pro quo arrangement. They even kept information on the Talmud to a minimum, sometimes destroying all copies instead of publicising them.

5 **was Hitler the only 'agent' who was clean living?** He was a teetotaler, vegetarian, who promoted traditional remedies, anti-vivisection, and promoted naturalistic lifestyles for his people. It is well recorded his affinity toward animals. This is a man of compassion, a man of substance who is deeply connected to nature—not an anti-nature sellout. An agent? Come on.
—Hitler also never got up before noon. Your comments seem accurate, and his behaviour un-Jewish, but this doesn't have any political impact. Anyone can love animals, and love nature; but still be hostile to some human beings, because they are a potential threat.

6 **why would he be hung in prison if he was an agent?** And he was! This has been thoroughly documented by eminent historians. That cannot be disputed. Yes, he was not in for so long considering his plot. But this was because the authorities knew the pressure would come from the people if they held him indefinitely. Also there were good people on the inside who wanted change, who were jew-wise and knew of the potential and genius of this man. Society and people were not as corrupt back then. An agent? Come on.
—You don't seem to know about false flags, disinformation, and all the rest of it. Jailing Hitler—especially in a large luxurious place, Landsberg prison, working with many friends and colleagues—is consistent with a false flag operation. In the same way, Hitler at one point is alleged to have jailed a Rothschild. In both cases, the point is they were let out.

7 **why did he radically improve the working man's lifestyle?** He improved the working conditions, doubling the wages, reduced/eradicated their debt, instigated and provided an affordable Volkswagen (people's car), built infrastructures to allow the working classes to travel around the country, make foreign cruises affordable/or for free, etc, etc, etc. An agent? Come on.
—Some NSDAP achievements are suspect, on examination. The Autobahnen for example were major undertakings (the UK and USA at the time only had simple motor roads, like the A1=Great North Road in Britain). Possibly improved roads allowed Germany to be invaded more easily, and helped reduce differences between German areas after the Unification. (I suspect the Maginot Line in France may have been intentionally badly planned).

There's uncertainty about actual budgets, and subsidies, and achievements. Hider, and/or others, wrote about struggle, but not about his achievements. The point here may have been to build up Germany, but with the view of ensuring a bigger war in the USSR later with maximum casualties and maximum profits. And to use Germany as an experimental laboratory: it had shown itself superior to the USA and USSR.
Remember that Jews in the USA controlled the Fed, and were subjecting the USA to a depression, while paying Jews in the USSR to massacre whites, steal all their goods, and generally build up the 'State', which is the euphemism for the part of the economy controlled by Jews. Jews also controlled the (((British))) and (((French))) Empires, which were waiting to be fleeced. Jews could and did easily channel money to Germany.

8 **were Hitler's/NSDAP's policies carried through to the T?** Just look at the congruency they had. Every-single-one of these policies were anti JWO. P-I-e-a-s-e don't tell us that this was all part of a bigger plan. That somehow the sneaky jew would allow all these policies to magically work in order for them to later on smash them down. An agent? Come on.
—There may be some truth in that; but control of assets is almost invisible, being a matter of secretly-held documents and obscure legal arrangement. But in fact even in November 1938, after five years of anti-Semitic legislation and persecution, they still owned, according to the Times correspondent in Berlin, something like a third of the property in the Reich. Most of it came into their hands during the hyperinflation. And the policies were probably not carried out to a T. For example, Freemasonry was allegedly taken apart there; but in fact, although contents of Lodges were put on display, as far as I know there was no exposure of their actual activities. And there's a puzzle over synagogues; the number is uncertain, and I haven't found a single reference in any of the conventional 'histories', or *Mein Kampf*, and no action seems to have been taken to close them; in fact possibly 'Kristallnacht' was a Jewish fake, to pretend there was. And despite 1935 actions, there were many Jews in the Wehrmacht. 'Many senior officers with Jewish ancestry won special permission to serve from Hitler himself'.

9 **if he was 'their bitch' did he make approximately 20 peace offerings to both France and Britain?** It was a well known fact the infatuation Hitler had for Britain and its empire, in the theme of Aryan enhancement. An agent? Come on.
—One of the objects of Jews was to take over the ((British)) Empire's assets, and transfer them to the USA. During WW2, a German slogan was 'The World Empire Falls'. It would have been a simple matter for Jews to weakly publicise the peace offers. This would certainly have made German soldiers feel exasperated and even more justified in going to war, since they were told there had been serious peace offers. Whether the offers were intended to be put into action, is difficult to judge.

10 **if he was just a puppet of the jews did he have 98% of the people on his side?** I know voting today is an utter scam. I also am aware how stupefied and zombieified people are today, with pro Trump whatever. I know voting is just more of the Jewish dialectic. But back then in the 30s the people were not the zombies they are today. Yes, the populace have always been malleable to an extent, but the German people were raw and far more politically aware back then. The people knew in their hearts and minds that Hitler was oozing authenticity, that he surged with passion for his people, for his race, and for Europe as a whole. And with 98% of the people behind you—miracles can happen. Real transformations happen that way. The main base of funding came from the PEOPLE and not from the bankers as too many people like to propagate. An agent? Come on.
—I agree he was popular, and the NSDAP seemed popular; although the famous film *Triumph of the Will* had 30,000 extras. Many Russians under Jewish 'Communism' propaganda influence seem to have accepted Stalin. Unfortunately, it may have been a case of clutching at straws while Jews secretly armed the USSR and wiped out educated Russians, and while the USA had its vast manufactured depression. And bear in mind that Hitler supported the Führerprinzip and hated democracy—both Jewish principles.

11 **why, o why, o why is Hitler THE number one vilified person on the planet to this day?** Because he was one of their boys? Because he played his role? Because he sold out? Because he was somehow bribed? No. It is not complicated. The very simple reason this man is portrayed as THE most evil man that ever lived is because he was THE man who stood up to the big bad jew. He made an impact like no other man on earth. He set a precedent. Look world, look what can happen to your country if you just stand up to the evil jew. Kick the parasite out and stand back and watch how things organically naturally heal. And that is why the International Jewry absolutely panicked. That is why there was an all out effort to destroy this healing process. Not because he was part of the bigger plan. An agent? Come on. Hitler an agent—votta crock's shit.
—Yes; that's an attractive argument. Certainly the Great War was an eye-opener for many, with its unaccountable start, and unaccountable halt. And the publicised Balfour Agreement, and entry of the USA under Wilson into the war. And the vast Jewish propaganda. So was the Jewish coup in Russia (the so-called 'Russian Revolution') and the horrific fiasco in Hungary under Bela Kun. And many Germans as a result hated Jews. But they got nowhere politically. Hitler may have been chosen precisely because he was moderate—that's what the *New York Times* said in 1922. It's true that Hitler was vilified, but any real or supposed enemy of Jews is vilified. Far more vilified were the Germans themselves, and Europeans and whites now.

10 Reasons why Hitler was one of the Good Guys | [Top of page.](#)

1 **He never wanted to kill any Jews** Whenever you hear anyone espouse (repeat) the lies about Hitler the nasty Jew-killer. Please always make a point of asking this very simple question: "Where did you get that information from?" Perhaps we all at some point have repeated this fable. Why would we not, when it's shoved down our throats 24/7, year in year out for decades(?) There hasn't been a man on this planet who has been demonized as much as Herr Hitler. If Hitler wanted to kill Jews, why oh why throughout all his speeches and manuscripts and his book *Mein Kampf* did he not mention this? Did he just forget? No, he didn't say or write it BECAUSE HE HAD NO INTENTION OF KILLING THE JEWS. No matter what amount of destruction he had observed done by the Jews to his people; he had enough self-discipline and ethical code not to take revenge. Being a strong character, he was able to rise above the darkness, the demonic standards of the judaic mindset and show self-restraint and humility. That is why he created 'containment work camps' for the Jews and certainly NOT death camps.
—You don't seem to realise you've taken on *Jew propaganda*: 'nasty Jew killer' is of course a Jewish defensive stab. If Hitler had serious awareness of Jews, notably in the USSR, he would have recognised and felt deeply that Jews are a dangerous enemy. The only killings Hitler carried out were of Germans who understood Jews, contrary to his supposed policies.

2 **He cared about conditions for the Jews in the work camps** Unknown to billions of people the Nazi camps were not death camps, nor were they places to carry out human experiments. They were simply containment camps. Something had to be done with these destructive anti-social Jews who were strangling Germany. Thanks to the Jews, Germany had lost its moral code and had become debased and de-cultured ...does that ring a bell? Something had to be done! And Hitler did do something—he placed all the Jews in containment camps to allow Germany to breathe again. But—this is the key point in this essay/piece. He did it in a way which was ethical. The camps had decent sufficient food (bearing in mind it was war time), they had theaters, swimming pools, football pitches, post offices where inmates could communicate to the outside world, kindergartens, art and music recreation and even prostitutes. Quality sanitation within the camps was paramount and that is why the inmates clothes were regularly de-loused with zyclon B gas. There were no human gas chambers.....THAT IS A BIG FAT KOSHER LIE; to which the world has had to endure for over half a century. My theory is that if there had not have been a war effort, Hitler would not have even made the Jews work. And I bet you the containment camps would have been luxury rehabilitation centers. In order to slowly de-programme the Jews from their mind control cult.
—But if Jews were such a menace, and such a dangerous enemy, why on earth should Hitler and the National Socialists have been nice to them? Jews in Russia were murdering millions. Yet (according e.g. to David Irving) whenever Hitler took action on Jews, it was to shield them. And note: Hitler in *Mein Kampf* said if Israel came to exist, it would become a refuge for Jewish crooks and criminals. It sounds to non-Jews a dreadful prospect. But it's possible it was bait, to sell Israel to Jews. They may not have thought of 'Israel' like that. It didn't exist, but maybe the idea appealed to them. Oy vech, our very own base for operations!

3 **He had compassion for other sentient beings** Many historians claim he was a vegetarian. I believe this is kind of true, he was a pescatarian (he occasionally ate fish); but whether this is it or not, the point is he had a clear connection and affection for his fellow creatures. I'm not suggesting just because he may have been a veggie that therefore he was a good person; but this was a man of compassion and that he recognised the importance of compassion in society. He had so much affection for his German shepherds (picture above with his beloved dog). He banned all animal experimentation, recognizing it to be evil. Hitler could see the connection between all life forms. It was his level of consciousness.
—Well... The problem is that nature is usually harmless. I doubt if Hitler loved typhus-carrying lice.


4 **He tried to prevent the destruction of his fellow Europeans** Numerous occasions Hitler tried to warn and assist his fellow brethren about the Jewish trap they had fallen for and who really was behind all the warmonger. He dropped fliers from planes trying in vain to prevent Germany and Britain fighting. He initially wanted to make alliances with Britain. Many occasions he gave opportunities to opposing European forces, where he could have slaughtered them. It was his internal spiritual angst for him to be fighting (defending) amongst his European brothers and sisters. He did all he could to avoid war, he was not the warmonger we all have been led to believe.
—It's true he tried to prevent war. Or at least that's what he said. Unfortunately for that view, his speeches are consistent with soft-peddling Jewish activities, as I pointed out earlier. The whole thing could simply be acting, keeping Germans on the boil, while failing to name any warmongers in any detail.

5 **He cared passionately about his people and German heritage** Hitler observed the degrading machinations of the Jews in Austria and Germany. He not only disgusted and angered him, but saddened him to see how these two nations were being destroyed from the inside out like a cancer—a cancer that his sleepy folksmen could not see. The exact same angst those of us who are Jew-conscious find ourselves in. He was a man who cared about society, a man who cared about nature, who cared about building and improving as opposed to destroying and degrading. He was a man who had fought for his country, in the trenches and been hospitalized for his country. How many of our sell out politicians today can claim that? He was a true spokesman of the people, for the people who had diligently worked his way up from grass roots. From microscopic pep talks to his fellow workman on building sites, to talks in beer houses, to speeches in basements, to town halls. As well as all the behind-the-scenes work and risks. Slung in jail, mocked and defamed. Endless tireless work to resolve the destruction of his peoples. I would also strongly suggest he cared about all peoples. Yes, including black people, despite the kosher myth he was a supremacist racist.
—Opinions of his oratory vary, but unfortunately many orators have had the gift of persuading listeners that they were on the same side and had a lot to offer. Much of early Christianity, after the Jewish takeover, and after the necessary violence to force its acceptance on reluctant populations, sounded similar to your encomium. But it's now known that Jews, partly because of their psychological reliance on lying, can easily persuade masses of people to act against their own interests.

6 **He was a humble man, from a humble background** Can any of us name one present western politician who can claim that these days? No, today they're all criminals, fed through the kosher criminal system. All built on materialistic gain and kudos. All content with serving the beast for their demonic and self-serving interests. Their greed and hubris having no boundaries. Hitler worked on building sites as a laborer for crying out loud. How many of these teaches under the guise of politicians do you know have that background? He was also a humble street artist, barely scraping a living together. Some days he had to decide whether to spend his meager earnings on a political book, or to eat—he opted for the former. Now that is passion in politics and a drive for social reform.
—Investigation suggests that (like Marx, and Lenin) he wasn't from a humble background at all. And nor were his associates.

7 **He held correspondence with Mahatma Gandhi** This alone doesn't necessarily suggest he was a good person. But more that Gandhi and Hitler were in alignment on many issues. They both recognized the evil force they were up against and both were coming from a humanitarian position. The bottom line is Gandhi would not have corresponded with a so-called evil mad dictator hell bent on wanting to kill the Jews and rule the world.
—On Gandhi, and Nehru, see 'JoshG's piece milesmathis.com/fakir.pdf which includes in passing a lot of detail on the City of London and its ancient inter-group tax dodges and profit-making, which, significantly, were left alone by William 'The Conqueror'.

8 **He was a man of God** Hitler was NOT an atheist—despite what the Jewish propaganda machine wants us to believe. He often made references to God and spoke in terms of a Divinity. He was somebody who could clearly make the connection between Divine law and Natural laws. He was spiritually and morally driven throughout all his political career.



Learning has obviously some relationship to the outside world. Any individual creature which (for example) couldn't identify food, or couldn't identify very hot or very cold things, or had some severe sensory problem, would not survive. In such cases, there is usually some obviously faulty structure in the individual.

- The development of language, and written language, sets apart human beings from any other group. As far as I know, it isn't known what prevented other creatures from abstract communication with each other. But this opened a new possibility in evolution. In some learning, there is no obviously correct course for evolution to take. But it's obvious there must be mechanism(s) to allow most infants to survive; if there was a 1 in 10 chance of parents killing a child within one year, hardly any would survive till (say) 12; such a mechanism for control over upbringing therefore must exist over time, even if nobody knows how it works.

There must be mechanisms to reduce cannibalism, since, presumably, most creatures could eat their fellows and get an ideal diet. I suspect some creatures (e.g. magpies) may have evolved from cannibalistic ancestors of the same, or similar, ancestors. This may be part of 'Jewish' reaction structures.

But, if human beings extend control over nature, they presumably become more likely to meet other human groups. When this happens, it's not obviously better to be always friendly, or always hostile; or always hard-working, or lazy; or risk-taking, or cautious; or variable and experimental, or fixed and adamant; or violent, or the opposite; or imitative, or individualistic; or serious, or trite. So groups will drift, diverge, vary in inherited behaviour over many generations, dependent on learning. There may be violent groups, peaceful groups, groups which switch under some circumstances, and so on. To take a possible example, if faces could be read easily—if emotions linked directly to faces—this might work well in a small group; but it could be disastrous faced with rivals.

- **The senses**—for example sight—feed information to the creature; but what it does with the information is not determined as simply as (say) strength of bone, or oxygen in blood, or ease of movement. It seems Jews are, at the present time in human evolution, an extreme, typically being secretly aggressive, and specifically evolved to home in on, then control and and parasitise, people. To see how instinctive this is, observe Jew propaganda: it is natural to them to have no understanding of creativity—for example, they seriously pretend that Europe was once full of blacks; they are perfectly happy to steer towards a situation like the USSR, where nothing worked and there were perpetual shortages, or Israel, needing perpetual money transfusions, because they seem incapable of forward planning—apart from their special, evolved, secret grasping aggression.

An essential point here is that creativity *cannot* be genetically determined, precisely because something new cannot be subject to fairly simple rules. The best that can be hoped for is the mixing and generation of novelties, and, with luck, chance genius.

- **New Theory on Jewish Mentality and Jewish Logical Limitations:** Could This Help Explain Jewish Dogmatic Pig-Headed Lies? Looking for thousandth time at Jewish comments to Youtubes ... irrational ... dates before logic, primitiveness as with Africans believing in witchcraft and cruelty and cutting out body parts and albinos: ... all the logic has been whites though some attempt by people like Sheffer -- jew dashes -- I've come to think that Jews just don't think logically, but have a scatter gun approach, as in adverts --- we have scatty people with no instinctive worries over lies vs whites, some of whom are logical in their fields, but etc [suggested by respondents on iving]
- Jewish race or group supremacy plus hate, manufactured or instinctive, against others must be one end of a distribution. Many whites and others must have a similar feeling. Jews probably interbred.
- Giving orders, commands - is there some psychological mechanism on giving orders, a separate mechanism for competence? USSR somejews recorded as saying we try to help the peasants, but still they do not love us - simultaneously with mass starvation in Ukraine Doesn't seem any guarantee that things will stabilise - maybe Jew frantic irrationality plus other races of not much logic or science might overwhelm constructive types. Just look at WW2.

note on money as a behaviour change: south african writer chap in ww2 paid more to join army/ depression americans paid to join the army - paper money directed to them/ now, Silverstein funds at least one group of 9/11 liars/ large numbers of simple americans and canadians to emit slogans; pres similar to some whites in USSR given relatively high posts in the 'Communist' Party

- Here's a fairly long and interesting suggested explanation of **Jewish evolution in the 'Fertile Crescent' in the Middle East**. In my opinion, the invention of writing is what allowed rule-books to be fixed. This seems to need inflexibility and some violence to enforce over time: it would be difficult to embed rules for creativity and open-mindedness. Progressive selection for fanaticism, secrecy, hatred of other groups accompanied by the need to lie, concealment, and exclusive interest in power, could develop, and certainly this is what is found in Jews. I've seen it suggested that longevity would be selected for, with accompanying experience in enforcement, hence the garogyle-like old Jews. And maybe ugliness is selected for, as a token that tribal beliefs are prioritised. And so could dependence on the group be selected.

And note there can be genetic changes under newly-introduced propaganda: the idea that the Khazars had Talmudic views imposed on them would explain how they came to think of themselves as Jews after a number of generations (I can't say how many). Note that genetic changes can be fast: after all, just one generation of black:white mix gives something like a 50% mixture. A Jewish problem would be eradicated in one generation by breeding with aborigines, presumably something that arouses terror in Jewish rabbis'.

- **The take-away message** is that human beings achieved something no other animal could do. Some of them evolved in way to go some way to tame their environments and understand the world. But this opened the possibility of parasitic exploitative hostile races to evolve alongside—necessarily secretive, cohesive, irresponsible, and with carefully-worked out systems of lying and deceit. So far they have been very successful, considered numerically. Whether this will or can continue, I don't know. We might take the US Army as an example: run by an irresponsible and uncreative and censorious clique, mutually completely supportive, but instinctively secure in their parasitic feeling that their group must certainly be right, and endlessly violent. (The second Iraq War is said to have been arranged by about 20 Jews in New York). And ruling over a genetically variable group, from whom many are selected who are violent and unthinking and unintelligent, and who genetically will do whatever they're told in exchange for thrills and crumbs.

• Don't imagine the discovery of intra-human-group parasitism is unimportant. If you understand the message, you're more aware than most 'thinkers' have been, for many centuries.

Jews as invaders; Jews and Psyops; Jews as a distributed layer; Jews and secret collaborators; Jews as subverters; Jews as the master race; Jews as killers and enemies. [[Top of page](#)]

Jews as Invaders and Infiltrators

A H Lane's book *The Alien Menace* (1928, and 1934. [Click here for a complete copy](#) on my site), written after the First World War, has interesting examples of infiltration into Britain; and Jews networking into Jew projects. These include the media and the first years of the BBC, the academic world (notably history, religion, and physics), the so-called 'Labour Party', Palestine projects, networks of thugs used by Jews, entertainment control, and Jews with false names posing as British. (Here's an interesting little-known example: [suffragettes used as Jew pawns](#) in the lead-up to the 'Great War'). The word 'cosmopolitan' for Jews was in wide use at that time; it means, more-or-less, someone in a rootless world-town.

In the USA, the equivalent was after the 'Federal Reserve', and after President Wilson had been blackmailed into war in Europe, and influenced by the 'Balfour Agreement'. As usual with Jews there are very secret, unpublicised agreements.

Probably it will turn out that Russia, after years of the futile 'Great War', had all the time been secretly given to Jews, who had coveted Russia since Napoleon and indeed funded Japan in war against Russia in 1905.



< Typical group photo taken at random showing whites in mid-20th century Britain. They didn't regard themselves as 'whites'; just British people, who lived in Britain, and had always been British. I only recently realised that a secretive proportion believed themselves to be Jews. Like a concealed intrusion, they perceived themselves as aliens, apart from the host community of 'gentiles'. Same photo, different interpretation.

Examples of disguised Jewish infiltration

George Galloway as an example of Jew-staged oratory
This is a video of mine, with [George Galloway praising Jews](#) at the Oxford Union. (Though he failed to mention Jews in the USSR). I haven't tried to check Galloway's family antecedents: it's possible a 19th or 20th century Jew invaded Britain, changed his name, and then mentioned Jews in his oratory. (But he doesn't mention Jews break laws all the time—'sanctuary cities' has a front-page headline: [TRAITOR A Labour MP brands British](#))

name, and left Galloway to be raised as a mimic Scot. Galloway, compared with most MPs, is at least a 3-D character: on 1 April 2003, *The Sun*, a low-grade Jewish-controlled newspaper, had [troops 'wolves' and calls upon Arab countries to fight Allies](#). Galloway's much-YouTube'd 2005 Senate hearings may well prove to be a staged phony—how many public appeals by victims of US war crimes has the senate helped broadcast? How many 9/11 investigations have been broadcast? Obviously, none. If Galloway knows about Jews—including in the Arab 'royal families'—he's keeping it to himself. In 2007 he was in pugilistic menace mode when David Irving was speaking; being an MP, he obviously has assistants who could check up on the 'Holohoax'. Recently, he's been discussing Rothschilds, but now what they own; he said it's a dangerous idea, but did not say whether the danger was from, or to, the Rothschilds.

Jordan Peterson as a Jew-promoted irrelevance

Peterson (b. 1962) of Toronto University's psychology department, in Canada, looks like an undeclared Jew to me: he never mentions Trudeau's anti-white immigration activities; he claims to have studied Nazis for forty years (since age 16?) and 'completely understands them'—a piece of effrontery as the Zündel trials of 1985, 1988 fall within that period; he 'attacks' Islam but never mentions Judaism; he never mentions 9/11 or other Jewish frauds; he has no knowledge of revisionism, and quotes the line that scientists purely search for truth. (Another Peterson was US Secretary of Commerce under Nixon, Chairman of the CFR from 1985 to 2007, and chair of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, among other things, says Miles Mathis).

A scripted TV interview with 'Jew' Cathy Newman was widely publicised, a sure sign it's a Jewish promo job. He's shown as heroic for not bowing down before a ridiculous 'pronoun' decision in Canada. It would be wonderful to see a campaign to insist on 'Mikler' and 'Fike' as name styles—but don't expect it quite yet. With experience, it's amusing to spot the planted Jew desiderata: Peterson says of course he'd obey a law on pronouns (but he doesn't mention Jews break laws all the time—'sanctuary cities' has a front-page headline: [TRAITOR A Labour MP brands British](#)) and 'undocumented migrant' invaders, for example). She mentions allegations about Mao's mass murders, but of course omits Jew mass murders under Lenin, and Stalin, and many others. I think there was a reference to capital punishment—allowed to Jews, of course. She wonders if he thinks women are intelligent, and Peterson says they test the same—apparently unaware that IQ tests are tweaked to remove questions women tend not to like. He reminds me slightly of R D Laing, taking refuge in literary and surreal writings. It's comic how ahistorical he is, something which tends to be a characteristic of opportunistic parasites: he claims the 'full spectrum of political beliefs' runs from 'conservatism to liberalism.'

So why is he being promoted? I haven't read his book effort, but my best guess is that he's part of the anti-white Jewish movement. His book will say: things are gonna be real tough for whites, and progressive positive discrimination will lead to few jobs for whites, and lots of jobs for unqualified non-white academics—as he perhaps illustrates.

Other Apparently Jew-naive YouTubers

The 'alt-right' is a Jew coining which pretends that race concerns are 'right wing', and Jews are 'left wing'. So there's (yawn) juggling with that. 'Millennial Woos' seems to be totally unaware of Jewish issues—a precarious position for anyone concerned with forced nonwhite invasion into white, or once-white, countries. He may of course be a crypto-Jew himself, or anxious to preserve his YouTube income, but he is unable to discuss serious issues, as of course are many others. However, people are waking up and, I hope, will abandon the lightweight.



— **Miliband brothers or half-brothers.** I happen to have photos here; may as well put them in. Typical 'Chosen People' who benefited from mass deaths of other races in wars. At issue in this article is the Second World War, though one of them is reported to be in the USA working for more mass immigration—into the USA, not Israel.

More members of the 'master race': → Richard Dimpleby was a Jew who like many 'worked' on propaganda during the Second World War. He was filmed by the BBC to help launch the 'Concentration Camp' horror story frauds. He was given an official funeral. His sons are still active; name a serious subject, they tell lies. The Attenboroughs are in different media; David Attenborough 'worked' in BBC news, and was part of numerous cover-ups. Let's hope all these shits die soon.

Anthony Salz (from [milesmathis.com/potter.pdf](#))
Salz [lawyer] was the **Chairman of the BBC in 2006, having been for several years on the Board of Governors. He is also a trustee of the trust which owns The Guardian newspaper** (It has the 'chutzpah' to invite 'independent, investigative journalism'). He is **Executive Director of N. M. Rothschild and Sons** (an 'independent, investigative journalism').



Limited. (And part of the 'Harry Potter hoax'. When you find that race crimes in Britain are not reported, that Jewish immigration rockets are not reported, that black serial killers are not reported, that the incompetence of third worlders are not reported, that 9/11 and the 'Holocaust' are not reported, that science fraud is not reported, that spread of dangerous diseases are not reported, that the Barcelona plan to invade Europe with 80 million Africans is not reported, that details of the Budget are not reported, that Jewish-backed atrocities are not reported, that facts about the 'Talmud' are hidden, that fake statistics are reported and fake charities are not—people like this piece of shit are the reason.

Glad Atzmon the silent

is related to Menachem Atzmon, chairman of ICTS, the **Israeli firm in charge of security at the airport from which the alleged hijacked planes left on September 11th**. He is also related to Mossad operative Tzipi Livni, and Janet Yellen chairman of the **Federal Reserve**. The late **Nathan Yellin-Mor, co-founder of the terrorist Stern gang** which was responsible for the assassinations of Count Bernadotte and Lord Moyne, the **Deir Yassin massacre** and the **King David Hotel bombing**, was also a relative. It's true that we have no control over who our relatives are, but one would think that with his familial



Fake Celt race supremacists



connections he would have much more in the way of information and insight to offer us Gentiles. (Karen T, Dec 2017)

'Professor' Richard Evans

may well be a crypto-Jew, descended from Jewish invaders and with a name-change to a Welsh name. I'm judging by his attitudes and outlook, and consistency in his primacy of what Jews think are Jewish interests over everyone else's. (Michael Howard, 'Lord Howard of Lympne' is a specimen 'Welsh Jew'. The BNP used to have a Jew, describing himself as a 'detective'. And so on.) The issue remains heavily censored, despite the obvious conflict of interest over British and European history.

Clinton Richard Dawkins (My reviews of Richard Dawkins)

Looks like another: geni.com (family tree site) 'scrubs' his mother's name. He's well-known as an enthusiastic evolutionist—fine by me, as far as it goes, but he omits many important human evolutionary concerns. But he's also anti-Christian, while saying nothing about Jesus, using US Jewish 'skeptic' frauds. He's also a theoretical egalitarian, despite his supposed socialism, considering blacks equal to whites; he says there's no rational reason for whites not to live among blacks. And his egalitarianism stops with Jewish supremacists. For people who know about science fraud, his scientific credentials are flimsy; he has no idea about cell biology, for example. Unfortunately, DNA and its analyses are becoming part of Jewish science fraud, and there's no sign he'll look into any of it.

Corbyn in Britain another Jew fraudster politician illustrates infiltration. Here's [my article from mid-2016](#).

[[Top of page](#)]

Psypop-induced violence to start others fighting

Jewish provocations as far as I know come from a limited menu of ideas, no doubt from constant repetition of simple Talmudic stories.

Let's think about violence, as a spectator sport. On a small scale, think of cockfights or bullfights. A tamer version is feeding groups of water birds, watching them scramble. Human equivalents include gladiators, in pairs, who presumably fight to the death. Or Africans, each given a car, made to fight to the death. More examples include, or some were, Here's a short article of mine [on Jewish cockfighting](#). For the people involved, these are very serious; generally there is no easy way out, and generally if there are large numbers, both sides will have been prepared by propaganda, whether true or not. It's a strange experience to talk to people who are proud they 'were there' at some battle, and who seem to have no understanding that their equipment and information were all imposed on them, and were paid for more or less secretly, and could have been changed at any time, by someone deciding for their own reasons to go for something else and issuing an order.

Naturally, control over opinion can make this process easy enough, mainly by simple repetition, over a long time; at this time, blacks are being fed absurd lies by Jews in the hope of causing violence, which is not successful. This is not surprising; whites behave similarly. Obvious injustices can be controlled to spark hostility: here's 'Peter' online: *Although Germany had pieces of land stolen from it by many countries, including France, Belgium, Denmark and Italy, Germany didn't ask for any land stolen from it in the west. It appeared its enemies, only asking for land stolen from it by Poland, Czechoslovakia and Lithuania, all in the east.*

And experience shows that it is easy enough to cause 'displacement reactions'. For example, Jan Lamprecht seems keen on black-white wars after black atrocities in South Africa, forgetting what he so laboriously learned, that Jews caused it. *The Greatest Story Never Told* has a lot of detail on Germans vs Russians—but the enemy was Jews, not Russians. Some people seem to think God intervenes, for example in the 'Angel of Mons' during 'The Great War'.

Jews as a hidden, invisible, group or layer

Jews love disguise: Christianity (when it became established), Islam, Jesuits, Quakers, French Revolutionaries, Freemasons, Bolsheviks, 'Democrats', 'Socialists', Communists, Nomenklatura, Spectre, Common Purpose illustrate the sort of thing. The same sort of thing applies of course in other cases, for example the Thuggee cult. The invisibility is helped by the use of fake names: Lenin, Trotsky, Stalin, Rothschild illustrate that sort of thing, but these are outstandingly evil—most fake names are picked to blend in with local populations, for low-level activity against the hosts.

Jews and Choice of Victims

Do Jews pick target groups for reasons? Or is it mostly opportunistic?—Is a question worth considering. In the Second World War, Jews collectively targeted Germany, but their violence and continual lying suggest that perception of weakness was a trigger. Similarly, Jews made up a collection of stories about Slavs, but the mass-murders and killings by any meansmdashstarvation, exposure to sub-zero weather, torture, high explosives—sound more like a herd reaction. Nearer the present day, the well-publicised (in alternative media) lunacy of Barbara Spectre suggest an opportunistic approach to Sweden. This is important in researching Jews: they form loosish alliances for their parasitic attacks. Britain and the USA are modern, big state, examples, and China seems to be a temporary ally. Probably there's a simple rule of thumb.

Jews and repetition

Like mammals or birds or insects that all repeat the same meme, Talmudic material is (if you have time) worth studying, as the common source of Jewish activities. The Holocaust fraud gives abundant evidence that a chanting, herd-like quality of mock evidence is instinctive in Jews.

How do Jews assess 'Goyim', 'Shikhas', or so on?

I tend to think they use a checklist approach. For example, the 'flat earth' promotion may be one way to detect simple, compliant, ignorant people. More questions might be: Was Hitler the most evil man ever? Did Arabs cause 9/11? Is the Bible all true? Is 'reversed speech' useful? What do you think of Pearl Harbor? Are you humbled by the heroism of US astronauts?

Jews and discarding once-favoured groups or individuals

Jew favourites appears from time to time: Cromwell an old example, Stalin and Martin Luther King and Mandela, more recently. Cromwell ended with his head on a pole. Stalin ignored as far as possible. The two 'activists' are now less favoured, after a sound revisionist observation that they were both frauds. Sarah Wintrey and Spielberg are media examples. Sometimes, Jew protesters and agitators are detected. Damien Hirst, for example, Milo Yvanopoulos may prove to be another. But note that Jewish repetitive support (what Hilaire Belloc called 'booming') can remain more or less forever: consider for example the mythologies of 'Moses' or 'Jesus'. One of the functions of revisionism has to be to reconsider reputations forced by Jews: Einstein is a perfect example; the '[Dreyfus Affair](#)' was promotion for 'Jews' generally, around 1900, the sort of thing that has happened many times. Another example is 'Little St Hugh', discarded by the Church of England.

Jews in WW2: their overlooked and undetected aim was presumably to maximise deaths of non-Jews, preferably whites

My working hypothesis is that Jewish policy in the World Wars was to maximise deaths—Europeans, Slavs, with USA, UK, ANZ included, and others if possible—in accordance with Talmudic doctrines—by arranging wars or more simply by directing slaughter.

Harry Truman in the New York Times is quoted in a recorded speech by [Tony Benn, in 1994](#) at about 7.10 minutes, on maximising deaths of Germans and Russians, by giving weapons to whichever side was losing. I'm not suggesting this is new or original. Benn, intentionally or not, confuses 'socialism' with 'Jewish policies'. And I'm not suggesting other groups are just as useful for profitable disposal. As regards whites, many people now know there is plenty of evidence for the spread of Jewish anti-white policies, often supported by reports by whites who themselves were used by Jews.

I'm not suggesting it was the only policy. Human individuals work, play, and what have you, but rarely pursue an undeviating aim all the time. But human groups can co-operate to enormous effect, and Jews seem to be exceptional in pursuing ends simultaneously. Probably the World Wars had joint ends—killing goyim, war profiteering, arranging territories to be occupied and exploited, and paying some attention to their controlled subordinates.

Before WW2. Russia & USSR | Britain | France | Germany | Italy | Small Countries | Hungary | Ukraine | Poland | USA | Japan | China [[Top of page](#)]

In the immediate post-war years, hundreds of thousands of important documents pertaining to the origins of the First World War were taken from their countries of origin to the west coast of America and concealed in locked vaults at Stanford University. Macgregor & Docherty Hanslope Park is guarded by a seven-foot-high chain-link fence, just beyond which is a ten-foot-high fence topped with coils of razor wire. Every few foot between the fences are closed-circuit television cameras and floodlights. Cobain writes, 'only from the air can the enormous scale of the compound be comprehended, it measures almost half a mile across ... It is a perfect place to bury difficult secrets.' [33]And bury difficult secrets they have. Cobain describes how millions of files containing top secret British government documents dating back even further than the First World War are kept at Hanslope Park. Some documents which were recently released, albeit very reluctantly under direct order of the Courts of Law, revealed the true horrors of British colonial rule in Kenya and elsewhere in the world. The Hanslope documents are among those which have survived the bonfires. Cobain described how just prior to Malaysia's independence from Britain, five truckloads of sensitive documents relating to British colonial rule were driven 220 miles from Kuala Lumpur under police escort to the naval base at Singapore and destroyed in 'The Navy's splendid incinerator there'. Papers at the National Archives at Kew 'testified to a worldwide purge of sensitive or damning documentation: there was correspondence that described the laborious burning of papers, there were telegrams from London giving precise instructions for methods of destruction; there were even "destruction certificates", signed and witnessed by colonial officials to confirm that certain classes of documents had been incinerated.' [34] Systematic and institutional vandalism aimed at wiping out the truth. Controversial files relating to Britain's colonial outrag by Guaporensen " 28 Jul 2012, 01:02 The Nominal National Products of the major powers in 1938, in current dollars: (1) United States: 84.7 billion (2) Germany: 46.0 billion" (3) UK: 27.51 billion (4) USSR: 23.02 billion (5) France: 16.18 billion (6) Italy: 8.68 billion (7) Japan: 7.49 billion LOTS ON WW1 When Germany surprisingly sought an Armistice to find grounds for Peace in 1918, it was on the basis of President Woodrow Wilson's Fourteen Points. The myth history here is deplorable. Historians and journalist continue to this day to claim that the First World War ended on 11 November, 1918. It did not. Germany was undefeated on the field of battle. Beaten but not crushed. In a move which has been airbrushed from official history, the Allies, mainly Britain, continued to apply a full and complete blockade to Germany so that for the next eight months hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of their women and children were starved to death. The rise of Bolshevism in Germany became so dangerous that even war hawks like Lloyd George realised that Germany had to be allowed to survive in a much reduced state. Finally the terms of the Treaty of Versailles, never ratified by the American Congress, were so damaging that the causes of the Second World War were literally sewn into the fabric. WW1: Prolonging the Agony, How the Anglo-American Establishment Deliberately Extended WW1 by Three-and-a-Half Years, has been published. ... lays before the reader a vast amount of evidence which reveals how enormously rich and powerful men in Britain and the U.S. deliberately prolonged WW1 while reaping even greater fortunes from it. It retraces the major lies and malevolent propaganda generated in Britain and America to justify war against Germany, and the reason it was prolonged beyond the spring of 1915 in order to crush the Russian Revolution. The Full Hidden History of the First World War ended on 11 November, 1918. It did not. Germany was undefeated on the field of battle. Beaten but not crushed. In a move which has been airbrushed from official history, the Allies, mainly Britain, continued to apply a full and complete blockade to Germany so that for the next eight months hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of their women and children were starved to death. The rise of Bolshevism in Germany became so dangerous that even war hawks like Lloyd George realised that Germany had to be allowed to survive in a much reduced state. Finally the terms of the Treaty of Versailles, never ratified by the American Congress, were so damaging that the causes of the Second World War were literally sewn into the fabric. Prolonging the Agony is unique. It details the lies and malpractice through which an evil war was prolonged. The old order in Europe was swept aside and it shows how the new order emerged from a joint Anglo-American Establishment. It merged the Secret Elite in Britain with the Morgan-Wall Street powers through joint policy making by a self-appointed group of 'right-thinking' men. We name them. We also acknowledge the impressive work of Professor Carroll Quigley in initially exposing the machinations of the evil men who aimed to take over the new world order. And then they stole our history. Literally. All of the evidence of the gross malpractice, the profiteering, the lies and the propaganda about the cause of the war and about the running of the war was swept up and taken away from its roots in the Kaiser's Germany. The vast quantities of international permits and papers about the running of the American Relief in Belgium, high-jacked. The key Russian diplomatic evidence sold for a pittance was removed to America. Taken under instruction by the organisation set up by Herbert Hoover, it was removed to Stanford University and there what remains of the evidence lies under lock and key. Our history. Our truth. To be fair, our governments also burned, redacted, removed, shredded and otherwise abused the historical fact by destroying evidence of their malpractice and lies. Prolonging the Agony details as much of this destruction of history as we currently know. Brevé and persistent journalists continue to push for sight of all documents. Historians do not. You have to give time to this frank exposure. You will have questions to ask. You will be angered at the waste of life and the selfishness of the rich and the powerful dynasties. You will want to ask again and again how they managed to sweep such a litany of wrong-doing under the proverbial carpet. You will be alarmed at the manner in which we have been lied to, at the stolen history; at the way in which you have been misled. After ten years of constant research and inquiry, we still are not sure that the truth has been brought to a reasonable conclusion in 1915. The cover in human terms of all that transpired from 1915-1919 is so horrendous, that it has been studiously kept from us. Even 100 years later, the lies persist. Read this book. There is much more to it than has been outlined here. Consider the implications. Be angry. Now available from Trineday Publications in the USA and though Amazon across the world. We are delighted to announce that our German publishers, Kopf Verlag will undertake a translation in German, and our French publishers, Editions Nouvelle Terre, are currently considering a similar decision. Jim and Gerry | 6 March, 2018 at 4:05 pm | Categories: Belgian Relief, Carroll Quigley, Gallipoli, Georges Clemenceau, Herbert Hoover, Kaiser Wilhelm II, President Woodrow Wilson, Secret Elite, Wall Street, Zionism, Zionism | URL: <https://wp.me/p4iC3s-lhT> Comment See all comments Like Unsubscribe to no longer receive posts from First World Hidden History. Change your email settings at Amazon Subscriptions. Trouble clicking? Copy and paste this URL into your browser: <https://firstworldwarhistory.wordpress.com/2018/03/06/prolonging-the-agony-2-the-full-hidden-history/> Thanks for flying with WordPress.

• A note on 'unconditional surrender'. Suppose some warmonger wants to insist on obviously unfair conditions. When this happens, it's time for continuing until 'unconditional surrender'. For example, I doubt many people would consider imposing Freemasons a legitimate cause for war.

Conclusion: when people talk of 'unconditional surrender', it may be a sign Jews are involved.

• A note on 'Wars prepared unessarily'. Note this is a gentile, taken for granted, without thinking, by many non-Jews. But Talmudic advice is to kill as many 'goyim' as possible.

Conclusion: if some campaign or war is said to be 'prolonged unnecessarily', it's probably a sign Jews want more deaths and profit.

• On the 'Great War' or 'First World War', Benjamin Freedman's [A Jewish Defector Warns America](#) (1961 speech; transcribed as about 60,000 words) is a blissfully short, convincing and detailed, run-through of the German experience in the 'Great War'—well worth reading for anyone whose schooling is Jew-only. (Warning: Freedman's speech may be a partial pyp, omitting the Rothschilds and other Jews, omitting terms of weapon loans and repayments, omitting crimes of Jews in the USSR notably mass murders, omitting doubts about Hitler, promoting nuke mythology for mass scares... So keep your critical specs on!)

• After the Great War, a **treaty of sorts was imposed on Germany at Versailles**. Parts of Germany were awarded to other countries, and usually this is regarded as something negotiated and discussed. In fact, the bits were probably awarded to Jewish landowners, and removed from Germans, Austrians, Hungarians, and so on. Versailles after the war, during negotiations, was notorious for swarming with Jews, typically from the USA, and run rings round the supposed victors.



The Master Race

Mixed bag of financial secret planners, war criminals, lifelong liars, wreckers of much of the world. Note that if European powers had matched Jewish actions in the USSR,

The general view of the supposed end of WW1 may still be surprise and shock at the ramshackle and dangerous arrangements of the 'Treaty'. How could they take such risks?—Cynics might reflect that this was **presumably intentional, paving the way for further Jewish games**—after all, the Great War itself showed signs of pre-planning and profiteering—the invention of the Fed, the prolonged newspaper campaigns, the loans for weapons, the sinking of *Lusitania*, Trading with the Enemy, the sudden unexplained stop. Note that all the arrangements were subject to Jewish lying, in traditional fashion. For example, **the areas lost by Germany amounted (according to Hitler) to 25,000 square miles, which is smaller than Eire, which Britain was supposed to be happy about**, when the Marrano Jew descended de Valera was put into power.

Conclusion: watch for huge asymmetry in opinions on similar issues. Typical Jewish behaviour is to endlessly cause trouble for what they think are their own ends.

In a similar way, vast reparations were demanded, but they later varied to enormous extents. It's not hazardous to guess the figures and the terms were decided by Jews—and that Hitler's public speeches avoided details. **Conclusion: if a war ends in a tangle of absurd contradictory problematical settlements, and vast money settlements, it's probably Jews at their money-making and war games.**

Russia & USSR [[Top of page](#)]

===== USSR 1928 1941 1950 Cows 33.2 million 27.8 million 24.6 million Pigs 27.7 million 27.5 million 22.2 million Sheep 114.6 million 91.6 million 93.6 million Horses 36.1 million 21.0 million 12.7 million
According to official Soviet statistics, some 24 million Kulags disappeared from rural areas, of whom only 12.6 million moved to state jobs. naive people attribute this to 'chasing the rainbow of the perfect state', 'building socialism' ... in fact murder [this is why jews in usa carry out childish false flags to try for disarming whites] ===== Ostara book blurb: Der Untermensch ("The Underman") is possibly the Third Reich's most famous, misquoted, and misrepresented publication ever. First issued in 1942 by the SS head office under the direct orders of Heinrich Himmler, The Underman has ever since been portrayed as "anti-Slavic," "anti-Russian," and "anti-Jewish." In fact only the third allegation has any truth to it. The "anti-Slavic" and "anti-Russian" claims are merely the product of postwar propaganda, reliant on the fact that almost no one would have the chance to actually read the publication for themselves. The reason for this was that after the war, the Allied occupying powers in Germany ordered all copies of the publication seized and burned. As a result, only a tiny handful were saved, and it is from one of these very few surviving copies, that this edition has been prepared. In addition to its rarity, the text has also never been correctly and fully translated into English (until now), a fact which has greatly eased the task of those seeking to distort what it actually says. Ideologically hostile Jewish propagandists have, for example, engaged in outright forgery and misrepresentation of its contents, while a single translation made by an ideologically sympathetic postwar publisher suffered from serious grammatical and translation disasters. This edition is therefore the very first complete and accurate translation into English of this 52 page overseas booklet. Far from being anti-Slavic, the reader will see that the SS Head Office publication portrayed Russians as victims of Communism—and then specifically blamed Jews as being behind Communism, and, ideologically speaking, inheritors of a far older, far eastern attack on Europe which had started with Genghis Khan and the Mongols. Nowhere in the SS book are the Slavic people denigrated, and in fact many of the traditional Slavic nations are mentioned in text and photograph as being part of the greater European family. European nations specifically mentioned in this book include Portugal, Spain, Italy, France, Belgium, the Netherlands, Denmark, Norway, Finland, Italy, Switzerland, Slovakia, Croatia, Greece, Bulgaria, Hungary, and Romania. The suffering of ordinary Russian people under the Soviet system forms a large focus in this work, and at all times great sympathy is evoked for these victims of Communism: men, women and children alike. Special mention is made of their awful living conditions, inflicted by the Soviet economic collectivization system, and always condemned only as the result of Communism. In addition, the economics, social structure, art, and even freedom of religion under the Soviet state is dramatically and graphically compared with Germany—and elsewhere in Europe. Finally, after reviewing numerous Soviet atrocities and Communist secret police torture chambers discovered during the German advance into the Baltic states and the Ukraine, this SS book ends with a dramatic series of photographs showing the murderous intentions of the Soviet state—and a warning that if the European people did not unite and together fight off the attack by the "Jewish-led Underman," then Europe itself would be destroyed. ===== (NB Princess Alix of Hesse, granddaughter of Victoria, married Czar Nicholas II in 1894, and was crowned Empress of Russia in 1895. European royalty permitted this type of permeability). 1792 War with Poland. [From Dora Craig: Poland was Jew-influenced. The Jews infiltrated the Polish nobility in the 18th century under Casimir IV...the same way the Jewish bankers infiltrated the British nobility under Queen Victoria and Edward VII in the 19th century. (Actually the infiltration of Britain began with the crypto-Jew William Cecil's control of Elizabeth I and her illegitimate children). Many Poles were unaware of the Jewish infiltration of the nobility. The largest Jewish population in Europe was located in Polish-Lithuanian territory. When Catherine the Great conquered Poland, Russia acquired thousands of Jews who had been formerly barred from entering Russia. Catherine tried to prevent the Jews from entering Russia by establishing borders called the "Pale of Settlement." It didn't work. By conquering Poland and absorbing Poland's Jews, the Russian monarchs embraced the time bomb that eventually killed them. Jews assassinated EVERY Tsar beginning with Alexander I, who (like Napoleon) was poisoned. Nikolai I was poisoned (following his successful resistance to the Jew-orchestrated Crimean War). Alexander II (after 14 unsuccessful Jewish attempts to kill him) had his legs bombed off. (Alexander II had angered the Rothschilds by entering the US Civil War in support of Lincoln). Alexander III and family were bombed (not the first attempt) while traveling in the Imperial Train; Alexander held up the collapsed roof of his compartment to allow his family to escape, thereby damaging his kidneys. His son Nikolai II and family were shot. A look at French and American history reveals the same history of relentless serial assassinations of "independent" non-Jew leaders. See: *The Red Thread* by Andrei Krylenko.]

=====

Russia: note on vast wet plains like Poland, Ireland. Unlike European subdivisions. Horses eg cossacks, Polish horseemen, Mongols. 'Primitive communism' idea. Boundary changes: poland huge changes; lithuania even huger. Idea of Jews mying is not unusual.

Note on huge size of Russia: St Petersburg/ Moscow approx similar to Cape Town and Johannesburg in South Africa with huge lands on other side. Kiev and Minsk further south. Moscow about level with east med. solzhenitsyn 200 years together (eng trans online at archive.org, 2 vols undated russian 2002 chapter 21 during the soviet-jerman war 1940 peace offer hitler said he'd withdraw from occ territories exc trad germany and wanted permission to invade USSR [churchill provoked bombing "to remain PM"]

- Note the probability of secret agreements, during WW1. The determination to support and recognise the Jewish regime of the rich (see milesymaths.com/lenin.pdf) (Lenin was an aristocrat on both sides of his family. His father and maternal grandfather are admitted to be nobles. It looks like they were also crypto-jewish nobles. ... the supposed 'Russian Revolution', absurd story of the 'October Revolution'...) must have been a collective decision. Probably the huge publicity given to the Balfour/Rothschild agreement was a distraction.
- The Russian Empire itself (like the British Empire) was probably driven by Jews, so that vast land areas had Jews in high positions, ready for Bolshevik mass murders and genocides, though of course anything like a full story is concealed.

Britain [[Top of page](#)]

THIRD SEQUENCE: TODAY AND TOMORROW

B.W.P. 15

BRITAIN IN EUROPE

February 1944

(b) Why Do European States Go to War?

The question, then, that we have to ask is why the European peoples have not developed the same kind of "good neighbour" relationship as the British Empire and the United States. What good purpose have the European peoples, or their rulers, felt was served by resort to war?

2. What Gain Do They Expect from War?

To answer that question we have to make a distinction between conditions as they are today and conditions as they were when the States formed their habits of behaviour some centuries ago.

(i) In the Old Days of Scarcity?

Europe, as we know it, consists, as we have said, of a considerable number of independent States. Almost every one of these States has acquired its independence and formed its central government after a struggle against adverse forces both without and within—against the overlordship of the Pope and the Roman Emperor, against grasping neighbours and against feudal barons and other forms of internal opposition. Thus the European States came to birth and fixed their policies under conditions of severe competition—competition for power.

War for Power and Profit

Every ruler of a State tried to increase his power at the expense of his neighbours. The natural way of doing this, under the conditions of those days, was to extend his boundaries: for the larger the population under his sway, the more taxes he could levy and the more troops he could muster.

The final object of this competition was to secure the overlordship of the whole continent as a first step to the mastery of the whole world. And the attempt has been twice renewed by Germany in our own time.

The Balance of Power to Keep the Peace

To counter this standing danger of a bid for supremacy, European diplomacy resorted to the device of forming a counter-weight so as to maintain an equilibrium, or what came to be called a "balance of power." States who felt threatened by the increasing power of another State combined to offset the danger. You can probably think of examples of this even in our own time.

In this way the excessive attempts at supremacy were defeated, but without any change in the general character or spirit of the system. The threatened States simply subjugated their jealousies and rivalries for the time being, reserving their competition when the danger had passed.

Thus the idea of a "good neighbour" relationship was slow to take root on the European continent. Indeed, previous to 1914, it had only taken root amongst a few of the smaller democratic states of North-Western Europe and they counted for little in the scales of power.

(i) Now in this Age of Abundance?

So the reason why Europe has been a seed-bed of war is because of the survival, over the greater part of the continent, of habits and attitudes dating back to a time when living conditions were very different from what they are today, either in the New World or the Old.

RUSSIA

POPULATION

Population.—The population of the empire, which was estimated at 74,000,000 in 1859, was found to be over 129,000,000 at the census of 1897, taken over all the empire except Finland. In 1904 it was estimated to be 143,000,000, and in 1906, according to a detailed estimate of the Central Statistical Committee, it was 149,399,300. Thus from 1860 to 1897 the population increased 74½%, and from 1897 to 1904 26·3, an average annual increase of about 3½% as compared with an average annual increase of 2½% during the period 1860-97. The increase took place chiefly in the large cities, in Siberia, Poland, Lithuania, S. Russia and Caucasus. The official divisions of the empire are given here, and details are given in separate articles.

PROVINCE OR GOVERNMENT

European Russia—

Archangel	Livonia	Saratov
Astrakhan	Minsk	Simbirsk
Bessarabia	Mogilev	Smolensk
Cheernigov	Moscow	Tambov
Courland	Nizhny-Novgorod	Taurida
Don Cossacks' territory	Novgorod	Tula
Ekaterinoslav	Olonez	Tver
Esthonia	Pereval	Ufa
Grodno	Orenburg	Vilna
Kaluga	Penza	Vitebsk
Kazan	Perm	Vladimir
Kiev	Podolia	Volhynia
Kostroma	Poltava	Vologda
Kovno	Pskov	Voronezh
Kursk	Ryazan	Yaroslavl
Khar'kov	St Petersburg	
Kherson		

Poland—

Kalisz	Piotrkow	Siedlce
Kielce	Plock	Suwalki
Lomza	Radom	Warsaw
Lublin		

Grand Duchy of Finland—

Abo-Björneborg	St Michel	Viborg
Kuopio	Tavastehus	Vasa
Nyland	Uleaborg	

Caucasia—

Kuban	Stavropol	Terek
Elizavetopol		Kutais
Black Sea territory	Erivan	Tiflis with Zakataly
Daghestan	Kars	

Russia in Asia—

Akmoinsk	
Semipalatinsk	
Turgai	
Uralsk	
Semireychensk	
Samarkand	

Turkesian—

Perghana	
Syr-darya	

Transcaapia

Western Siberia—

Tobolsk	
Tomsk	

Eastern Siberia—


Irkutsk	Yakutsk
Transbaikalia	Yeniseisk

Amur Region—

Amur	
Maritime Province	
Sakhalin	

It has been found, from a comparison of the densities of population of the various provinces in 1859 with the distribution in 1897, that the centre of density has distinctly moved S., towards the shores of the Black Sea, and W., the greatest increase having taken place in the E. Baltic and in the Lithuanian provinces along the S.W.

Russia in the 1910/1911 Encyclopaedia Britannica (11th edition).



Map including European Russia. Further east, off this map, from the Ural mountains, is the vaster region

130

B.W.P. 4. CITIZEN OF THE WORLD.

4. Britain and the U.S.A.

The relations between Great Britain and the United States have been, and are bound to be, conditioned by the general American attitude to world problems, the attitude of the representative American, not of the American who, for whatever reason, has discarded some or most of the typical American viewpoints.

(i) **Attitude towards Britain**

The representative American does, in fact, look on Britain in a special light, not always a favourable light! He knows, or thinks he knows, more about Britain than about any other country. His picture of Britain is coloured by his reading, by his chatterbox, and he tends to take from British examples of what he disapproves, e.g. snobbery, aristocratic inefficiency, as well as examples of what he approves, e.g. But where American and British ways differ, he usually and naturally thinks his own better and may take British refusal to see this as proof of incurable and, in the modern world, dangerous conservatism. Even apart from the apparent course of the war, he is less surprised to find Britain "taking it", than he is to learn with what success British resources (which he tends to underestimate) have been mobilised to "dig it out". That Britain, per head of population, produces more munitions, more soldiers, sailors, airmen and ships than the United States is seldom realised in America. As he is not very minded, the average American finds it hard to realise what a practical and sentimental bond unites the British people to their navy and what a great and, possibly, decisive role the Royal Navy and Merchant Service are playing.

(ii) **The Similarities—**

Co-operation between the British and American peoples is both easier and more difficult than between the American people and any other. Although probably a good deal less than half of the present American population is of British origin, a permanent mark was left on American life by the English character of the thirteen colonies.

For example, every state, except one (Louisiana), has a legal system basically English in character. There are close similarities in aims, and even in methods, in the educational systems of the two countries. Basic moral and political ideas are similar too. In more than a mere linguistic sense, the two peoples speak the same language. That is an advantage—and is disadvantage, for it increases the ease of misunderstanding as well as of understanding. Because the two peoples have similar ideas, there is a danger that they may be thought to have identical ideas.

(iii) **—And the Differences**

Sometimes the ideas are practically identical: they are identical in their loathing of Hitlerism, of its theory as well as its practice. But they are similar, not necessarily identical, when it is a question of how to organize the world against a revival of Hitlerism.

To some extent this difference is simply explained in geographical terms. There were plenty of islandfronts of an English type who were educated by the Brits. Their American kin have not had and, probably, will not have such instruction. There is no reason for casting stones. Glasshouses abound in both countries!

In Methods—

But there are other differences. The American people are used to a written constitution, interpreted by a Supreme Court, the British people to a mainly unwritten

CHAPTER II. BRITAIN AND RUSSIA

137

constitution, in which whatever Parliament says goes. The American people, therefore, naturally look to a future organisation of the world for peace in terms of a written document, imposing special and heavily described obligations. If, as polls have shown, appreciates the need for such an organisation, the danger is a relapse into the belief that victory will "keep" without any continued effort after the conclusion of peace. But with its constitutional habits, it naturally scrutinises the terms of world association more carefully than the British people are likely to do. One nation likes its obligations to be set down in black and white; the other has a passion for vagueness.

—And in Talents

It is also true that the scope of these similarities and differences extends to more than method. Both countries are democratic, but the methods of achieving the people's rule in each country differ. So, too, do their attitudes to world trade: Mr. Villi, Americans tend to regard this as a luxury, the English as a necessity. The Secretary of State, is more English in his views and policy on this point than the average Englishman, but nevertheless the average Englishman is more conscious of the common economic interests of the world than the average American. Then, the Englishman has become conscious of a closer degree of unity with Europe than the American has any reason to feel. The problem of world peace will seem, to our man in the street, mainly a problem of Germany. To the average American it may equally be a problem of Japan and, more likely still, a problem of a reorganisation of the world on democratic lines.

(iv) **Need for Agreement**

The American is conscious of reserves of strength and energy, the Englishman of experience (bitterly bought) and of a first-hand understanding of some very immediate problems. It is in the reconciliation of the two views—the acceptance by the American people of some grim and depressing truths about the need of unity in power and the acceptance by the British people of the view that a great world revolution is in progress—that lies the opportunity for a common policy which, this time, will make the world safe for democracy.

BRITAIN AND RUSSIA

By Sir BERNARD PARES, K.B.E.

formerly Professor of Russian History, Language and Literature, University of London.

Chapter II. Relations of Russia with Other States

The U.S.S.R. covers about half of Europe and a large part of Asia. Europe and Asia as a single continental block. The Ural mountains are not important as a dividing line, and the country on both sides is much the same, a flat stretch of forest and pasture. Russia's problems are as much Asiatic as European and the most formidable States on her western and eastern frontiers are Germany and Japan—now in alliance. She has therefore to take equal thought for both her doors—front and back.

B.W.P.
1 - 18



The British Way and Purpose

BWP

CONSOLIDATED EDITION

PREPARED BY THE DIRECTORATE OF ARMY EDUCATION


NOTES

The information given in this brochure is not to be considered as a substitute for the study of the original sources, which should be consulted in preference.

DIRECTORATE
OF
ARMY
EDUCATION

of Asiatic Russia, extending north of Mongolia to the Pacific and Japan and Korea.

http://www.big-files.org/how-master-race-won-ww2[29/07/2018 22:23:04]



2

1937 Mass Observation wrote a mention founded after a new statesman piece 12 Mm 1937 GE VI coronation survey on that date prob used to check on low awareness british way and purpose sir bernard pages on russia [alamo.pdf ake a look at those recurring names again: Pérez, López, Castro, Díaz. Notice anything? They're all modern-day celebrities. Hip-hop artist Pitbull (born Armando Pérez), Jennifer Lopez, Mario López, George Lopez, Cameron Diaz, etc. I hardly need mention the most famous Castor, who Miles has already used. Actually, Comrade Fidel owed itself, admitting his ancestors were Spanish Jews. Fidel's first wife was Mirta Díaz -Balart. In his paternal line, going back to the 1700s, we find an uncle Juan López Díaz. We also find a Pereyre, which looks like a fudging of Perez. The Wikipedia page for the surname Pereyre confirms this, listing various spellings such as Pereyre, Peres, Parer, and Pares. And don't forget that Pereira is a variant of Peron, linking Castro to the Perons. We also read that "many people have been misled by the name of the man who was the first to use the word 'peace' to describe his purpose: read up germany - infantile stupor presumab by aimed at simple soldiers or maybe simple citizens and the mathis hitler2.pdf fake blitz photos, faked portraits cp russell's which way to peace with horrific predictions of deaths, insanity Which Way to Peace? and range of german bombers. Cousin of Lord Charles Port (bomber 7command)

[illegible]

France [[Top of page](#)]


But with the Normandy invasion (June 6, 1944), the peace and security of France was to be shattered into a million pieces. Supreme Allied Commander Dwight D. Eisenhower kicks-off the slaughter with an ominous 6 AM radio announcement addressing the doomed population of the Normandy area: "The lives of many of you depend on the speed with which you obey. Leave your towns at once – stay off the roads – go on foot and take nothing with you that is difficult to carry. Do not gather in groups which may be mistaken for enemy troops." (here). To support the cross-channel invasion, and to then push the Germans eastward, the Allies unleash a ferocious aerial bombardment campaign. Entire towns are mercilessly carpet bombed. Cultural icons and works of art are destroyed, 65,000 French civilians are killed, 150,000 are injured, and 1,250,000 are left homeless. Incredibly, twice as many French civilians are bombed to death during only a few months, as the total amount of British civilians killed during the entire war! (Of course, these numbers pale in comparison to the 1,000,000 + German civilians who were killed by Allied bombings) 1- Caen, France – in ruins. 2- The "good guys" left death, destruction and homelessness in their wake. The horror doesn't end with the bombardment either. The Allied occupation and subsequent economic collapse brought about a famine that killed 100,000 and made 10 million destitute. To appease the French population, the U.S. army had to eventually hand 130 of its rapist soldiers, a majority of them Black, it will take years for the areas of France to recover from the tragedy – and not just structurally and financially, but also emotionally. As recently as the 1970s, American tourists to the immediate area were sometimes advised to say that they were Canadian. Such is the glorious "liberation" that FDR, Eisenhower and the British-based French traitor Charles deGaulle imposed upon France. http://marrratapa.weebly.com/uploads/8/9/5/8/5958901/504726531_orig.jpg 1959 FDR, de Gaulle, Churchill plot against then-neutral and undamaged France.

Germany [[Top of page](#)]

[illegible]

David Irving on the late appearance of Jews in SS target lists. Click the link to move directly to item 22.

Another Irving video is [Did Germans Build the Wrong Air Force?](#)



Map showing effect of US loan withdrawals from Germany and US unemployment German
 naval esp cb pombers not able to reach moscow when conquered france and ?belgium didn't have right
 the bomb drops by mistaking the target. Then Churchill sends British bombers to Berlin at night.
 "I'm sorry," he says, "but I can't do anything about it."
 "The victory," says Roosevelt? "I told you those evil Nazis would burn our innocent civilians! Now will y
 million air raid victims and every major city in the country razed. Ingesson's channel I couldn't agree
 were sidelined in favour of the high commands favourites 'such as Messerschmitt & Heinkel'.
 "The British had no choice but to change their strategy." "But Hitler guesstimated Stalin's design".
 "He suppose Anon does not know that Stalin was Hitler's ally. How
 supplies for food. The British had to put up their ally, for everything the UK received. The British war

on (e.g.) scrambling speech, but nothing much on how valves (tubes) were made to work together, or what they did when they did. Turing seems mostly to have worked on ways to decode Enigma documents, presumably taken from morse code, using what were called 'bombs' presumably for slangy reasons. Scrambled speech towards the end of the war (in the chapter 'Running Up') may have been important to Roosevelt, Stalin, and Churchill, with their Jew fabrications; the [Ken Wolkoff affair](#) (1940) (with ciphers) being a typical leak, and various phone-tapping incidents perhaps adding impetus.

Hodges appears to be yet another imported Jew, presumably slid into Oxbridge, with family details scrubbed, and interested in queers, anal sex being a Jew interest, perhaps, let's hope, to keep them away from 'shikas'. I'd guess like many others, anticipating the US situation now, Jews are keen to get paid posts, but much less keen to do work, unless it glorifies the frauds and lies of their tribe. Hodges is supposed to have done high-energy physics, but since he seems to have no crs of nukes or NASA I'd guess his work was null, or worse.

It's well-known, and obvious when pointed out, that one-time pads give undecipherable output, so that emphasis must move to stealing physical objects, pads, passwords. It's not impossible that the equipment was known to have weaknesses. Information from the Poles (when Jews provoked Hitler to invade—if that's what happened) about Enigma machines was important; in the last week of the war the German navy achieved cipher security (page xiv) and the British were able to intercept and decipher their messages. So is the comment that naval signals in the Battle of the Atlantic were 'heavily constrained by official secrecy'; archivists are a most important part of the story—obviously it wouldn't do for people to find out how they'd been tricked.

I'm not even inclined to credit the apple suicide theory: Britain had many male queers—there was an island hospital for queer officers during WW2. I think there must have been some other reason: I like to think Turing may have computed nuclear weapons and found mistakes in official work.

There's a 2014 edition, with a 'foreword' by Douglas Hofstadter. Hodges' website reproduces parts of reviews of his book, all flattering, probably all written by Jews. Sigh. A 2001 film about Enigma has a screenplay by Tom Stoppard, who seems still a bit fashionable. Adapted from a novel by [Robert Harris](#). Harris is said to include Katyn forest, where Polish officers were murdered; by Russians, no doubt Harris says, in place of Jews. I presume Harris says nothing about genocide of Slavs in the USSR, or post-war Germany. Why would he? Oy vey!

As I say, we have an integrated system of lies, which Jews love jerry-building. It's this that suggests powerfully that the information coding systems enabled Jews to script most of the Second World War, and thus, probably, the Great War too. Hodges notes e.g. SA 'overthrown' in 1934, so 'Hitler thereby gained supreme power'. Hodges says nothing on WW1, ruined Germans, the starvation blockades, the NSDAP, Hitler, or Stalin. These books are worthless, and anyone interested in establishing truth with an eye on the future must consider the idea that codebreaking was part of the entire scripted working of the twentieth century.

The Secret Listeners (Sinclair McKay, 'Sunday Times'-bestseller, 2012) p 154: '... brilliantly accurate picture not merely of Rommel's land forces, but also of the air power ... [of] the Germans and the Italians. When ... the ... of August [1942] Rommel's fresh advance was launched, The British forces knew exactly what to expect.' ... **we had him 'taped' the whole time,** wrote Lieutenant Colonel Sayer ... in November. [They used direction finding with a loop aerial. This was "Y" for "Wireless" Services across the world. Or so they say]

[Code Breaking in World War 2](#) (right-hand column is a chronology, modified from San Diego University). Interesting material, of course only a tiny shred of the total activity.

Aug 25th, 1944 ULTRA seemed to reveal every single detail of enemy activity. The thousands of bits of information that it provided eased thousands of decisions for Allied commanders and helped them optimize their resources in thousands of cases. Said the U.S. 7th Army intelligence officer after one particularly good morsel arrived: "You know, this just isn't cricket!" Altogether, ULTRA let the Allies advance into Germany with far more speed than otherwise."

Note that the truth about Pearl Harbor, Anthony Blunt at Bletchley, false claims about e.g. Auschwitz and Hiroshima, and many other items flicker into view. If the truth is ever largely discovered, it will be stranger than fiction—especially bad fiction.

WW2 Overview note: where are the records of Jew fines, Jew discussions on policy, the extent of Jew spying and Jew violence? So far as I know, this is still completely secret. The Bletchley Park ENIGMA intercepts..... The Brits knew ALL of NS Germany's secrets and ALL of their war plans....army/Wehrmacht, navy/Kriegsmarine, air force/Luftwaffe, Waffen SS, SS police units and SS auxiliary units.... no matris has no mention of Bletchley Park, or enigma the literally nuts and bolts of wartime british intelligence Another overview note: online WW2 debates rare on eg sources of oil, importance of Suez, importance of Romania, secret agreements, Federal Reserve, reserves of food, types of ammunition, etc. But there are some links with South America, agents and traitors. Given accurate information on such things, they are far easier to predict than otherwise. Obvious enough point... Presentation of wars rather as fables, like Rockefeller, does not do them justice.

- Secrecy and spying - Tuohey and hidden thinkers even in ww1 - David Irving 1967 *Breach of Security*; it translated a key 1939 document summarising the wiretaps placed by Hermann Göring's Forschungsamt agency on foreign ambassadors in Berlin in 1939, especially Britain's Sir Neville Henderson (above). The agency also maintained a skilled codebreaking section

The author appends a list of intercepts shown to Hitler during the early war years. Hitler based many actions on this source.

1968 Donald Cameron Watt revealed that in 1939 Britain "received from Polish Military Intelligence keys and machines for decoding German official military and diplomatic ciphers".

1909 enlarged Das Reich hoch mit...
Göring "Forschungsamt": Der geheime Nachrichtendienst des Dritten Reiches 1989 but German only - THE ALLIES treated the history of Hitler's wiretap and codebreaking agency, the Forschungsamt, as particularly sensitive. Its operatives were rounded up and coerced into Allied post-war agencies, its surviving archives swallowed by the National Security Agency and no doubt GCHQ. It left a thin paper-trail of scattered items through the world's archives - recognisable only by their characteristic brown paper, mauve ink - and after Breach of Security in 1967 (page 12) David Irving built a dossier on the shadowy but vast organisation. Documents produced by and about the FA were always few and far between, but in 1989, the year of the FA's 50th birthday, the German government published a book, Das Reich hoch mit mir, and has now got round to translating his work into English too.
Bletchley Park: truth about enigma? 1 version - German sub surfaced and machine taken 2 Berlin HQ mike detecting setting of wheels 3 computers and Turing nb on cyanide apple; could that be a fake, as there was an island host for homosexual officers and Montgomery a know homosexual? - Japanese code broken, kept quiet as pearl harbor then known a fake - at least 2 stories of Germans telling Stalin German troop movements 1 Sorge, journalist 2 Manheim? --- suggests most of war movements were known; just a question of arranging which Germans could be made to fight with Russians - German Jews commanding troops presumably got orders; Russians helpless - GCHQ private funded, 7like Interpol

Other aspects: some wars unserious / Is it best to understand Jews, or not?—Absolute Importance of their Secret Malign Influence / Finances in Future? / Will People Grow More Confident, and Better Informed? / White Zones? [think of Germany - own currency, isolated etc but OK / Remember WW2 was just a passing incident for Jews / How should Jews be Fought? some wars unserious

- Wars as in MM - some don't happen. Some serious. Jew control dependent on Jews networking across countries - eg Rothschild lending to all sides in WW1 on condition the victor took on losers' debts.

- Hitler's background and leading party members as unearthed by Miles Mathis. His insistence on a Führer, making decisions without criticism. And dislike of democracy

Is it best to understand Jews, or not? Absolute Importance of their Secret Malign Influence

* It's better to understand. Even if people decide to join Jew they understand what they may be getting in to. ... Eric Berne on games people play - if you don't understand, as in post-1945 USA and UK, you face perceptual puzzles - why is black crime unmentioned? Why are there obvious facts trying to get American guns? Why is paper money never discussed? Why is it considered OK to house foreigners and pay them? Why are there attacks on free speech? Is it safe to wear a red tie? What should children be told about teachers? Why are dangerous addictive drugs considered OK? Why does the BBC have wog readers of scripts? Why does the queen read fake stories, for example about Manchester? Why are there homeless people? Why are atrocities in wartime censored so thoroughly? Why is it pretended that gender means nothing? Why does the Pope say nothing about wars, but support nonwhite immigration? Why don't budget comments include national debt interest? White guilt - all evil attributed to whites, eg slavery/no Jew evil/ no proportion 7 months ago AshkenAZIS and communist formed secret coalition to exterminate and enslave through debt GOYIM nations Vladimir Lenin, "the best way to control the opposition is to lead it." Hitler was AshkenAZIS Rothschild secret agent sent to use antisemitism to safely transfer fellow Jews to Israel and completely destroy Germany for "printing" their own money. GERMAN OFFICER Mainstern reported daily German movements directly to Stalin as revealed by Ambassador Harriman, Hitler's D.A. wife, driver, cook, best friend, person who taught him his speaking person, financier, ALL JEWISH. AshkenAZIS, His half sister Angela WAS HEAD OF JEWISH MENSACA ACADEMY [manager of Mensa Academia Judaica, a house for Jewish students] Please guess Hitler's best friend Jewish Jew then insert each one above.

Hitler invaded Russia during winter which was well known biggest military blunder in history before he did it. Hitler's family DNA was Ashkenazi and Sephardic Jewish. He destroyed graveyards to hide this lineage. He lived safely in Argentina after war. Youtube it. He is related to satanic frankist sabbatean Jews that believe committing SINS to hasten Jewish Messiah return. Anne Frank. Youtube Jewish NAZIS. Youtube TRANSFER AGREEMENT OFFICIAL JEWISH DOCUMENTS. Goyim were given 60 days to fleeing Jews from Ashkenazi that moved them safely to Israel. Jewish Steve Piecznik who lost his Jewish relatives in war agrees the real holocaust was 200 million goyim murdered under communist rule. Goyim were treated for 50 times less than Jews starting in late 1800s. Coincidence or biblical fulfillment as it would take 6 million Jewish lives to return Jews to Israel. Synagogue of Satan. The Talmud hates hates hates Jesus Christ. It literally says go to hell in excrement for eternity.

After WW2 [[Top of page](#)]

17 Million German's were ethnically cleansed from Prussia after the war by the British. 3 Million Prussian women and children were also raped by the Soviets. This land historically has been German for well over 500 years. More Germans died in the few months after the war than during it. 8 may 1945 v day 6 and 9 august 1945 hiroshima and nagasaki v day 15 aug 1945 Fulton, Missouri, Churchill Speech on 'iron curtain' 5 march 1946 free new yorker on hiroshima 31 aug 1946 (none of these dates speaks specifically Jewish) note on 'executions' - Hans Frank, Wilhelm Kert, Alfred Jodl, Ernst Kaltenbrunner, Wilhelm Keitel, Joachim von Ribbentrop, Alfred Rosenberg, Fritz Sauckel, Arthur Seyss-Inquart, and Julius Streicher. POSSIBLY Jews all 'suicided' etc, Hitler (give mwm list) date for the remembrance of the Holocaust was selected by the Knesset (Israeli Parliament) shortly after Israel became a state. [presumably 1948] The following year a formal law was enacted setting aside remembrance in the nation of Israel and among Jewish communities worldwide.

- **Megadeaths** a coining attributed to Herman Kahn. But of course it applies to 20th century wars.

- **Ever-increasing Jewish activity**, especially in the USA and USSR with the invention of the 'Cold War', nuclear weapons, the 'space race', continual wars, and theft of German patents, suggest genetic continuism in Jews. The situation now in the USA is (probably) well-presented by www.thezog.info as [Who Controls America?](http://www.thezog.info)

- On **Shoah Business** and other frauds: nukes, NASA, drugs, prostitution, child exploitation, CERN: freemasonry, Common Purpose, bribes, thugs, politicians, armies: I'd like to suggest one of the functions of the repetitive frauds of Jews in to finance their collaborators, who collectively must be expensive.

• **On Freemasonry.** Suggestive remark dating from 1947: difficult to interpret how serious the offers may have been:-- British Colonel John C. Storr claimed that at the conclusion of military operations in Poland (i.e., presumably after Germany invaded) a war by telegram was waged between the Allies and the German Foreign Office. He was one of the transmitters in those negotiations. **The Allies gave the Reich two conditions, and their acceptance would have brought about an immediate cessation of hostilities, and a free rein for Germany in Poland. Those conditions were, Germany must return to the Gold Standard and the League of Freemasonry must be readmitted to Germany.** "Some 55 million people had to die to make the Gold Standard in Germany permissible." Note that if terms are made public, most people would not like the idea that Freemasonry was an issue. This helps explain why 'unconditional surrender' was demanded.

- **A note on white nationalists** (they mean whites; I don't think they regard Scots, English, French and the rest as radically different).

Small extract from ‘World War II: Jewish Personnel at Bletchley Park’ by Martin Sugarman

Irving John (Jack) Good, FRS, (real name *Isidore Jacob Gudak*) was born in 1916 in Manchester to immigrant shopkeepers, and became interested in maths and physics as a small boy. He was a mathematics scholar at Jesus College, Cambridge. He worked on Enigma and Turing [\[11\]](#) as a cryptanalyst [\[12\]](#); Turing/Lorenz carried messages to and from Hitler and his High Command. After being heard-bumped and interviewed by Hugh Alexander (a British chess champion like Jack) and Gordon Welchman in 1940, Jack was sent to BP on May 27th 1941 (the day the *Bismark* was sunk) to work first in Hut 1 and then under the great Alan Turing, breaking the German Naval Enigma codes; he was (Turing's main statistical assistant and thus a main player in the team) the first to crack the *Heimdall* code, the German "one-time" code. The paper used was printed in Banbury (which meant weighing the probability of the accuracy of a crib—the probable meaning of a word or words in a message, which was not quite decided. He in fact devised a method which greatly speeded the resolving of such problems. One night Good had a dream about reversing the codes received from Enigma and was moved to try this next day on a particularly baffling code that had come in; it worked—he had solved a problem in his sleep [\[13\]](#)!

Then Good was moved to Hut F in May 1943 to work on Tunny, in a section nicknamed “The Newmanny, after its team leader Maxwell Newman (see below). **Peter Hilton** also worked here (see below) as did **Peter Benenson** (see below). Among Jack’s refinements to the Colossus machine was a system that enabled a speeding up of the code breaking process. Post war he worked as Professor of Statistics at the University of Manchester, with Newman, but also working for GCHQ; then to Trinity, Oxford and later at the University of West Virginia [14]. He remained a prolific publisher and one of the real inventors of the computer as we know it today. *More information in M Suguman JHSE 43, 2011.*

Some biographical detail of Jews in Bletchley Park. Incidental info includes: Leave. It was evidently possible for information to be exchanged outside the Park (even if the Official Secrets Act had been signed) notably with the USSR. It's impossible to guess how accurate the abundant self-praise is; in practice, actual machines and code-books seem to have been essential. And it's impossible to guess whether specifically Jewish messages were received, or messages from the USSR, or whether spies in Germany fed information. The site must have had radio broadcasts (possibly for urgent messages). The material dated dates to about 1995: fifty years after the nominal end of the war, it's not possible to state how important Bletchley Park was with a possible overreaching Jewish policy, though it's compatible—consider e.g. Einstein, supposed importance of computing which must have been largely late; introduction of the Holocaust; suppression of USSR mass murder.

could fly, unit strength! It disclosed the location and movements of specific divisions, the subordination and transfer of units, the boundaries between units - once enabling the U.S. 7th Army to foresee and then to stop cold a German counterattack in Alsace. It gave intelligence on the German situation in the rear, the death in an air raid of the chief of staff and other officers of Panzer Gruppe West, the weak-kneed response of the commander of the Cherbourg garrison to Hitler's command to hold out like Gneisenau at Kolberg. ULTRA seemed to reveal every single detail of enemy activity. The thousands of bits of information that it provided eased thousands of decisions for Allied commanders and helped them optimize their resources in thousands of cases. Said the U.S. 7th Army intelligence officer, "ULTRA has been the greatest military aid of World War II. Just as the cricketer! Altogether, ULTRA let the Allies advance into Germany with far more speed than otherwise." (Kahn)

Sept. 12, 1944 - The USS Pampanito carried the Sigaba machine and was able to locate and attack a Japanese convoy out of Singapore. "One form of the American SIGABA (ECM, or 'electric code machine', in its Navy version) used no fewer than ten rotors at a time, five to create the electrical maze, five for moving the others in a much more irregular way than gears could. A cryptologist has said that the SIGABA was 'a generation ahead' of the Enigma." (Kahn)

1945 - By the end of the war, the code-breaking organizations in Britain and the U.S. were centralized and tightly coordinated, unlike the dispersed organization in Germany. "The army, the navy, and the air force each had its own unit, though there was rather more coordination between them than in Germany," says Kahn. The British codebreaking agency was scarce to begin with, very thin. And it diffused the codebreaking effort. Contrast this with the concentration of effort at Bletchley Park, Britain's sole codebreaking agency, and with that in America, where the Army and Navy cryptanalysts worked in the closest cooperation. In Germany, by contrast, the codebreakers were scattered in many different units; the lethal effects of dispersion, which stemmed ultimately from Hitler's assigning duplicate responsibilities to his underlings so that he could retain ultimate control. The charismatic nature of his leadership enabled him to do this in many areas of government. It facilitated the creation of a vast system of double agents, the most effective codebreaking operation put better men into cryptography than the German. Bletchley Park was an unbelievable galaxy of talent. All American recruits were given an IQ test; those who scored the highest were proposed for cryptographic work. This resulted in extraordinarily high brainpower in codebreaking units. The American army agency could have staffed a first-class university department of cryptology, says Kahn. No such recruiting seems to have taken place for German codebreaking." (Kahn)

JACOB, Field-Marshal Sir Claude (William), G.C.B., *cr.* 1926; G.C.S.I., *cr.* 1930; K.C.B., *cr.* 1917; K.C.S.I., *cr.* 1924; K.C.M.G., *cr.* 1919; C.B. 1915; late I.A.; Colonel of the Worcestershire Regiment, 1927-38; Colonel of 2/10th Baluch Regiment, 1928; *b.* 21 Nov. 1863; *s.* of late Major-General W. Jacob, I.A.; *m.* 1894, Clara Pauline, *d.* of late Rev. J. L. Wyatt; one *s. Educ.*: Sherborne School; R.M.C., Sandhurst. Entered Army, 1882; Captain, I.S.C., 1893; Major, Indian Army, 1901; Lt.-Col. 1904; Col. 1908; General Staff Officer, 1st Grade, India, 1912; Brig.-Gen. Commanding Dehra Dun Brigade, 1915; Commanding Meerut Division, 6 Sept. 1915; 21st Division, 18 Nov. 1915; 2nd Army Corps, 1916-19; Chief of General Staff, India, 1920-24; General Officer Commanding-in-Chief, Northern Command, India, 1924-25; Commander-in-Chief in India, 1925; Secretary of Military Department, India Office, 1926-30; Constable of Tower of London, 1938-43; served Zhob Valley, 1890; North-Western Frontier, India, 1901-2 (medal with clasp); European War, 1914-18 (C.B., wounded, despatches ten times, promoted Major-Gen., Lieutenant-General, 3 June 1917); General, 1920; A.D.C. General to the King, 1920-24; Field-Marshal, 1926; Order of St. Vladimir 4th class with swords (Russia); Grand Officer de l'ordre de la Couronne (Belgium) with Croix de Guerre; Grand Officer Legion d'Honneur, Croix de Guerre, France, Grand Officier de l'ordre de Leopold, Distinguished Service Medal (American). *Address*: 7 Ovington Court, S.W.3. *T.*: Kensington 4684. *Clubs*: Army and Navy, Naval and Military.

See also Maj.-Gen. Sir E. I. C. Jacob, Colonel E. R. C. Wyatt.

JACOB, Hon. Maj.-Gen. Sir Edward
Ian Claud, K.B.E., cr. 1946; C.B. 1944;
C.B.E. 1942; Director of Oversea Services
B.B.C. since 1947; Controller of European
Services, 1946; b. 27 Sept. 1899; s. of Field
Marshal Sir Claud Jacob, q.v.; m. 1924, Cecil
Bisset Treherne; two s. Educ.: Wellington
College; R.M.A., Woolwich; King's College,
Cambridge, B.A. 2nd Lt., Royal Engineers,
1918; Capt. 1929; Major, 1938; Bt. Major,
1945. See p. 104.

I've noticed several people state that whites should not be concerned with other races, and indeed that it's a serious psyop against whites to claim they should. For example, Michael Hoffman and TANSTAAPL I believe said this. There is considerable censorship pressure against US and British war crimes. I've even wondered if Miles Mathis might have the secret purpose of hiding war crimes. He seems to accept the 1964 Tonkin incident (and the connection with pop singer Jim Morrison), and thinks Dresden was faked on the strength of a single photo.

But it's obvious an incident wouldn't result in ten years of war; probably it was the same sort of thing with the incident starting Churchill's bombing of Germany. The motive presumably was to profit from bombs and equipment, and eventually take over Vietnam's land rents, banking, assets, raw materials, labour, and so on, by, of course, Jews. So here we have whites using their own money from 1954 to about 1974, using about the same amount of bombs etc as the whole of World War 2, and giving the proceeds to their enemy, to use against them! Come on, guys.

Judging from Talmudic precedents, what happened was something like this speech: Oy vey! We are chosen by Yahweh! We are the master race, and you are gook insects, made by Yahweh to work for us. Ve vill bomb you with very big bombs. We will make your girls prostitutes. Ve veel poison you fields and spoil all your rice with poison chemicals, just like we spread our Yiddish shit on goyim! Ve veel bomb everything—all your wood and straw houses veel be bombed. Ve veel make you pay, and our simple American boy fools will bomb and burn and rape and keel! Our lovely rabbis will fuck little boys and girls, and cut out their little child organs! Vor moneys ve veel make, oy veh.

• **Theft of German Scientific Research Fueled Post-War Technology Boom** By Daniel W. Michaels
Is based on a study by Friedrich Georg of Truman Executive Order 9604, of August 1945. It's sometimes said that war fuels scientific work; perhaps the theory was to hide the truth, that the work was done by Germans. Under Jewish hegemony now, a lot of research work is bought up by paper money. Probably there is understanding of this, and many potentially new technologies are simply not developed, since there may be little point. I don't want to give a URL for that paper, as it may change, but it's worth noting. And it's worth noting the huge destruction of books and entire libraries in Germany that followed 'liberation'.

• **Example:** While military analysts the world over now recognize the superiority of German World War II hardware, few, however, are aware that German scientists had done much of the basic scientific pioneer work in the development of many postwar industrial technologies and products for civilian use. In consumer goods and medical advances, Germans under National Socialism were enjoying color TV and color photography a decade before the American public could buy its first black-and-white sets. The Nazi government had modernized the road system in Germany in the 1930s and the Volkswagen had begun to produce the 'Beetle' so that all citizens could afford to own an automobile. It was not until the 1950s, under President Eisenhower, that the United States undertook the construction of a modern highway system.

[IMPORTANT NOTE: autobahn system also made germany easier to invade. I wonder also if the maginot line was intentional damage; the germans could obviously go round, and invasion from the sea wasn't hindered] • **A related topic is continuity of corporations, before, during, and after the Second World War.** Here's one piece by Nico Haupt, drawing attention to migrations around the world, while a mere world war carried on. [/nukie-jies/www.nukelies.com/forum/nico-haupt-odd-migrations-ww2-atom-radar-men.html](http://nukie-jies/www.nukelies.com/forum/nico-haupt-odd-migrations-ww2-atom-radar-men.html)
• Germany under Hitler was doomed from the very day he came to power. The established world planned Germany's violent death by whatever means. Full employment, decent social housing, healthcare for all, the list goes on. Workers seldom had any of these benefits in other countries. Most still lived in slums. Especially of interest is the level of treason by his own high command. These traitors cost the lives of countless German and axis soldiers. Why didn't at least one of them have the courage of their convictions and shoot him (Hitler), cowards one and all. Hitler's Revolution Expanded Edition: Ideology, Social Programs, Foreign Affairs by Richard Tedor (2013)

Post-War Alternative Intelligence. Including Miles Mathis as the new Lorenzo Valla (Top of page)
Jewish Frauds - Patterns and Commentators

NASA (peak 1969) 9/11 (2001?) AIDS (1984) IRAQ was Vietnam Salt in diet Fluoride Capital Punishment look and say nuclear weapons holocaust war is a racket smedley butler Ant h ony C Sutton Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler 1954??? Military-industrial complex 1961 Benjamin Freedman [nothing on Hitler, WW2, Jews in USSR. AA Advocacy of nukes] Mullins mistake on nukes? jews but not rothschilds? Rockwell ducks and hens 9/11 and 3000 deaths christopher bollin Brian G. Willis' mouth agou What does become apparent ... Battles were planned with knowledge of and communication with, both sides by the organizers. Dieppe ... absolutely insane, ordering young men directly into a SET UP battlefield, mines on the beach, obstacles and known gunneries and armies at the ready ... incredible, did they bet with each other as to the outcome??? Why were Hitler and his regime rushed to safety in South America...and then for a month, the civilian population was carpet bombed day after day... so that no one would be left that could possibly tell the truth....

Miles Mathis has many very interesting pdf files online, under 'Writings'. I've already noted many of them, for example **Miles Mathis - sources**. I hope my enthusiasm will not turn out to be misplaced. (There's a corral battle around him; [here are a few notes](#). That page is indexed on duckduckgo but not google at the time I write).

I made this site search box of mileswmathis.com, weighted for his writings (not his art; sorry). His site is so elaborate, it's useful to be able to check on the whole thing.

As regards 20th century world politics, **Hitler, Lenin, Roosevelt and others**. Much of his work is extracted from online genealogies. Wars and battles aren't deconstructible in the same way—there are fewer fixed points, fewer photos of course, and Mathis says little about the detail of World Wars 1 and 2, though he is interesting on [many faked photos](#) round the time of the Second World War.

Mathis on actors (lincoln; rich families; lenin, jewish woman; m l king; false flags/ and science/ and marxism as rich persons -->> future revis eg china nationalist then jewish/ science, technology/ better info on money / and intelligence, spooks: try NSA CIA FBI DHS CFR Mathis shows no signs yet of stopping in his tracks, as many people so disappointingly do: Arthur Kemp's *March of the Titans* lists white achievements superficially, with no idea about science, real history, about Jews; Kevin MacDonald's book are more than 20 years old, but his website promotes a trivial book by a Jew, nothing on 9/11 or holocaust or science; the BNP +++++ prob natural - most science is put together by different people.

Another of his techniques is to analyse old silver halide photos, usually black and white, at a time when altering size, contrast, exposure and all the rest was far more time-consuming than it is now, with our computer image processing. This helps explain why image-matching was tricky and presumably too much effort. And why black-and-white newsreel films (see the example) had fairly simply fakery.

Just a few between-wars dates (taken from 1961 *Focal Encyclopedia*):-
1924 Barnack, O: camera using 35 mm film manufactured by Leitz
1928 Franck & Heidecke: Rolleiflex twin lens reflex introduced
1929 Ostermeier, J: combustion of aluminium wire or foils in oxygen in a sealed tube
1939 Laporte, M: Electronic flash with white light

• Mathis has very promising new views on social change, for example Jews wanting to replace old aristocrats by industrialists and bankers. There must presumably be tensions between industrialists and financiers. Mathis says of Marx: 'All this talk about the proles and bourgeoisie is just misdirection. The goal was for the aristocracy to be replaced by the industrialists in Marx's family, after which the proletariat could all go get hanged.' Mathis adds: *The secondary concern of the industrialists and bankers was the upper-middle class. They had to watch their flank while they were going after the aristocracy. They couldn't have those just beneath them bite them in the butt while they were pulling down kings. In hindsight, we see that they dealt with this by pushing a materialistic and economic worldview. This materialistic worldview kept the upper-middle class chasing above them, rather than attacking them. The middle class didn't want to ally itself to the lower class, since that would just pull them down. This effectively isolated the lower class. It also isolated and ultimately doomed the middle class, since after the industrialists*



Map drawn by John Flower from information by Martin Gilbert. 1966 Holocaust for history students.

Officier de l'ordre de Leopold, Distinguished Service Medal (American). Address : 7 Ovington Court, S.W.3. T. : Kensington 4684. Clubs : Army and Navy, Naval and Military.

See also Maj.-Gen. Sir E. I. C. Jacob, Colonel E. R. C. Wyatt.


JACOB, Hon. Maj.-Gen. Sir Edward Ian Claud, K.B.E., cr. 1946; C.B. 1944; C.B.E. 1942; Director of Oversea Services B.E.C. since 1947; Controller of European Services, 1946; b. 27 Sept. 1899; s. of Field Marshal Sir Claud Jacob, g.e.; m. 1924, Cecil Bisset Treherne; two s. Educ.: Wellington College; R.M.A., Woolwich; King's College, Cambridge, B.A. 2nd Lt., Royal Engineers, 1918; Capt. 1929; Major, 1938; Bt. Major, 1935; Bt. Lt.-Col. 1939; Col. 1943; Staff College, 1931-32; G.S.O.3 War Office, 1934-1936; Brigade-Major, Canal Brigade, Egypt, 1936-38; Military Asst. Sec., Committee of Imperial Defence, 1938; Military Assistant Secretary to the War Cabinet, 1939-46; retired pay, 1946. Waziristan, 1922-23 (medal and clasp). U.S. Legion of Merit (Commander). Address : The Red House, Wood-

VHO, 1948 JACOB

bridge, Suffolk; 69 Melton Court, S.W.7. Club : Army and Navy.

JACOB, Ernest Fraser, F.B.A. 1946; F.S.A.; F.R.Hist.S.; M.A.; D.Phil. Fellow and Sub-warden of All Souls College; b. 12 Sept. 1894; s. of late Professor Ernest Henry Jacob and Emma, d. of late James Fraser, Leeds. Educ.: Winchester; New College, Oxford. Stanhope Prize, 1920; Gladstone Prize, 1920; Lecturer in Medieval History, King's College, London, 1922-24; Lecturer in History and Student of Christ Church, Oxford, 1924-29; Prof. of Medieval History in the University of Manchester, 1929-44; Vice-Pres., Historical Assoc. since 1929; on Directorate, National Register of Archives, 1945; Pres. Chetham Society; Chairman of Council, Canterbury and York Society; Member of the Church Assembly (House of Laity), 1945; Birkbeck Lecturer in Ecclesiastical History, Trinity College, Cambridge, 1936-37; Member of Royal Commission on Historical Manuscripts; during 1914-18 served with 1st Battalion Hampshire Regiment; Captain 1917 (wounded twice, despatches). Senior Operations Officer, N.W. Region (Civil Defence), 1939-41. Publications: Illustrations to the Life of St. Alban, 1924; Studies in the Period of Baronial Reform and Rebellion, 1925; (with C. G. Crump) The Legacy of the Middle Ages, 1926; Innocent III. and Henry III. of England, Cambridge Medieval History; trans. of Bémont, Simon de Montfort, 1929; The Register of Archbishop Henry Chichele, 4 vols., 1938-47; Essays in the Conciliar Epoch, 1943; Henry V, and the Invasion of France, 1947. Address: All Souls College, Oxford. Club: Athenaeum.

Jacobs may well have appointed Richard Dimbleby as BBC War Correspondent, with the manner of an oiled slug, and checked carefully that his broadcasts toed the Jewish propaganda line.



had defeated the aristocracy, they turned and attacked the stratum just beneath them. The new upper class has now been preying voraciously on the middle class for the past half century—so much so that the parasite may end up killing the host. Once the upper class has pushed the entire middle class down into the lower class, it will have only itself to feed upon. We are already seeing the first stages of that.

Mathis' long-term genealogical investigations have unearthed tangled long-term family relationships, tantalisingly identifiable back to the fairly remote past. And he looks at 'spooks' and 'intelligence' and their education and career interruptions. As yet, if I'm reading him properly, he doesn't have much on wars—certainly not any investigations into the 'kill the best of the goyim' command.

INSERT MATHIS ON BATTLES WITHIN INTELLIGENCE - TURF WARS - DHS Jewish? CIA only part-JEWISH? What do spooks do if not compiling yearbooks, checking on overseas info prob supplied by Jews, reading boring blogs? (Obviously not this one) controlled press or Jewish press? depends whether you think Jews decide policy or intelligence BUT: Josh censors and doesn't discuss this site he wrote dryfus piece; but it may be well-known in Jew circles. Miles nothing on Jewish calendar dates despite huge possibilities! Miles' use of 'fascism' disturbingly naive

The United States is conducting a war in Vietnam in which it has tolerated and supervised every form of bestiality against a primitively armed peasant population. Disembowements, mutilations, mass bombing raids with jelly-pneumles, the obliteration of over 75% of the villages of the country and the dispatch of eight million people to internment camps have characterised this war. Such conduct cannot be described as an ordered bulwark against mediocrity, anarchy or barbarism. There is a large body of opinion in the United States itself that opposes this war, but the Government persists in carrying it on. The unity that you advocate would do little to encourage the US Government to alter its policy. The US policy in the Congo promises to be similar to that in Vietnam in cruelty. The Western nations show no signs of encouraging any other policy there. (I enclose two pamphlets dealing with Vietnam and the Congo in case you have not seen them.)

- MM weak on 'fascism' The media isn't liberal, it is run by the government, which—by definition—makes it fascist. Russell: '?legislature subject to ?executive BUT ../nukes-lies/www.nukeslies.com/forum/bertrand-russell-duped-by-jews-physicists.html#fascism Russell accepted atrocity stories (for example, on pogroms) uncritically. He seems to use 'fascism' as a synonym for what newspapers call 'mindless violence'. George Orwell's essay on No Orchids for Miss Blandish (perhaps written in mock-US gangster style by a French author—one of the Schlesingers said this) made the same comparison. I have a copy of an amateurish book by 'Frank A. Ridley' (from 'South Place Ethical Society' in London). Francis Ambrose Ridley (b 1897; almost certainly regarded himself as a Jew) wrote (and no doubt orated) material on 'fascism' which is strikingly similar to Russell's, for example on Julius Caesar and Napoleon as 'fascists'.
- Hitler as actor Cp Corbyn and Galloway - Corbyn presumably thinks he's Jewish (see the Avooyantu Jewish surname index) and follows all the Jewish policies: mass murder in the USSR good, WW2 good, Hitler bad, Holocaust, Vietnam War, 9/11, Iraq - Jew connections denied. Rothschilds, Baruch etc all hidden. Pressure for immigration into white countries. Etc. For that matter check nukelies on nuclear weapons as another Jewish fraud.

Finances in Future

"The answer to the 'debt crisis' is simple, and consists of three steps. "Firstly, the banks—and this is in particular reference to the ones in Cyprus, but applicable to almost all banks, everywhere—should be forced to liquidate themselves and use their extensive assets to pay back all depositors their cash. "Secondly, the directors and responsible people in those banks should be held criminally liable for their reckless behavior, and prosecuted in the courts. "Thirdly, the government (or governments) should form a state-controlled bank which is under strict supervision and is prevented by law from engaging in market speculation with depositors' money. "This is the core of the problem: they are currently trying to force the depositors, who are not responsible for the current crisis, to pick up the tab for the wrongdoing of others." Finally, the financial expert said, "the current crop of idiots sitting in parliaments around Europe and America need to be thrown out. In their place, people who understand that economies need to be based on manufacturing, and not speculation, need to be elected."

- Germany's interest-free money etc. Remember the US civil war ??central bank, Fed reserve - had given Jews experience of control of money. Prof ? made professor.
- Germany's phenomenal progress. But remember Jews aim to control inventions and discoveries. Ideology of science for all in practice means Jew patents etc

Trump another Hitler? Putin another Stalin? [[Top of page](#)]

will he investigate 9/11? war crimes? Fed reserve? NASA? Nukes? Los Angeles airport shut down to let Jew-owned plane fly with no inspection? Putin: reported to be jailing people for Holocaust revisionism make America great and militarism; maybe he wants a few centuries of war though previous historical epochs of that sort end in extinction; perhaps a new Jew religion. Or perhaps the emergence of non-Jew regimes. Or work for Americans, as eg Chinese weary of US junk paper. Probably jobs working for Jews.

Revisionism: How to Reconsider WW2 Sources [[Top of page](#)]


Some comments on youtube etc and some websites and [Jewdar](#) links to Jew-aware sites

Histories of, and Comment, on WW2 (Usually NOT 'holistic')



***** Author, A. A. (1996/2010). Title of book. City: Publisher. << standard*****

1941 Nineteen Forty Our Finest Hour *Arthur Mee* **NINETEEN-FORTY** will probably be remembered in all history as our finest hour. We suffered incredible disasters. We sustained unparalleled betrayals. We ran stupendous risks. We took upon ourselves overwhelming burdens. We endured intolerable humiliations. We were flung into the very depths of grief. But we carried on. Guided by the Hand of God and sustained by our own right arm ...

1944 Harold Laski Faith, Reason, and Civilisation



1960 Mau Mau



A.J.P Taylor, Origins of the Second World War A.J.P Taylor, Fellow Traveller and Propagandist historian!


1968 Gabriel Kolko *The Politics of War: The World and United States Foreign Policy, 1943-1945* One of numerous 'Vintage Books'. Solidly Jew-based; books by Churchill, Truman, Roosevelt etc, and parties in every country regarded as 'progressive' or 'fascist' or whatever. <http://big-lies.org/bertrand-russell-peace-tribunal/bertrand-russell-7006-gabriel-kolko.html>

2003 Rupert Matthew: *Hitler: Military Commander* (No bibliography). Obviously a written-to-order hack book. But books of this type may include revealing points, since the 'narrative, has been laid down, and novelties may include significant comments.

2006 Jonathan Bastable *Voices from Stalingrad*

1978 R Stanhope-Palmer *World War II - some decisive episodes*

World War II: The People's Story (Readers Digest) Includes 70 minute CD by Reader's Digest [READERS DIGEST FOUND 1922] [Joachim Hoffmann: Stalin's War of Extermination, 1941-1945](http://big-lies.org/joachim-hoffmann-stalins-war-of-extermiation-1941-1945)



1995 Raymond Challenor (1929-2011): *The Struggle for Hearts and Minds* published). The author was a genuine socialist, not in the Jewish sense, but makes many shrewd points, for example on the British 'Labour Party'. 2194 Days of War

General 'Histories of Warfare' (Usually NOT 'holistic')

1966 Recent History Atlas, Martin Gilbert (pubd Weidenfeld & Nicolson) 'wide range of existing atlases, reference books, newspaper files, and specialized historical works ... [which] which provided the statistical and factual information'. No acknowledgements. Gilbert seems to understand his invention. Montgomery 'of Alamain': *History of Warfare*

H W Koch *History of Warfare*

B Liddell Hart (1895-1970) was a well-known writer on wars. I think he was probably Jewish; he often presents a 'controlled opposition' view, he advised Jews on armies, and was interested mostly in technique, not human issues—though this is common enough.


Intelligence and General Overview

1994 Ted Enever Britain's Best Kept Secret - Ultra's Base at Bletchley Park. Note the date, 50 years later. Jews in Bletchley Park looking at emiga etc - no mention of them in this book [check]

<http://big-lies.org/bertrand-russell-peace-tribunal/bertrand-russell-7005-harold-wilson.html> **Books with Information from Small Countries**

Little magazines as sources: Eustace Mullins on John Kaminski on Ezra Pound shows problems. David Day *The Great Betrayal: Britain, Australia & the Onset of the Pacific War 1939-42*

1977 Nikolai Tolstoy Victims of Yalta (1977) Cossacks



Hobsbawm is or was a 'Jew', a favourite with the BBC and media. Talk on the 'Russian Revolution', in London, with a large audience, probably mostly Jews. Note the indifference to deaths of Russians. NB opens in a new window. >1 hour

1998, new version 2000 Juri Lina's ***Under the Sign of the Scorpion*** is an Estonian. His website is jyrilina.com . It includes info on the 'French' Revolution. Unfortunately it is amateurishly produced. See <http://files.meetup.com/348941/Under-The-Sign-of-the-Scorpion.pdf> -- there are lists of names, and groups, of Jew mass murderers, and a bibliography. I doubt it's directly relevant to the issue here - although it begs the question of why Hitler said so little, named no names etc, about massive Jew crimes, which I think he usually ascribed to Bolsheviks or Judeo-Bolsheviks. As far as I could see, he picked out material from a fair number of books, and newspaper articles; I'd guess clippings, but has no research into Jewish evidence or archives. . . . However, his material on Estonia presumably is new to most in the west. Shows jew control of the media, academics, etc in Estonia - similar to in USA and UK, for that matter. Lina says 'The Germans failed to organise anti-Jewish riots among the Estonians - they did not want to take part, in spite of the terrible crimes Jews had committed against them. No Jew pogroms have ever taken place in Estonia.' - this sort of thing suggests Hitler may have been jew-controlled. . . . What seems to me another weakness is discussion of money in billions etc without full context of ownership, shares etc - what needs to be done to stop this? What does 'Jews control 80% of capital' really mean?? Show less

Short Summary. Bullet-Pointed | [Top of page](#) |